Data Resource Guide

Fiscal Year 2015

Successful Youth,

Strong Leaders,

Safer Communities

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

Data Resource Guide
Fiscal Year 2015

Maryland
Department of Juvenile Services

State of Maryland

Larry Hogan, Governor

Sam Abed, Secretary

December 2015

This guide fulfills the Statutory Reporting Requirements set forth in Md. Human Services Code Ann. §9-204 regarding the Agency's comprehensive juvenile services plan as well as reporting juvenile recidivism rates.

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

Executive Staff

Sam Abed, Secretary

Jay Cleary, Chief of Staff

Lynette Holmes, Deputy Secretary for Support Services

Linda McWilliams, Deputy Secretary for Operations

Project Leaders

Kingsley Ayuk

Lynette Holmes

John Irvine

Lakshmi Iyengar

Susan Nicely

Review Team

Kingsley Ayuk

Patricia Elmore

Patricia L. Fanflik

Lynette Holmes

John Irvine

Lakshmi Iyengar

Lavinia Jackson

Susan Nicely

Falguni Patel

Claire Souryal-Shriver

Marci Stables

Mussie Tewolde

Layout Design

Susan Nicely

The DJS Executive Staff and Project Leaders would like to thank everyone who contributed to this document. Our appreciation goes to the members of the agency who contributed a wealth of information with special thanks to Scott Beal, Gilbert Becker, Richard Burton, Toni Christian, Michael DiBattista, Esther Diggs, Cory Fink, Patricia Flanigan, Lisa Garry, Donna Hamilton, Michael Ito, Wallis Norman, John Stevenson, Betsy Tolentino, and John Wisniewski. We would also like to thank Jill Farrell at the University of Maryland, School of Social Work, The Institute for Innovation and Implementation, Jane Trainer at the Maryland Department of Planning, Ida J. Williams at the Maryland State Police, Katharine Oliver and Beth Hart at the Maryland State Department of Education, and Boyce Williams at the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. We are especially grateful to the field staff who gather the data necessary to complete the *Data Resource Guide*.

Table of Contents

Letter from the Governor...

Larry Hogan

Dear Friends:

It is my pleasure to present to you the Department of Juvenile Services' FY 2015 Data Resource Guide.

Maryland's Department of Juvenile Services plays an important role in improving the quality of life of young people and families living throughout our great state. Whether it's in the community or in residential facilities, Juvenile Services provides critical support to youth in the juvenile justice system, with the goals of increasing their chances of lifelong success and, ultimately, contributing to improved public safety in our neighborhoods.

Secretary Sam Abed has made great strides in making facilities safer, speeding up the delivery of treatment services to youth, and improving oversight of departmental programs and employees to ensure that they are meeting the needs of youth and families. He is accomplishing these and other reforms in a fiscally responsible manner that prioritizes quality treatment and support services for young people in Juvenile Services' care.

Our administration is committed to keeping our communities safe while ensuring that all young people are provided with the care they need to succeed. I look forward to continuing to work with Secretary Abed to accomplish these goals and change Maryland for the better.

Sincerely,

Lawrence J. Hogan, Jr.

Larry Hogan
Governor

A Word from the Secretary...

Sam Abed

Welcome to the fifth edition of the Data Resource Guide (DRG). I hope that you find this year's DRG to be as helpful and informative as previous editions. The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) is one of only a handful of state juvenile justice agencies that publishes an annual report that provides detailed data on virtually every facet of its operations. The DRG is the infrastructure that DJS utilizes to make data-driven decisions in our policies and practices.

Also influencing the direction of DJS is the implementation of the developmental approach to juvenile justice reform. This approach focuses on aligning the goals of the juvenile justice system with scientific research on adolescent brain development. While medical science has studied the adult brain for many years, it is only in the last 20 years that scientists have been using modern technology such as brain scans to study the brains of teenagers. As a result, we have learned that adolescent brains are undergoing significant changes in structure and function, which make them vastly different from both children and adults.

The science of adolescent brain development is critical to the manner in which DJS engages with youth and families who have contact with the juvenile justice system. We must ensure that youth are held accountable in a fair and equitable way while also understanding that, most often, the biggest driver of improvement in a teenager's behavior is the natural process of maturity. Thus, we as an agency strive to strike a balance between holding a youth accountable for his or her actions while not unnecessarily pushing him or her deeper into the justice system.

To move the agency forward in that regard, one of the reforms that we have recently implemented is the Accountability Incentives Management (AIM) initiative for youth under court-ordered DJS supervision in the community. AIM involves the use of a standardized system of graduated responses and incentives to encourage a youth's compliance with the terms of his or her court ordered supervision. The goal is to appropriately tailor DJS's responses to youth in the community to hold them accountable and to encourage successful completion of community supervision.

DJS plays an important role in helping youth learn from past mistakes and guiding them on their path to adulthood. As I have said many times, DJS's success is tied to the success of our youth. I would like to thank everyone involved in the creation of this year's DRG, especially DJS's Research and Evaluation Unit, who analyzed and compiled the data. The DRG continues to give us the data we need to make informed decisions and provides transparency to allow outside stakeholders and citizens to see the dynamics of and trends within our system.

Sincerely,



Sam Abed

Terms and Concepts

Absent Without Leave (AWOL): A youth who absconds from a non-secure residential program.

Accountability Incentives Management (AIM): A structured statewide system of responses to deter negative behaviors and encourage positive behaviors of youth under court-ordered community supervision. AIM seeks to reduce technical violations of supervision by ensuring certain, fair, and immediate responses to youth that promote successful completion of supervision.

Adjudicatory Hearing: Proceeding before a juvenile judge or master to determine the truth of allegations made against a youth. If the allegations concerning the commitment of a delinquent act are found to be true, the youth may be adjudicated delinquent.

Aftercare: Supervision and individualized treatment services provided to youth in the community following discharge from a residential program. A youth is assigned an aftercare worker at the time of commitment.

Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST): DJS client database.

Average Daily Population (ADP): Daily population of youth in residential placement (state or privately owned) averaged over the number of days in the year.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS): Average total number of days in residential placement between admission and release. Youth detained in more than one facility during a contiguous stay are counted as a single placement.

Case Management Specialist (CMS): DJS staff who provide case management services to youth in community and residential settings. Case managers provide supervision, develop treatment plans, link youth with necessary resources and services, monitor progress, and modify treatment plans as needed.

Central Review Committee (CRC): A central committee, authorized under the Continuum of Care Legislation, that convenes weekly to hear case reviews of youth at risk of removal from a committed placement, direct changes in the youths' provision of services, and make youth placement transfer decisions. Members include the Directors of the Behavioral Health and Resource Offices, the Executive Directors of Residential Placements and Community Supervision, and a representative from MSDE.

Certificate of Placement (COP): The document which reflects a youth's placement location, services, and authorizes payment for services.

CHALLENGE: A behavioral management program implemented in DJS' residential facilities with the goal of developing pro-social behavior and individual accountability/responsibility using a token economy and social skills education to incentivize positive behavior.

Child In Need of Assistance (CINA): A youth who has been physically, sexually, or emotionally abused or neglected by a parent or other person responsible for the youth's care.

Child In Need of Supervision (CINS): A youth who commits an offense that, if committed by an adult, would not be a crime (e.g. truancy, run-away or "ungovernable").

Commitment versus Admission: A commitment is a court order placing a delinquent youth in DJS' care. The youth is usually placed into an out-of-home program, but may also be provided services at home. An admission occurs when a juvenile physically arrives at a facility and is officially entered into the facility's rolls. An admission may occur days/weeks after the juvenile is committed to DJS (in the interim, a youth is considered to be on "pending placement" status – see Pending Placement). A single admission to an out-of-home program could be the result of multiple commitments (e.g. a juvenile may be committed by more than one court, or have multiple charges with "committed" dispositions). Thus, the number of commitments will not equal the number of admissions to committed programs.

Community Detention (CD): A program monitored by DJS in which a delinquent child or a child alleged to be delinquent is placed in the home of a parent, guardian, custodian, or other fit person, or in shelter care, as a condition of probation or as an alternative to detention (ATD). Community detention includes electronic monitoring.

Complaint: A written statement made by any person or agency to a DJS intake officer, which if true would support allegations of a juvenile petition.

Continuum of Care: The continuum of care spans in-home probation supervision with services, community-based out-of-home treatment, and state and privately-operated secure programs, all designed to address youth needs, and the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior. Legislation passed in 2012 authorized DJS to transfer youth directly from one facility/program to another facility/program (of equal or higher security level) without first asking the court to modify the commitment order.

Day/Evening Reporting Center (D/ERC): A program that serves youth as an alternative to detention. Youth are required to report daily to ensure the youth is monitored and gets back to court for hearings.

Delinquent: A youth who has been adjudicated for an act which would be a crime if committed by an adult and who requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation.

Detention: Temporary, short-term (1-30 days) physically secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition and require secure custody for the protection of themselves or the community and/or to ensure court appearance.

Detention Hearing: A court proceeding to determine whether a youth shall be placed in or continued in detention.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI): An assessment of a youth's risk to reoffend and/or fail to appear for future court dates used to guide whether the youth should be detained, placed in a detention alternative, or released to a parent/guardian.

Direct Care Staff: An employee whose primary duty is to provide direct supervision of youth.

Disposition: The action taken by the juvenile court that outlines whether the youth requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation and, if so, the nature of such assistance that an adjudicated youth will receive. (Note: In adult courts, this is known as a "sentence.")

Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC): A rate of contact with the juvenile justice system among youth of a specific minority group that is significantly different than the rate of contact for whites (i.e., non-Hispanic Caucasians) or for other minority groups (*See RRI definition*).

Ejection from Committed Placement: A youth's removal from an out-of-home placement upon determination that he/she failed to comply with the rules and conditions of the program. Following an ejection a youth may require a new out-of-home placement. A youth may remain in detention pending a new placement.

Electronic Monitoring (EM): A statewide program providing close monitoring of youth in the community as an alternative to residential placement/detention. Youth wear an ankle bracelet that electronically monitors their movement and compliance to established location parameters. This may involve the use of global positioning systems (GPS).

Escape: Absconding from a secure DJS residential program (including youth centers) or detention facility (including Community Detention).

Evidence Based Services (EBS): Programs that have been found to be effective based on the results of rigorous evaluations.

Family Centered Treatment (FCT an EBS): A family preservation model of in-home treatment provided by Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc (IFCS). The IFCS team utilizes FCT to help families learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns.

Felony vs. Misdemeanor: In Maryland a crime is either a felony or a misdemeanor. Generally, felonies are the more serious of these two types of crimes. However, there is no clear line for determining whether a crime is a felony or misdemeanor based on the statutory maximum penalty associated with the offense. Unless specified in a statute or the offense was a felony at common law, a crime is considered a misdemeanor. Most statutes specify whether a crime is a misdemeanor or a felony. Common law crimes retain common law grades as either felonies or misdemeanors unless changed through the legislative process. The General Assembly may choose to label a statutory crime a felony or misdemeanor independent of the amount of punishment the statute provides. The General Assembly may also choose to change the status of a crime from a misdemeanor to a felony or a felony to a misdemeanor.

Fiscal Year (FY): The time period measured from July 1st of one year to June 30th of the following year. FY 2015 runs from July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015.

For Authorization of Formal Petition (FAFP): DJS has statutory authority to screen all juvenile complaints referred. A case not resolved or diverted through an informal agreement by DJS intake officers will result in an FAFP that requests the juvenile court take action on the complaint.

Functional Family Therapy (FFT - an EBS): An outcome-driven prevention/intervention program for youth demonstrating the entire range of maladaptive behaviors such as delinquency, violence, substance use, Conduct Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, or Disruptive Behavior Disorder. Flexible delivery of service is provided by one or two person teams to clients in home, clinic, school, juvenile court, community-based programs, and at re-entry from institutional placement.

Global Positioning System (GPS): A global navigation satellite system that provides location and time information for youth monitored with a global positioning system receiver.

Group Home: A residential program licensed by DHR, DJS or MHA/DHMH to provide 24-hour supervised out-of-home care for 4 or more youth providing a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services.

Hardware Secure Facility: A facility that relies primarily on the use of construction and hardware such as locks, bars, and fences to restrict freedom.

Informal (or "Pre-Court") Supervision: An agreement between DJS and a youth and family to enter into counseling and/or DJS monitoring without court involvement.

Intake: The process for reviewing a complaint against a youth and determining whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public and/or the youth. During intake, youth and their family may be offered services.

Juvenile Court: A division of the Circuit Court in Maryland.

Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI): A best practice model that addresses inappropriate and unnecessary use of detention and reduces the failures of juveniles to appear in court. A primary goal of JDAI is to reduce overcrowding in detention centers by safely maintaining youth in the community in detention alternatives without jeopardizing public safety or increasing the number of youth who fail to appear for court.

Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit (JJMU): The Unit, administratively housed in the Office of the Attorney General, investigates the needs of children under the jurisdiction of DJS and determines whether their needs are being met in compliance with State law. This includes evaluating conditions of facilities housing youth, reporting on treatment of and services to youth, and investigating allegations of child abuse.

Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP): MCASP uses integrated case management to assess youth's risks and needs throughout their DJS involvement and develop interventions to accomplish the goals of public safety and youth rehabilitation. MCASP enables DJS to strengthen individualized service plans for youth and families and match them with appropriate services/programs; to track youth progress; and to ensure that each youth receives the level of supervision consistent with his or her risk to public safety.

Maryland Evaluation and Treatment Services (METS) formerly SMART: A web-based platform that provides key case management functions, including assessments, contacts, and treatment service planning in coordination with the DJS ASSIST system.

Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC): Under DPSCS, MPCTC is vested with the authority to set standards of initial selection and training for all governmental law enforcement, correctional, parole and probation, and juvenile services employees in the State of Maryland, and to otherwise upgrade the professionalism of these officers. MPCTC provides certification and on-going training to DJS staff.

Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care (MTFC - an EBS): A cost-effective alternative to group or residential treatment, committed placements, and hospitalization for youth with chronic anti-social behavior, emotional disturbance, and delinquency. Community families are recruited, trained, and closely supervised to provide youth with treatment and intensive supervision at home, in school, and in the community; clear and consistent limits with follow-through on consequences; positive reinforcement for appropriate behavior; a relationship with a mentoring adult; and separation from delinquent peers.

Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST): A specialized regional diagnostic team responsible for assessing and evaluating youth who are detained and at risk of out-of-home placement, prior to disposition. Following the in-depth review, the MAST prepares security and treatment recommendations to the juvenile court. The MAST includes a psychologist, social worker, community and facility case managers and supervisors, resource specialist, MSDE, and individuals from other disciplines as needed.

Multisystemic Therapy (MST - an EBS): An intensive family- and community-based treatment program that addresses the serious anti-social behavior of juvenile offenders. The major goal of MST is to empower parents and youth with the skills and resources needed to independently resolve the difficulties that arise in coping with family, peer, school, and neighborhood problems. Intervention strategies include family therapy, structural family therapy, behavioral parent training, and cognitive behavior therapies. MST is a home-based model of service delivery.

Operation Safe Kids (OSK): A collaboration between the Baltimore City Health Department, DJS, and other state and city agencies to provide intensive community-based case management and monitoring of high-risk juvenile offenders to prevent them from becoming victims/perpetrators of violent crimes and to ensure they have tools to become productive adults.

Pending Placement: A temporary placement status for youth who have been committed for placement in an out-of-home residential facility and are awaiting placement. Youth may be pending placement in a variety of settings including: detention, home, home with additional services, home under community detention and/or electronic monitoring, family shelter care, structured shelter care, acute care hospitals, or psychiatric respite care programs.

Petition: A formal written request filed with the juvenile court alleging that a child is delinquent, in need of supervision (CINS) or in need of assistance (CINA).

Placement versus Admission: A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention or a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Thus, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Training (PACT): PACT Evening Reporting Center serves youth 14 to 17 years of age in Baltimore City as an alternative to detention. It utilizes a youth development model and works to collaborate with participants, their families, DJS, and other partners to develop a plan to address the underlying issues which lead to anti-social or delinquent behavior.

Probation: Court-ordered supervision of youth in the community requiring youth to meet court-ordered probation conditions (general and case specific), including, for example, school attendance, employment, community service, restitution, counseling, or participation in substance abuse treatment.

Recidivism: Subsequent juvenile/adult criminal involvement of youths released from committed residential programs/placed on probation.

Relative Rate Index (RRI): A measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring disproportionality at the various contact points (arrest, court referral, etc.) that youth have with the police and DJS. For example, RRI compares the arrest rate for White youth with the arrest rate for youth of a specific minority group. In its simplest form, the RRI is simply the rate of activity involving minority youth divided by the rate of activity involving majority youth.

Resident Advisor: DJS staff position that provides supervision, support, care, and monitoring of youth placed in DJS-operated facilities.

Residential Treatment Center (RTC): A mental health facility for children and adolescents with long-term serious emotional, behavioral, and psychological problems. RTCs provide intensive services and should only be considered when therapeutic services available in the community are insufficient to address a youth's needs. In addition to Maryland RTCs, DJS uses a variety of out-of-state providers, including RTCs funded through Medical Assistance, with rates set by the Maryland Interagency Rates Committee, and facilities that are not RTCs and serve moderate-to-high-risk multi-problem youth. These are youth who may be exhibiting moderate psychiatric symptomatology and aggressive behavior, or who have histories of unsuccessful/repeated placements and/or hospitalizations. Treatment models vary depending on the client focus of the program but all provide individualized treatment plans, are comprehensive in services, highly structured, treatment oriented, and behaviorally focused.

Residential Treatment Service Plan (RTSP): A structured treatment planning tool to guide treatment services for youth placed in DJS-operated committed programs.

Resolved at Intake: A determination that furthering the case by forwarding it to the State's Attorney's Office for formal processing would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.

SafeMeasures: An analytic service provided to DJS by the National Council on Crime & Delinquency to improve its community case management system. SafeMeasures pulls data from DJS's existing databases and creates visual indicators and reports to ensure that case managers and supervisors are meeting the agency's requirements for effective community supervision.

Shelter Care: Temporary, short-term (1-30 days), non-secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition. Shelter beds serve as an alternative to detention or other short-term circumstance where family or other housing is not available.

Social History Investigation (SHI - formerly PDI): The written study of a youth and his/her family that is presented to the juvenile court. A Social History Investigation emphasizes social and legal histories as well as the domain areas of: family functioning, substance abuse, mental health, somatic health, education, employment, and life skills.

Spotlight on Schools (SOS) Program: An initiative to place case managers on-site at certain key schools across the state. DJS Spotlight workers monitor and respond immediately to attendance issues, referrals for disruptive behavior, suspensions, and drop-out issues for

youth under DJS supervision, and offer prevention and early intervention services to other at-risk students. In addition, SOS workers provide immediate, on-site intake services for students involved in delinquent activity.

Staff Secure: Residential programs where youth movement is controlled by staff supervision rather than by restrictive architectural features.

State Advisory Board: The Board consists of 19 members appointed by the Governor for three-year terms. The Board recommends to the Secretary of Juvenile Services policies and programs to improve State juvenile services. The Board helps plan development, use of resources, and helps inform the public of the Department's work.

Treatment Service Plan (TSP): A written document identifying treatment objectives, services, and service linkages that address the needs of the youth and family. It also examines the safety and appropriateness of the youth's placement, guides DJS's recommendations to the juvenile court for permanency planning (where appropriate), and monitors level of supervision and services required.

Under 13 (U-13) Initiative: A school-based intervention designed to provide support and services for juvenile offenders ages 12 years and younger through collaborative partnerships between DJS, Baltimore City/PG County Public Schools, the local Department of Social Services, and other child-serving stakeholders.

Ungovernable: Defined by the Juvenile Court Statistics as being beyond the control of parents, guardians, or custodians or being disobedient of parental authority (i.e. CINS juveniles who are truants from school, violate curfew laws, or run away are ungovernable).

Violation of Probation (VOP): A hearing conducted by the court to determine if the conditions of community supervision have been violated. A VOP is considered a technical violation if it does not involve a new delinquent offense.

Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI): Implemented in January 2008, VPI provides intensified levels of supervision and targeted services for youth at highest risk of being victims/perpetrators of crimes of violence. This initiative incorporates a level system and continuum of graduated responses to ensure that immediate and appropriate actions are consistently applied when youth are non-compliant.

Youth: The preferred term for individuals under 18 years of age as used by DJS.

Commonly Used Acronyms

ADP	Average Daily Population
AIM	Accountability Incentives Management
ALOS	Average Length of Stay
APD	Assistant Public Defender
ART®	Aggression Replacement Training
ASA	Assistant State's Attorney
ASSIST	Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools
ATD	Alternatives to Detention
AWOL	Absent Without Leave
BCDC	Baltimore City Detention Center
BCJJC	Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center
BMHS	Baltimore Mental Health Systems
CD	Community Detention
CDS	Controlled Dangerous Substances
CHHS	Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School
CINA	Child In Need of Assistance
CINS	Child In Need of Supervision
CJCA	Council of Juvenile Correctional Administrators
CJIS	Criminal Justice Information System
CMS	Case Management Specialist
CMSS	Case Management Specialist Supervisor
COMAR	Code of Maryland Regulations
COP	Certificate of Placement
CRC	Central Review Committee
CYF	Cheltenham Youth Facility
D/ERC	Day/Evening Reporting Center
DBM	Department of Budget and Management
DDA	Developmental Disabilities Administration
DHMH	Department of Health and Mental Hygiene
DHR	Department of Human Resources
DJS	Department of Juvenile Services
DMC	Disproportionate Minority Contact
DPSCS	Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services
DRAI	Detention Risk Assessment Instrument
DRAP	Detention Reduction Advocacy Program
DRG	Data Resource Guide
EBP	Evidence Based Services
EM	Electronic Monitoring
FAFP	For Authorization of Formal Petition
FCT	Family Centered Treatment
FFT	Functional Family Therapy (an EBS)
FY	Fiscal Year
GOC	Governor's Office for Children
GOCCP	Governor's Office of Crime Control & Prevention
GPS	Global Positioning System
ICFA	Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions
IRC	Interagency Rates Committee
JCR	Joint Chairmen's Report
JDAI	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative
JJDPA	Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act
JJMU	Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit
LESCC	Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center
MAST	Multi-Disciplinary Assessment Staffing Team
MCASP	Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning
METS	Maryland Evaluation & Treatment Services (prev. SMART)
MHA	Mental Hygiene Administration
MSDE	Maryland State Department of Education
MST	Multisystemic Therapy (an EBS)
MTFC	Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care (an EBS)
MYRC	Maryland Youth Residence Center
NCCD	National Council on Crime and Delinquency
NFS	Non-Fatal Shooting
OJJDP	Office of Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention
OPD	Office of the Public Defender
PREA	Prison Rape Elimination Act
RA	Resident Advisor
RICA	Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents
RRI	Relative Rate Index
RTC	Residential Treatment Center
RTSP	Residential Treatment Service Plan
SAB	State Advisory Board (DJS)
SAO	State's Attorney's Office
SOS	Spotlight on Schools Program
TFC	Treatment Foster Care
TGH	Therapeutic Group Home
TSP	Treatment Service Plan
VOP	Violation of Probation
VPI	Violence Prevention Initiative
WMCC	Western Maryland Children's Center

Section I: An Overview of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services



Unveiling of a new glass mosaic mural, installed on the western façade of the American Visionary Art Museum. The mosaic was created by young men of the William Donald Schaefer House, through an apprenticeship program between DJS, MSDE, and the American Visionary Art Museum. The program spanned over nine months as the youth worked with Master Artist Mari Gardner.

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

The Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) is an executive agency charged with the responsibility of appropriately managing, supervising and treating youth who are involved in the juvenile justice system in Maryland. DJS provides individualized care and treatment to youth who have violated the law or who are a danger to themselves or others. Objective screening and assessment tools are utilized to manage youth with the guidance of the data collected. DJS works with partners in the community to achieve meaningful improvements to the outcomes of the youth served.

Mission of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services (DJS)

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

Objective Assessment Tools

Objective screening and assessment tools are used to guide key decision points. For example, DJS Intake Officers are statutorily empowered to screen juvenile offenses and determine which complaints should be referred to the State's Attorney's Office for formal court petitioning. This decision is guided by a risk assessment tool that is part of the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) process. It factors in a youth's history of delinquency, history of social risk factors, and the seriousness of the current offense(s) to identify those cases requiring court action.

The Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) evaluates whether a youth should be held in secure detention or placed in a supervised detention alternative while awaiting a hearing on the merits of a juvenile complaint. This tool helps the Department improve its detention practices by taking subjectivity out of the critical detention decision, with a goal of detaining only those youth who pose a risk to public safety, to themselves, or who would not otherwise appear in court.

If a youth has been adjudicated delinquent, the Department then utilizes the MCASP Needs Assessment to identify the youth's treatment and security needs, and serves as the basis for the Treatment Service Plan (TSP) development. Using an objective instrument allows the Department to make better matches between youth and the course of treatment available within the continuum of care. The continuum of care spans in-home probation supervision with services, community-based out-of-home treatment, and state and privately-operated secure programs, all designed to address youth needs, and the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior.

Managing with Data

The information developed from assessment tools as well as information collected by caseworkers, investigators, auditors, and facility staff is used in all levels of DJS management. Data reports are available to field staff and managers through the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST) and SafeMeasures reporting systems. Data are also regularly analyzed by the Research and Evaluation staff, generating reports for agency decision makers, the Governor's Office, and reports to the Maryland Legislature. This *Data Resource Guide* represents the most comprehensive report of the Department's aggregated data. County and program level data are now readily available to all. This is crucial for practitioners because statewide trends could mask local trends. As innovative new ways of examining information are uncovered, these will be incorporated into this publication.

Community Partnerships

DJS' partnerships start in the community at the field offices. In each locality throughout the state, DJS employs staff who work with the courts to provide intake services, supervision of youth, and recommendations to the court on detention as well as placement into care. Field staff work with community-based treatment providers to develop a range of offerings that meet the needs of youth in that community. State partners, such as the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), the Department of Human Resources (DHR) and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) collaborate at many levels to deliver services in the most effective manner. DJS also works closely with the Maryland State Police, local law enforcement agencies in Maryland and in surrounding jurisdictions, and the Governor's Office of Crime Control and Prevention (GOCCP) to ensure information is shared across agencies, and public safety goals are met.

Organizational Chart
As of November, 2015



Agency Organization

The Department of Juvenile Services serves the entire state by providing support for community programs and services, community supervision and case management, and custody and care of committed juveniles.

Headquarters, located in Baltimore City, houses the Office of the Secretary and functions as the hub for all support services.

Agency functions are divided among the following:

- The Secretary oversees the Chief of Staff, Deputy Secretaries, Office of the Inspector General, and the Offices of Legislation, Policy, and Communication.
- The Chief of Staff oversees the the Office of Fair Practice/Equal Employment Division and the Office of Family Engagement.
- The Deputy Secretary for Support Services manages the following offices: Budget & Fiscal Services, Capital Planning & Budgeting, General Services, Human Resources, Information Technology, Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) and Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) functions (Systems Reform), Research and Evaluation, and Professional Training and Education.
- The Deputy Secretary for Operations oversees operations that provide community-based services to youth and families, including community detention, field offices, and Statewide Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) efforts. She also oversees residential operations, including the managing director of Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC)/transportation services, as well as Somatic Health, Substance Abuse, and Mental Health Services.

Vision Statement

Successful Youth, Strong Leaders, Safer Communities

DJS Goals

1. Reduce violence against children through collaboration with law enforcement and other agency partners.
2. Reduce recidivism for supervised or committed youth.
3. Keep supervised and committed youth safe while holding youth accountable for their actions.
4. Promote continuums of care for referred and delinquent youth.
5. Build, maintain and empower a diverse, competent, and professional workforce.
6. Enhance the quality, availability and use of technology.

DJS Values:

- The safety of the citizens of Maryland and the fair, safe, and humane treatment for all youth in our care.
- The families, communities, stakeholders, and staff who support positive change in our youth.
- The experience, expertise, diversity, and integrity of our staff.
- Fairness and cultural competence regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual identity, or religion.
- Creating opportunities for youth and families to promote positive growth and development.
- A community-based approach with the least restrictive appropriate interventions.
- Continuous improvement and learning in all individuals.
- Excellence, innovation, and quality practices based on data and research.
- Change for the growth opportunities it brings.
- Accountability.
- Collaboration and teamwork.

Re-entry Strategic Plan Goals

After implementing a number of front-end system reform initiatives in recent years, DJS has expanded its reform efforts to the committed youth population. A statewide retreat was held bringing DJS' community and residential staff and administrators together with agency stakeholders including representatives from the Judiciary, Office of the Public Defender, State's Attorney's Office, service providers, and the advocacy community.

Following a Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats (SWOT) analysis and the development of targeted recommendations for better re-entry planning, DJS is currently developing a comprehensive Re-entry Strategic Plan with goals, objectives, and performance measures to ensure that youth being released from committed placement successfully transition to life back in their home communities. Among the objectives are transition planning components for family engagement, re-enrollment in school, and connecting to work opportunities.

- Goal 1: Reduce recidivism by providing supervision to all youth returning home from committed care.
- Goal 2: Engage families of committed youth at all key case planning decision points.
- Goal 3: Connect all committed youth needing educational services to local education resources.
- Goal 4: Connect all youth to local employment services and resources.
- Goal 5: Connect all youth in need of behavioral or somatic health services to local resources to provide continuity of care.

DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2015

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, the Department expended a total of $286.5 million. The first pie chart reflects the major cost centers for which these funds were expended and the second shows the expenditures by major object group.





Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution

1800s

- 1830: Maryland Legislature passes "An Act to Establish a House of Refuge for Juvenile Delinquents" creating for the first time an authority to provide troubled children with homes, education, and job training.
- 1850: House of Refuge opens.
- 1850-1882: Maryland builds four "reform schools" for young people, governed by private boards and segregated by race and sex.
- 1866: Maryland Industrial School for Girls opens, and is operated under various names, finally as the Montrose School, and closing in 1988.
- 1870: House of Reformation & Instruction for Colored Children opens. It operated under various names, renamed to the Cheltenham Youth Facility in 1992.
- 1882: Industrial Home for Colored Girls opens. Merged with Montrose School for Girls in 1962.

1910-1918

- House of Refuge (opened in 1850) becomes Maryland School for Boys (1910) and in 1918 becomes the Maryland Training School for Boys.

1922

- State Department of Education operates the training schools.

1943

- State Department of Public Welfare, Bureau of Child Welfare, Division of Institutions operates the training schools.

1960s

- 1966-1969: State Department of Juvenile Services becomes the central coordinating agency for juvenile investigation, probation and aftercare services, and for State juvenile, diagnostic, training, detention, and rehabilitation institutions.
- 1967: State Department of Juvenile Services assumes administrative responsibilities for all State children's centers and boys' forestry camps.
- 1968: Patterson House (Group Home for Girls) opens. It closes in 1992.
- 1969: Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, organizes the Juvenile Services Administration to administer all schools, youth detention centers, forestry camps, and probation/aftercare programs.

1970s

- 1970: Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center opens in Montgomery County.
- 1972: Group Home for Boys opens, becoming the William Donald Schaefer House in 1992.
- 1972: Maryland Youth Residence Center (MYRC) opens.

1980s

- 1982: J. DeWeese Carter Center opens.
- 1985: Maryland Training School for Boys becomes the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School.
- 1987: Juvenile Services Administration becomes an independent agency.
- 1989: The Department of Juvenile Services becomes a cabinet-level department.

1990s

- 1992: Victor Cullen Academy, which was a former tuberculosis sanatorium, opens.
- 1992: Boys' Village of Maryland renamed to Cheltenham Youth Facility.
- 1999: In November, DJS replaces its existing mainframe application, Information System for Youth Services (ISYS), with a client-server system referred to as the Automated Statewide Support and Information Systems Tools (ASSIST).

2002

- Victor Cullen Academy closes.
- HB 1081 - Requires the establishment of a community detention program.

Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution (continued)

- HB 1011 - Requires the Department to operate the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center as a centralized regional juvenile justice intake, assessment, court, and detention facility for Baltimore City and specified the powers and duties related to the Center.
- HB 962 - Authorizes the juvenile court to adopt a treatment service plan recommended by the Department in making a disposition on a specified petition. It also requires the Department to ensure that a treatment service plan adopted by the court is implemented within 25 days after disposition.

2003

- Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC) opens in October.

2004

- Day/Evening Center in Baltimore City opens in December.
- SB 767 /HB 1141 - Requires a child discharged from a committed residential placement receive step-down aftercare according to specified parameters.

2005

- Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School Committed and Impact Programs closes in July.
- HB 1339 - Requires the Secretary of Juvenile Services to establish a Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program in Baltimore City and County.

2007

- Victor Cullen Center reopens in July.
- MYRC closes in October.
- SB 359 - Reorganization and Regionalization requires DJS to serve youth with specified programming that delivers services on a regional basis.

2008

- Group Home Reform - Keeping Maryland Youth in Maryland Per Diem Reduction Initiative.
- Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) begins in Baltimore City in January and statewide in November.
- Opens Day/Evening Reporting Center in Prince George's County in November.
- SB 742 - Provides that all contracted residential child care programs must post a "Residents' Bill of Rights" in the facility and provide residents and their parents/guardians a handbook of the policies of the provider.
- Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center closes in December.

2009

- Collaboration with Operation Safe Kids to serve VPI youth in Baltimore City and Prince George's County.
- Silver Oak Academy opens (private provider serving only DJS youth).

2010

- Child Safety Net Dashboard launches in February.
- HB 1382 - Allows DJS to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in the District of Columbia and Virginia.

2011

- SB 62 - Authorizes the State Department of Education and the Department of Juvenile Services to share educational records when necessary to ensure the appropriate delivery of services.
- HB 1190 - Expands the Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program to include Cecil County, Montgomery County, and Prince George's County.
- The committed female population moves from Waxter Facility to J. Deweese Carter Center in November.
- CHALLENGE behavioral management program is implemented at Carter Center in November. CHALLENGE is designed to change youth behaviors, decision-making, and belief system to support the development of pro-social skills by using clear behavioral expectations within a structured daily routine with positive reinforcers. Ultimately, youth learn that their behaviors and actions are their responsibility.

2012

- SB 245 - Allows DJS to begin to develop a continuum of care by granting the agency the ability to move youth between committed placements.
- CHALLENGE is implemented at Victor Cullen Center in March, the Youth Centers in August, and William Donald Schaefer House in October.
- Central Review Committee is formed to implement the terms of SB 245 and commences its operation in July.
- DJS begins screening for victims of human sex trafficking at the Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center in March. The human trafficking screening tool is expanded to the Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center in December.

2013

- HB 245 - Expands DJS's ability to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in Delaware, Pennsylvania and West Virginia.
- Silver Oak Academy receives approval to increase their treatment capacity from 48 beds to 96 beds.
- Under-13 (U-13) Initiative commences in Baltimore City in May. The U-13 Initiative is a school-based intervention designed to provide support and services for juvenile offenders ages 12 years and younger through collaborative partnerships between DJS, Baltimore City Public Schools, the local Department of Social Services, and other child-serving stakeholders.
- From March to May, over 56 training sessions are held where approximately 1,100 Department employees learn to recognize the signs of possible human sex trafficking victimization among youth involved in the juvenile justice system and apply appropriate responses to support possible victims.

2014

- The Department begins screening for victims of human sex trafficking at the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School in March.
- The U-13 Initiative expands to Prince George's County in May.

2015

- Accountability Incentives Management (AIM) - DJS Graduated Responses Initiative is implemented statewide in July.
- SB 172 / HB 618 - Requires eligible youth who are charged as adults and require detention to be held in juvenile detention facilities.
- Seven DJS facilities (Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center, J. DeWeese Carter Center, Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center, Meadow Mountain Youth Center, Savage Mountain Youth Center, Victor Cullen Center, and Western Maryland Children's Center) were audited and earned 100% compliance with federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards.

Historical photo from the boys' forestry camps

Department of Juvenile Services Historical Evolution Highlights Since 2005

2005

- HB 1339 - Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program in Baltimore City and County
- Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School Committed & Impact Programs closes

2006

2007

- Victor Cullen Center reopens
- Maryland Youth Residence Center (MYRC) closes
- SB 359 - DJS Reorganization and Regionalization

2008

- Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center closes
- SB742 - Residential Child Care Program Bill of Rights
- Prince George's County Day/Evening Reporting Center opens
- VPI begins in Baltimore City (Jan.) & Statewide (Nov.)
- Group Home Reform: Keeping MD Youth in MD Per Diem Reduction Initiative

2009

- Collaboration with Operation Safe Kids (OSK) in Baltimore City & Prince George's County
- Silver Oak Academy (private provider) opens

2010

- HB 1382 - Juvenile Records Disclosure
- Child Safety Net Dashboard launches

2011

- SB 62 - Juvenile & Education Records Disclosure
- HB 1190 - Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program Expansion
- Committed females move from Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center to J. Deweese Carter Center
- CHALLENGE Program is implemented at Carter Center

2012

- Screening for victims of human sex trafficking begins at Thomas J.S Waxter & Alfred D. Noyes Children's Centers
- Central Review Committee Implemented
- SB 245 - Continuum of Care Legislation
- CHALLENGE Program is implemented in all facilities by October

2013

- HB 245 - Juvenile Information Sharing Increases
- Approval of Silver Oak Expansion
- U-13 Initiative commences in Baltimore City
- Training sessions held on signs &responses to human sex trafficking victimization among youth

2014

- U-13 Initiative expands to Prince George's County
- Screening for victims of human sex trafficking begins at Charles H. Hickey Jr. School

2015

- AIM implemented statewide
- DJS facilities audited earn 100% compliance with federal PREA standards
- SB 172/HB 618 - Eligible youth charged as adults requiring detention to be held in juvenile detention

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services
Process Flowchart

Key:
- Enter system
- Process for regular intake referral
- Referral involving detention request
- Court process for all youth
- Referral to outside services
- Exit system

Oval: End point
Rectangle: Process
Diamond: Non-DJS decision point
Starburst: Assessment tool

Diversion

1

Youth taken into police custody

Police Detention Request

2

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument

Detain until Court Appearance

Alternative to Detention

Court Appearance (next business day)

Citizen

Agency

Regular Referral

Intake Interview using Risk Screen

5

4

Release to Community

Alternative to Detention

Continue Detention

Out of DJS System

Petition Denied

State's Attorney's Office

Petition Forwarded (by Formal Charging)

Referrals to Services

Family Referrals

School Referrals

Community Referrals

3

Disapproved/ Resolved

Informal Pre-court Supervision

Formal

Out of DJS System

Successful

Unsuccessful

Out of DJS System

6

State's Attorney's Office

Petition

Deny

Out of DJS System

Adjudication Hearing

7

Found delinquent

Found not delinquent

Out of DJS System

8

Social History Investigation

Risk & Needs Assessment

Disposition Hearing

9

Detained Pending Placement

11

Evidence Based Services (EBS) In-Home

13

Probation & Aftercare

10

VPI – Intensive Supervision

14

Commitment

12

Out of Home Placements – State run & Private

Needs Reassessment (every 6 months)

TSP Update and Supervisory Case Review (every 90 days)

Treatment Service Plan

Revised 12/03/15

Steps in the Juvenile Justice System (See Process Flowchart)

1. Youth can be referred to DJS by law enforcement, schools, citizens, and parents. Some police departments run diversion programs, and only those youth who fail out of the program would be referred to DJS.

2. DJS makes an emergency detention decision to determine if a youth requires secure detention until the next court day. This decision is guided by a Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI).

3. The intake complaint is assessed by an intake officer, who has statutory authority to determine how the case should be handled. The options are:
   - Disapprove as legally insufficient
   - Resolve, when it is determined that furthering the case would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.
   - Informal adjustment, where the family signs a 90-day agreement to certain conditions without court involvement. This is the main DJS court diversion program.
   - Formally authorize the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court for a hearing.

4. At the detention hearing, the juvenile court determines if detention is required until the adjudicatory or dispositional hearing. Youth may also be detained directly by the juvenile court in cases where the youth is presented during court hours, either on a new charge, a writ or warrant, or due to a violation or sanction of a supervision order (probation, community detention, drug court, etc). All secure detention centers are operated by DJS.

5. Youth who present a lower risk may also be supervised in programs providing alternatives to secure detention. These programs ensure that the youth is available to attend the adjudicatory hearing, and must comply with certain restrictions, which include house arrest, electronic monitoring, or day and/or evening reporting centers. Structured shelter is also used for cases where the youth cannot return home after arrest but otherwise represents a lower risk.

6. DJS formally authorizes the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court. The State's Attorney then reviews the complaint, and may dismiss it, or file a petition to the juvenile court for an adjudicatory hearing.

7. At the adjudicatory hearing, the juvenile court determines the outcome of the petitioned charges, which can be sustained or not sustained.

8. While a youth is awaiting adjudication, disposition and/or supervision or placement, DJS conducts a series of assessments and investigations which will guide the DJS recommendation to the court on how the case should be handled.

9. For cases where the charges are sustained, a dispositional hearing is held to determine if the youth requires supervision by DJS under a probation order, or will be committed to DJS' care which usually indicates an out-of-home placement.

10. For youth whose disposition is probation, DJS case managers provide supervision and services while the youth resides at home. Supervision intensity varies depending on the risk level of youth. Standard community supervision levels include low, moderate, or high supervision intensity. The most intensive supervision is provided as part of the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI), and includes three supervision levels (Levels I, II, and III).

11. Youth who are committed to the Department for out-of-home placement may continue to wait in detention "pending placement" for an appropriate placement to become available.

12. Youth who are committed to an out-of-home placement may be placed in a broad variety of programs (state-run or private, secure or non-secure) depending on the risk level and treatment needs of the youth.

13. DJS has committed diversion programs for youth who might otherwise be placed out-of-home. These in-home programs provide services and treatment to the youth and family, including Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care - Adolescent (MTFC-A), and Family Centered Treatment (FCT).

14. Youth returning home from a committed placement are supervised by DJS case managers. This supervision is at various intensity levels, and case managers also assist youth with school re-entry, employment, and other services.

Professional Training and Education Unit (PTEU)

The Professional Training and Education Unit (PTEU) supports DJS and its employees through innovative management processes and procedures that promote and support effective practices in juvenile justice. PTEU provides leadership and planned direction for organizational development which includes management of processes including strategic planning and a training continuum from Entry Level Training (ELT) through Executive Training.

An organization's backbone is the people and the talents they bring to the job. PTEU assists in the design of employee engagement strategies to motivate, manage, retain, and develop the DJS workforce to its fullest potential in alignment with the vision, mission, values, and goals of the Department.

The key to success for any organization is the ability to attract and retain skilled and talented people. Professional Training is the process of developing, training, and keeping a workforce who accomplishes the goals of the organization by providing continuous learning opportunities to enhance the knowledge, skills, and abilities of all employees.

PTEU conducts ELT sessions as needed for newly hired employees. ELT must be completed within the first year of employment with the agency. PTEU also conducts four Supervisor Training sessions per year for those employees who have been promoted to supervisory positions. Supervisor training must be completed within one year of the promotion date.

PTEU assigns Regional Training Managers who coordinate the delivery of required annual in-service training and provide direct continuing training support for staff. The Regional Training Manager's responsibilities include: ensuring 100% compliance with in-service training requirements for current staff within their purview; coordinating, in conjunction with a facility or regional scheduler, an annual comprehensive in-service training program; ensuring that the training schedule meets the requirements of the Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC) regulations (minimum of 18 hours of in-service training); ensuring that the training schedule complies with DJS and national standards for juvenile justice training of 40 hours in-service training; tracking and ensuring accurate data-entry in the Skills Manager database for all staff within their purview; managing course registration and administration for the assigned facilities or regional offices. When necessary, the Regional Training Manager provides technical guidance, advice, and instruction to new DJS staff; determines individual organizational needs for their region or facility; plans employee development strategies and interventions; correctly applies concepts learned in the classroom to field training operations; monitors the progress of trainees; makes recommendations for improvement; and ensures trainees are current on all qualifications.

DJS employees who provide direct care to youth under the Department's supervision are required by the MPCTC to be certified. PTEU is responsible for ensuring that all DJS employees complete their required annual training to maintain their certifications.

In-Service Training: PTEU has initiated the development of a comprehensive in-service training structure that will provide intermediate and advanced training in some content areas. Additionally, PTEU initiated the development of opportunities to engage technology in training through webinars, videoconferencing, and teleconferencing.

Specialized Training/Initiatives: During calendar year 2015, the PTEU has completed initial mandated PREA training for facility staff and authorized providers. Maryland DJS is the first agency in the United States to roll out the Youth Mental Health First Aid (YMHFA) training program. The PTEU completed the mandated CHALLENGE Program for all residential staff in calendar year 2014. In calendar year 2015, all mandated facility staff will be scheduled to attend the annual in-service CHALLENGE refresher training. The PTEU has also implemented the MPCTC approved General Corrections Train the Trainer (T for T) course which is scheduled quarterly during the calendar year. The Aspiring Supervisor Program is designed to develop and enhance knowledge, skills, and abilities of employees who may perform lead, or temporary supervisor duties and responsibilities, or who may aspire to become a supervisor. PTEU continues to partner with outside organizations that develop training to meet the needs of the agency staff.

Secretary Abed with an Entrance Level graduating class.

Skills Manager Training Database: MPCTC requires all public safety agencies across the State to maintain all training data in the Skills Manager Training Database. Skills Manager is a robust system for collecting, managing, and reporting of records related to the development of DJS employees for the duration of their career. It monitors personnel training and certifications in many categories including ELT, in-service training, and instructor training. This training management system has a component entitled VISTA that will allow staff to provide employees with convenient access to their own professional development and training records.

Leadership Development Institute (LDI): DJS continues to evaluate the most effective methodology for the development of leadership. We still support and encourage participation in the MPCTC's Leadership Development Institute, which offers a variety of courses in management and leadership development.

Flow of FY 2015 Case Referrals



In FY 2015:

- There were a total of 23,446 juvenile complaints processed by DJS.
- 50.9% of those juvenile complaints were referred to the State's Attorney for the authorization of a formal petition (as opposed to being resolved at intake or juvenile receiving 90-days of pre-court supervision).
- Of the 11,941 juvenile complaints referred to the State's Attorney, 87.0% were petitioned and resulted in a court disposition. The remaining 13.0% includes 7.7% not petitioned (925), 4.5% denied by State's Attorney (536), and 0.8% initial petition withdrawn (92).
- Of the 10,388 court dispositions:
  - 27.1% received probation.
  - 8.9% were committed to DJS.
  - The remaining 63.9% were dismissed/closed, continued, transferred, nolle pros., pending disposition, jurisdiction waived, writ pending, inter-region/state, or other.



Section II: Intake and Community Supervision

The Adventure Diversion Program from Carroll County took a trip to Washington, D.C. The youth visited the United States National Arboretum and the Smithsonian's National Museum of Natural History. The youth on the trip were court-ordered to participate in this 90-day program focusing on team and confidence building and educates youth on preserving nature by exposing them to structured outdoor activities.

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision

The DJS Community Services Division provides a continuum of services to youth across multiple stages of the juvenile justice system. The provision of community services begins at DJS intake where DJS intake officers receive complaints from persons or agencies – for example, private citizens, schools, victims, or law enforcement agencies – and assess whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is warranted. In addition to screening complaints received at intake, Community Services staff directly supervise youth placed on Informal (or Pre-Court) Supervision, Community Detention and Electronic Monitoring (CD/EM), Probation, Aftercare, and/or Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) program supervision. Case supervision and management consists of a number of tasks which will be described in more detail below.

Juvenile Intake

DJS intake officers review all delinquent and Child in Need of Supervision (CINS) complaints, citations, referrals for service, and peace order requests. Intake officers are directed to make a determination within 25 days as to whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction, and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public or the child. DJS intake officers are authorized to either: (a) disapprove a complaint as legally insufficient; (b) resolve the matter at intake; (c) propose an informal adjustment period (also called pre-court supervision); or (d) authorize the filing of a petition by the State's Attorney's Office.

The intake decision-making process may involve an interview with the youth, parent and/or guardian, and where applicable, the victim(s). The intake decision is also guided by the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen (see Appendix I). The MCASP Intake Risk Screen is completed at intake for all alleged offenses except alcohol, tobacco, and possession of marijuana (less than 10 grams) citations, status offenses (e.g., curfew violations and runaway complaints), and traffic offenses. MCASP Intake Risk Screen items create a delinquency history score and a social history score. The instrument generates a recommended intake decision based on the intersection of these two scores and the nature of the most serious alleged offense (whether it is a felony or misdemeanor). If a youth is referred for a violent felony offense, the instrument recommends that the case be handled formally by authorizing the filing of a petition.

In addition to assessing the merit of complaints received at intake and making the case forwarding decision, DJS intake officers are responsible for determining whether juveniles who have been taken into custody require secure detention or may be released to a parent, guardian, or other responsible adult and, if so, under what conditions. Some youth are released to a caregiver without any additional restrictions or conditions. Other youth are released to a caregiver and required to participate in an alternative to detention (ATD) program such as CD/EM. Lastly, some youth are detained or placed in shelter care pending a court hearing which is held no later than the next court date.

Intake officers are directed by statute (Md. Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings, section 3-8A-15) to authorize detention if detention is deemed necessary to protect the youth or others, or if the youth is deemed likely to leave the jurisdiction of the court. Shelter care may be authorized for the same reasons as detention. Additionally, shelter care can be utilized if a parent, guardian, or custodian is not available to provide supervision and care until the child returns to court.

The decision to authorize detention is guided by a decision-making tool called the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI). The DRAI is designed to provide an objective assessment of a youth's risk to the community as well as the probability that the youth will fail to appear for future court dates. The DRAI used during FY 2015 was implemented in July 2013 (for the current DRAI see Appendix F).

Intake services are provided by DJS regions during normal working hours (i.e., Mon-Fri., 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.). The Baltimore City Region provides 24/7 intake services and houses a centralized intake unit at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center. The centralized intake unit handles all emergency intake services during non-traditional work hours and/or days for all regions across the state.

Community Supervision - Informal Adjustment (or Pre-Court Supervision)

As discussed above, one option for a case received at DJS intake is to handle the case informally without involving the juvenile court through an Informal Adjustment/Pre-Court Supervision period of up to 90 days. Pre-Court Supervision is an agreement executed by the DJS intake officer that stipulates conditions of the supervision period. The agreement requires consent by the youth, parent/guardian, and victim (where applicable). Approval by the State's Attorney's Office is required for a felony offense. Agreements are tailored to the individual circumstances of the case and may include the payment of restitution, the completion of community service hours, as well as participation in specialized counseling or treatment programs such as substance abuse treatment. In some circumstances, the period of informal supervision may be extended to 180 days to allow for the completion of a substance abuse treatment program. Note that if a youth fails to meet the conditions of the agreement, the DJS intake officer may elect to forward the case to the State's Attorney's Office for review.

Community Supervision – Community Detention

DJS provides regional community detention and electronic monitoring services (CD/EM) typically for youth whose cases have been forwarded to the juvenile court at intake and are pending adjudication or disposition. The program operates as the primary state-run ATD, allowing youth to continue participating in community activities such as school or work, and helping maintain community ties and support systems. Note that CD/EM may also be ordered by the court as a condition of probation or aftercare supervision.

Community Detention supervision includes face-to-face and telephone contacts as well as random unannounced visits at home, school, and work. The level of supervision depends on the assessed supervision needs of each youth and the court-ordered release conditions. Community Detention officers are trained to detect violations of court-ordered release conditions and report any changes in a youth's home or school situation. Youth who violate the conditions of release may be withdrawn from the program and placed in secure detention.

The electronic monitoring component provides an additional layer of supervision. Compliance is monitored electronically through an ankle bracelet placed on the youth by a Community Detention officer. A transmitting unit is placed in the family home. A daily report is generated by the central computer and transmitted to an EM coordinator. This information is examined and any violations of the authorized schedule are transmitted for further consideration.

The CD/EM command center is located in Baltimore City. A centrally-operated command center is most efficient given the need for 24/7 staffing.

Community Supervision – Probation

Youth who are adjudicated and found delinquent by the juvenile court may be placed on probation under the supervision of a DJS case management specialist (CMS). Probation is the most frequently used juvenile court disposition. A probation term requires youth to abide by general supervision conditions, as well as any special conditions imposed by the court.

At the start of probation supervision (or in some instances, prior to disposition if ordered by the juvenile court), a Social History Investigation and report is completed by the assigned CMS. This report describes the social adjustment and circumstances of the youth and their family.

In addition, the CMS completes the MCASP Needs Assessment that includes components of the MCASP Intake Risk Screen completed at intake but provides a much broader and more comprehensive assessment of individual need. The MCASP Needs Assessment categorizes youth as either low, moderate or high on each of the following criminogenic need domains (i.e., needs related to re-offending risk): (a) School; (b) Use of Free Time; (c) Employment; (d) Community Relationships; (e) Family; (f) Alcohol and Drugs; (g) Mental Health; (h) Attitudes and Aggression; and (i) Neighborhood Safety. (See Appendix J for a list of MCASP Needs Assessment items).

The CMS uses the social history and MCASP Needs Assessment data to develop recommendations to the juvenile court and to create the Treatment Service Plan (TSP). A TSP is completed for each youth under court ordered supervision. A TSP includes the recommended supervision level for the youth, specific goals for the youth and family to meet, and a statement of services to be provided to the youth and family. In developing the TSP, input from youth, parents and/or guardians, and service providers (as appropriate) is also solicited.

Community Supervision – Aftercare and Re-entry

Aftercare supervision is similar to probation supervision in that the CMS performs many of the same supervisory tasks and relies on the same tools for decision-making (e.g., the MCASP Needs Assessment). Aftercare services are provided for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS for placement outside the home, or occasionally for the receipt of in-home services in some jurisdictions. A CMS is assigned to the case upon commitment; planning for aftercare starts before the youth is placed in a residential program.

Aftercare case management is comprehensive, beginning with assessments of the youth's progress while in residential placement to the provision of services intended to facilitate community reintegration upon release. Building on the services provided in the residential placement, the CMS is responsible for linking services for the youth and family, monitoring the youth's adjustment back to the community, and ensuring compliance with any court directive. As a step-down to community supervision, some youth are monitored with GPS supervision. The MCASP Needs Assessment provides guidance to case managers as they coordinate and plan for services.

A variety of programs is used to assist youth in the re-entry process, including independent living programs, transitional educational services, employment programs – such as, job readiness training, career exploration and vocational training – and, continued substance abuse and mental health treatment.

Community Supervision - Violence Prevention Initiative

The Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) is an intensive supervision program intended to reduce the number of juvenile homicides and non-fatal shootings. The VPI program focuses on youth under supervision (either probation or aftercare) who are believed to be at high-risk of involvement in violent offenses. The program combines intensive

surveillance (e.g., frequent contact with youth during non-traditional hours such as evenings and weekends, and GPS monitoring) with enhanced service delivery (e.g., drug or mental health treatment). Youth are sanctioned swiftly upon evidence of non-compliance in order to ensure the earliest possible intervention.

Interstate Compact on Juveniles (ICJ)

The ICJ unit provides for the cooperative supervision of probation and aftercare youth moving from state to state and the return of runaways, absconders, and escapees. As of 2014, a new compact was enacted forming the Interstate Commission for Juveniles and all 50 states are currently members. The ICJ governs each member state as to the provision of proper supervision or return of juveniles, delinquents, and status offenders on probation or aftercare who have absconded, escaped, or run away and in so doing have endangered their own safety or the safety of others. It is the responsibility of each member state to provide supervision and services to ICJ cases using the same standards that prevail for its own juveniles placed on probation or aftercare supervision.

DJS Offices by County and Region



Total Statewide Activity

There are six regions across the state that encompass 24 jurisdictions.

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.7%	0.9%	0.9%
Citizen	3.1%	2.9%	3.0%
Police	86.8%	86.1%	86.8%
School Referrals*	4.1%	4.5%	4.0%
Violation of Probation**	5.3%	5.6%	5.3%
Total Complaints	*27,550*	*25,132*	*23,446*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	51.8%	53.4%	50.9%
Informaled	17.6%	17.2%	16.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	30.6%	29.4%	32.5%
*Total Complaints**	*27,550*	*25,132*	*23,446*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.1%	7.8%	7.8%
Continued/Stet	9.0%	10.2%	14.2%
Dismissed/Closed	31.6%	29.9%	27.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.6%	0.7%	0.9%
Nolle Pros.	5.0%	4.8%	4.0%
Other**	11.3%	10.6%	8.0%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.3%	1.9%	5.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.0%	3.9%	4.5%
Probation	23.4%	23.5%	23.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.2%	2.2%	1.2%
Writ Pending[1]	4.5%	4.4%	2.8%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*14,259*	*13,415*	*11,941*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	642.1	N/A	642.1
Pre-Court	966.1	N/A	966.1
Probation	2,429.4	N/A	2,429.4
VPI*	685.9	214.2	900.2
Aftercare	685.2	491.5	1,176.7

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *US Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Maryland population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.5% (from 544,420 to 530,949).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 13.2% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 50.9% of complaints were formaled while 16.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.1% to 7.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 23.4% to 23.6%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 30.3 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 77.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Confinement in a Secure Correctional Facility (2.81), Arrest (2.48), and Secure Detention (2.30).
  - See Appendix O for details on the Relative Rate Index (RRI).
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 16.3 days
  - Pending Placement - 25.3 days
  - State-Operated Committed - 148.9 days
  - Aftercare - 274.8 days

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	60.5%	62.4%	63.2%
White	32.9%	31.3%	29.7%
Hispanic/Other	6.6%	6.4%	7.1%
Sex			
Male	73.0%	72.4%	72.5%
Female	27.0%	27.6%	27.5%
Age			
11 and under	3.4%	3.5%	3.7%
12	3.6%	3.9%	4.5%
13	7.3%	7.6%	7.9%
14	13.1%	12.9%	13.5%
15	19.1%	19.2%	19.2%
16	22.5%	22.5%	22.7%
17	28.1%	26.8%	25.5%
18-20	3.1%	3.5%	3.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*27,550*	*25,132*	*23,446*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	25.5%	30.7%	33.1%	36.5%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.9%
First Degree Assault	1.5%	3.4%	0.5%	1.8%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
Robbery	3.3%	8.5%	4.3%	11.0%
Second Degree Assault	18.6%	15.5%	24.2%	18.1%
Sex Offense	1.8%	2.5%	3.7%	4.1%
Property Offenses	34.4%	36.9%	31.4%	33.5%
Arson	0.4%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.1%	4.4%	1.1%	2.2%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.2%	8.0%	5.8%	6.5%
Malicious Destruction	6.6%	5.1%	5.0%	5.9%
Tampering	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Theft	16.2%	16.5%	17.2%	17.3%
Trespassing	2.7%	2.1%	1.4%	0.6%
Drug Related Offenses	7.3%	8.0%	9.5%	8.8%
Narcotics Distribution	1.7%	2.9%	2.9%	2.2%
Narcotics Possession	5.6%	5.1%	6.6%	6.7%
Citations	6.3%	2.0%	1.6%	0.5%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	2.9%	0.6%	0.8%	0.1%
Tobacco Violation	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.3%
CINS Offenses**	2.7%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	23.8%	20.6%	24.4%	20.6%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.3%	1.2%	3.6%	4.9%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	2.1%	1.8%	2.1%
Disturbing the Peace	7.5%	4.4%	4.8%	3.2%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	1.3%	0.7%	1.4%
Harassment	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	1.3%	3.2%	1.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.8%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Other+	0.8%	1.7%	0.6%	0.8%
Reckless Endangerment	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%	0.6%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	1.8%	1.5%	1.4%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
Unspecified Misdemean	4.9%	3.6%	5.8%	4.0%
Total Offenses	*36,811*	*7,616*	*2,241*	*780*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**11.5%**	**28.7%**	**9.2%**	**22.4%**
Felony	**8.5%**	**17.4%**	**11.6%**	**13.3%**
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	1.9%	2.0%	3.0%
- Property	4.6%	9.8%	3.5%	4.2%
- Drugs	2.5%	5.3%	3.0%	2.5%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.4%	3.1%	3.6%
Misdemeanor	**70.3%**	**50.6%**	**77.5%**	**63.7%**
- Person-to-Person	38.1%	28.0%	39.8%	30.8%
- Property	25.4%	17.5%	25.0%	22.3%
- Drugs	4.9%	4.3%	6.5%	6.6%
- Unspecified	1.9%	0.9%	6.2%	4.1%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.8%**	**0.3%**	**0.4%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**6.7%**	**1.3%**	**1.3%**	**0.5%**
CINS	**2.2%**	**1.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*23,446*	*3,713++*	*2,010*	*637*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
++ Includes 53 out-of-state placements

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	16.3	25.3	396.8	148.9	244.9	291.3	274.8
Releases	3,735	955	2,664	435	923	106	904

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	1,531	1,594	318	146	146
Pending	113	558	147	71	66

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Female Statewide Activity

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%
Citizen	5.2%	4.2%	5.4%
Police	87.2%	86.7%	85.4%
School Referrals*	4.5%	5.2%	5.1%
Violation of Probation**	3.0%	3.5%	3.6%
Total Complaints	*7,435*	*6,932*	*6,443*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	38.3%	40.1%	38.8%
Informaled	20.8%	19.6%	19.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.8%	40.4%	41.8%
*Total Complaints**	*7,435*	*6,932*	*6,443*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	4.7%	4.7%	4.8%
Continued/Stet	11.3%	11.9%	15.2%
Dismissed/Closed	31.1%	31.0%	28.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.2%	0.4%	0.7%
Nolle Pros.	6.5%	6.8%	5.4%
Other**	10.9%	9.3%	8.4%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.3%	2.1%	5.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.4%	4.4%	5.2%
Probation	21.7%	22.8%	21.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.0%	2.5%	1.4%
Writ Pending[1]	5.7%	4.3%	2.7%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*2,851*	*2,778*	*2,499*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	124.8	N/A	124.8
Pre-Court	304.9	N/A	304.9
Probation	522.7	N/A	522.7
VPI*	63.1	20.8	83.9
Aftercare	118.1	102.9	221.0

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *US Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the female population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.3% (from 266,332 to 260,148).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 14.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 38.8% of complaints were formaled while 19.4% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 4.7% to 4.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 21.7% to 21.6%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.4 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.2 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 84.2 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Data on racial and ethnic disparity are not available by sex.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 13.3 days versus 16.3 for males
  - Pending Placement (including ejections) - 28.3 days versus 25.3 for males
  - State-Operated Committed - 201.0 days versus 148.9 for males
  - Aftercare - 251.9 days versus 274.8 for males

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	59.7%	61.1%	62.7%
White	34.4%	33.7%	31.8%
Hispanic/Other	6.0%	5.2%	5.5%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	2.8%	3.3%	3.0%
12	4.1%	4.4%	4.9%
13	8.1%	9.5%	8.6%
14	14.2%	14.1%	14.9%
15	20.7%	18.8%	19.7%
16	22.6%	22.7%	22.0%
17	25.1%	24.1%	24.1%
18-20	2.4%	3.1%	2.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*7,435*	*6,932*	*6,443*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	30.5%	31.0%	38.2%	44.1%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.4%	3.2%	0.4%	3.4%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.8%
Robbery	1.4%	3.2%	2.0%	8.5%
Second Degree Assault	27.1%	22.8%	35.0%	28.0%
Sex Offense	0.4%	1.5%	0.6%	3.4%
Property Offenses	28.6%	32.6%	29.6%	28.8%
Arson	0.2%	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.0%	2.8%	0.2%	1.7%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	3.0%	3.9%	2.0%	3.4%
Malicious Destruction	5.2%	5.6%	5.8%	6.8%
Tampering	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	17.1%	17.4%	19.1%	16.9%
Trespassing	2.0%	2.2%	2.2%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	4.6%	5.0%	5.8%	7.6%
Narcotics Distribution	0.6%	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%
Narcotics Possession	4.1%	4.0%	4.8%	6.8%
Citations	6.1%	1.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	1.9%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.5%	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	4.3%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	26.0%	26.7%	25.4%	19.5%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.2%	1.0%	3.0%	3.4%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	2.5%	0.6%	3.4%
Disturbing the Peace	10.5%	7.3%	5.8%	3.4%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.8%	1.4%	1.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.2%	1.4%	0.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	1.2%	4.4%	0.8%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.6%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%	1.7%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	2.2%	2.6%	1.7%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	1.1%	0.4%	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemean	5.2%	5.0%	6.8%	4.2%
Total Offenses	*9,260*	*1,021*	*497*	*118*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**5.5%**	**14.5%**	**3.2%**	**17.3%**
Felony	**4.6%**	**9.2%**	**5.8%**	**6.7%**
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%	1.9%
- Property	2.8%	5.2%	1.9%	1.9%
- Drugs	0.8%	1.6%	1.1%	1.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	1.0%	2.4%	1.9%
Misdemeanor	**78.4%**	**71.7%**	**90.7%**	**76.0%**
- Person-to-Person	47.7%	42.3%	50.5%	40.4%
- Property	25.2%	24.3%	27.9%	23.1%
- Drugs	3.5%	3.6%	4.8%	7.7%
- Unspecified	2.0%	1.5%	7.6%	4.8%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.7%**	**0.3%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**6.8%**	**0.5%**	**0.2%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**4.0%**	**2.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*6,443*	*612++*	*463*	*104*
% of Statewide	*27.5%*	*16.5%*	*23.0%*	*16.3%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
++ Includes 13 out-of-state placements

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	13.3	28.3	372.5	201.0	218.2	296.2	251.9
Releases	606	140	580	22	213	8	122

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	256	272	57	11	10
Pending	16	78	19	11	16

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Statewide Activity for Youth Under Age 13

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	5.3%	4.2%	3.9%
Police	86.3%	85.5%	87.4%
School Referrals*	8.1%	9.2%	8.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.3%	1.1%	0.7%
Total Complaints	*1,908*	*1,868*	*1,914*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	36.5%	38.3%	34.6%
Informaled	24.7%	22.6%	25.5%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	38.8%	39.1%	39.9%
*Total Complaints**	*1,908*	*1,868*	*1,914*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	2.3%	4.1%	3.3%
Continued/Stet	12.9%	15.5%	19.6%
Dismissed/Closed	36.0%	34.0%	27.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	7.3%	4.1%	4.2%
Other**	10.3%	9.7%	7.1%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.7%	2.2%	8.6%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.6%	6.7%	6.5%
Probation	18.7%	19.3%	19.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.9%	1.5%	0.9%
Writ Pending[1]	3.3%	2.9%	1.8%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*697*	*715*	*662*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	30.3	N/A	30.3
Pre-Court	108.3	N/A	108.3
Probation	125.3	N/A	125.3
VPI*	15.2	5.9	21.1
Aftercare	15.7	18.5	34.2

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the juvenile population (ages 11-12) decreased 1.5% (from 150,414 to 148,121).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 12.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 34.6% of complaints were formaled while 25.5% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 2.3% to 3.3%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 18.7% to 19.9%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 30.4 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.7 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 90.8 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Data on racial and ethnic disparity is not shown due to the small population size.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 12.6 days
  - Pending Placement - 19.1 days
  - State-Operated Committed - 0.0 days
  - Aftercare - 0.0 days

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	65.0%	68.8%	66.1%
White	30.7%	27.2%	30.3%
Hispanic/Other	4.4%	3.9%	3.6%
Sex			
Male	73.4%	71.6%	73.5%
Female	26.6%	28.4%	26.5%
Age			
11 and under	48.4%	47.2%	45.2%
12	51.6%	52.8%	54.8%
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A
17	N/A	N/A	N/A
18-20	N/A	N/A	N/A
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*1,908*	*1,868*	*1,914*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	36.2%	40.8%	47.3%	43.5%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.3%	7.9%	0.0%	4.3%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.1%	5.3%	0.9%	8.7%
Second Degree Assault	28.9%	19.3%	33.6%	30.4%
Sex Offense	4.7%	7.9%	12.7%	0.0%
Property Offenses	33.0%	37.7%	30.9%	39.1%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.4%	10.5%	3.6%	17.4%
Malicious Destruction	12.3%	9.2%	12.7%	4.3%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Theft	12.3%	14.0%	11.8%	17.4%
Trespassing	1.9%	2.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.6%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.8%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	0.9%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.2%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	23.3%	17.1%	21.8%	17.4%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.0%	1.8%	1.8%	4.3%
Deadly Weapon	3.0%	0.9%	3.6%	4.3%
Disturbing the Peace	9.7%	3.1%	4.5%	4.3%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.4%	1.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	1.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Other+	0.8%	0.4%	2.7%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.1%	3.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	4.3%	3.5%	3.6%	4.3%
Total Offenses	*2,755*	*228*	*110*	*23*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**8.6%**	**35.5%**	**5.0%**	**42.9%**
Felony	**4.1%**	**7.5%**	**11.9%**	**0.0%**
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	2.2%	7.9%	0.0%
- Property	2.0%	5.4%	3.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**79.5%**	**51.6%**	**81.2%**	**57.1%**
- Person-to-Person	49.5%	32.3%	47.5%	35.7%
- Property	27.7%	16.1%	29.7%	21.4%
- Drugs	0.5%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.7%	1.1%	4.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.5%**	**1.1%**	**2.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**1.8%**	**4.3%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**5.5%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*1,914*	*93*	*101*	*14*
% of Statewide	*8.2%*	*2.5%*	*5.0%*	*2.2%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	12.6	19.1	234.6	0.0	327.9	0.0	0.0
Releases	93	7	30	0	22	0	0

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	38	44	8	3	0
Pending	0	5	2	0	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region I-Baltimore City Summary

Executive Director: Dwain Johnson
Assistant Regional Directors: Latonia Battle-White, John Perotta and Leslie Shell (Acting)

3 Offices:
300 North Gay Street, Baltimore, MD 21202 (ph) 443-263-8871
6502 Reisterstown Rd, Baltimore, MD 21215 (ph) 410-362-4400
530 N. Hilton Street, Baltimore, MD 21229 (ph) 410-585-2100

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	1.4%	1.8%	2.3%
Citizen	8.1%	7.4%	8.4%
Police	68.8%	69.1%	69.6%
School Referrals*	15.6%	15.7%	12.6%
Violation of Probation**	6.1%	6.0%	7.0%
Total Complaints	*3,996*	*4,016*	*3,390*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	79.2%	83.9%	80.6%
Informaled	9.0%	6.1%	7.5%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	11.7%	10.0%	11.9%
*Total Complaints**	*3,996*	*4,016*	*3,390*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.6%	9.4%	7.7%
Continued/Stet	3.4%	6.4%	12.4%
Dismissed/Closed	63.6%	53.7%	50.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	2.0%	2.3%	1.0%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.4%	1.8%	6.1%
Petition Denied by SAO	2.2%	4.4%	2.6%
Probation	18.8%	21.4%	19.1%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.6%	0.6%	0.2%
Writ Pending[1]	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*3,166*	*3,370*	*2,732*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	192.9	N/A	192.9
Pre-Court	79.1	N/A	79.1
Probation	532.0	N/A	532.0
VPI*	258.0	57.7	315.7
Aftercare	142.7	62.1	204.8

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Baltimore City population (ages 11-17) decreased 8.5% (from 53,370 to 48,825).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 30.4% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 80.6% of complaints were formaled while 7.5% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.6% to 7.7%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 18.8% to 19.1%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 14.6 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 10.1 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 70.3 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (7.26), Probation (1.63), and Delinquent Findings (1.17).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 12.8 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 27.1 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 140.3 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 264.8 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	95.6%	95.3%	94.0%
White	3.5%	3.6%	4.4%
Hispanic/Other	0.9%	1.1%	1.6%
Sex			
Male	79.9%	79.0%	77.2%
Female	20.1%	21.0%	22.8%
Age			
11 and under	4.0%	3.8%	2.8%
12	3.2%	3.6%	3.7%
13	7.6%	8.1%	7.3%
14	13.6%	15.0%	15.1%
15	19.5%	20.0%	21.5%
16	24.0%	22.8%	23.3%
17	26.7%	25.2%	24.4%
18-20	1.4%	1.5%	1.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*3,996*	*4,016*	*3,390*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	35.5%	34.8%	35.4%	39.9%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.4%	0.9%	0.4%	1.2%
First Degree Assault	3.2%	3.8%	1.0%	3.7%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Murder	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%
Robbery	9.3%	12.0%	8.4%	16.0%
Second Degree Assault	20.5%	14.5%	22.3%	16.0%
Sex Offense	2.0%	3.2%	3.3%	1.8%
Property Offenses	35.9%	36.4%	33.8%	28.2%
Arson	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	6.6%	7.7%	2.9%	3.1%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.2%	7.7%	5.5%	5.5%
Malicious Destruction	5.3%	4.1%	2.5%	2.5%
Tampering	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Theft	13.4%	14.6%	21.5%	16.0%
Trespassing	2.9%	1.9%	0.6%	1.2%
Drug Related Offenses	10.9%	12.7%	10.9%	16.0%
Narcotics Distribution	5.8%	6.4%	5.7%	5.5%
Narcotics Possession	5.1%	6.3%	5.3%	10.4%
Citations	1.9%	0.9%	1.0%	0.6%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	1.3%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.6%
CINS Offenses**	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	15.8%	15.2%	18.9%	15.3%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.6%	0.3%	3.7%	4.3%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	1.9%	0.8%	1.2%
Disturbing the Peace	3.4%	3.4%	0.6%	0.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%	3.7%
Harassment	0.9%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.9%	0.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.2%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Other+	1.9%	2.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%	0.9%	1.2%	1.2%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.5%	0.6%	1.2%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.5%	1.5%	8.2%	3.7%
Total Offenses	*4,926*	*2,313*	*512*	*163*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**22.4%**	**31.8%**	**14.2%**	**28.3%**
Felony	**20.2%**	**25.8%**	**16.1%**	**15.2%**
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	1.3%	1.9%	1.4%
- Property	10.6%	13.9%	5.1%	3.6%
- Drugs	7.9%	10.2%	5.7%	6.5%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.4%	3.4%	3.6%
Misdemeanor	**54.7%**	**41.0%**	**68.0%**	**56.5%**
- Person-to-Person	30.1%	20.4%	28.4%	21.0%
- Property	20.1%	15.8%	25.4%	20.3%
- Drugs	3.8%	4.6%	5.7%	10.1%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.3%	8.5%	5.1%
Ordinance Offenses	**1.0%**	**0.4%**	**0.6%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**1.6%**	**0.9%**	**1.1%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*3,390*	*1,357*	*472*	*138*
% of Statewide	*14.5%*	*36.5%*	*23.5%*	*21.7%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	12.8	27.1	350.5	140.3	201.5	278.0	264.8
Releases	1,353	195	617	75	159	34	175

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	710	478	85	38	42
Pending	19	106	37	19	14

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Region II-Central Summary

Regional Director: Mathew Fonseca; Assistant Regional Director: Celena Falline
Counties of Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Howard

10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130
Hunt Valley, MD 21031
410-527-4300

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	0.6%	0.7%
Citizen	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%
Police	92.5%	92.7%	93.0%
School Referrals*	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Violation of Probation**	6.2%	5.8%	5.5%
Total Complaints	*7,242*	*6,477*	*5,879*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	54.2%	56.4%	55.0%
Informaled	14.1%	13.8%	13.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	31.7%	29.8%	31.7%
*Total Complaints**	*7,242*	*6,477*	*5,879*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.2%	7.2%	6.9%
Continued/Stet	11.7%	13.2%	19.4%
Dismissed/Closed	17.3%	18.1%	15.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.7%	0.7%	1.1%
Nolle Pros.	15.5%	15.8%	12.3%
Other**	10.1%	8.5%	6.5%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.5%	2.2%	3.4%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.9%	0.7%	1.4%
Probation	31.2%	29.4%	30.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.0%	3.3%	2.3%
Writ Pending[1]	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*3,925*	*3,651*	*3,232*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	108.7	N/A	108.7
Pre-Court	210.8	N/A	210.8
Probation	528.1	N/A	528.1
VPI*	111.2	26.4	137.6
Aftercare	81.2	65.4	146.6

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Central Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.3% (from 145,360 to 141,989).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 7.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 55.0% of complaints were formaled while 13.4% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.2% to 6.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 31.2% to 30.0%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.1 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 84.9 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 16.9 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 22.0 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 152.9 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 219.6 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	58.4%	58.9%	62.7%
White	37.6%	36.9%	33.2%
Hispanic/Other	4.0%	4.1%	4.0%
Sex			
Male	69.6%	70.5%	70.8%
Female	30.4%	29.5%	29.2%
Age			
11 and under	2.7%	2.7%	3.2%
12	3.7%	3.7%	3.9%
13	7.6%	7.8%	8.1%
14	13.4%	12.2%	12.8%
15	19.0%	19.9%	18.8%
16	23.1%	23.0%	24.0%
17	27.4%	27.2%	27.2%
18-20	3.1%	3.4%	2.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*7,242*	*6,477*	*5,879*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	26.6%	32.8%	33.6%	32.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.8%
First Degree Assault	1.5%	4.6%	0.3%	2.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	3.2%	8.7%	4.3%	7.4%
Second Degree Assault	19.9%	16.4%	24.9%	13.2%
Sex Offense	1.8%	2.0%	4.1%	8.3%
Property Offenses	42.5%	42.1%	30.5%	38.0%
Arson	0.4%	0.2%	0.5%	0.8%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.4%	5.1%	0.0%	1.7%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.0%	8.0%	6.0%	9.1%
Malicious Destruction	8.4%	7.0%	4.8%	8.3%
Tampering	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Theft	20.9%	20.2%	17.7%	18.2%
Trespassing	3.3%	1.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	6.4%	6.5%	11.4%	6.6%
Narcotics Distribution	1.2%	1.4%	2.0%	0.8%
Narcotics Possession	5.1%	5.1%	9.4%	5.8%
Citations	5.4%	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	2.6%	0.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.6%	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	18.4%	16.8%	22.5%	23.1%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.3%	0.7%	2.4%	2.5%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	2.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Disturbing the Peace	5.5%	3.3%	6.0%	5.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%	0.8%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.7%	0.2%	1.7%
Harassment	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.0%	2.3%	3.9%	2.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Other+	0.7%	1.5%	0.2%	2.5%
Reckless Endangerment	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%	0.8%
Resisting Arrest	1.5%	3.0%	2.0%	1.7%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.8%	1.5%	3.8%	5.0%
Total Offenses	*8,963*	*1,062*	*586*	*121*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**10.9%**	**29.0%**	**8.8%**	**19.8%**
Felony	**8.2%**	**16.1%**	**9.2%**	**10.4%**
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	3.0%	2.0%	7.3%
- Property	5.4%	10.5%	3.3%	1.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	2.6%	2.1%	1.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	1.8%	1.0%
Misdemeanor	**74.5%**	**52.9%**	**79.7%**	**69.8%**
- Person-to-Person	38.5%	28.6%	43.2%	32.3%
- Property	30.9%	19.9%	22.3%	28.1%
- Drugs	4.0%	4.2%	9.6%	5.2%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.2%	4.7%	4.2%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.2%**	**0.2%**	**0.6%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**5.5%**	**0.6%**	**1.8%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.7%**	**1.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*5,879*	*503*	*512*	*96*
% of Statewide	*25.1%*	*13.5%*	*25.5%*	*15.1%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	16.9	22.0	325.7	152.9	223.9	492.5	219.6
Releases	496	150	694	54	147	4	138

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	193	222	40	23	18
Pending	17	99	23	7	4

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Baltimore County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Tracy Whitaker (Arbutus), Rodney Izzard (Dundalk/Essex), Krista Shockney (Garrison), Adrian Tyree (Hunt Valley)

Located in Region II - 4 Offices:
900 Walker Ave., Baltimore, MD 21228 (ph) 410-455-7800
431 Eastern Blvd. Ste. 100, Baltimore, MD 21221 (ph) 410-780-1200
10999 Red Run Blvd. Ste 115, Owings Mills, MD 21117 (ph) 410-356-5774
10946 Golden West Drive, Ste. 130, Hunt Valley, MD 21031 (ph) 410-527-4300

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.8%	1.0%
Citizen	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Police	92.8%	92.3%	92.7%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	6.7%	6.7%	6.1%
Total Complaints	*4,541*	*3,945*	*3,593*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	57.3%	62.3%	60.2%
Informaled	9.5%	10.2%	10.3%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	33.2%	27.5%	29.5%
*Total Complaints**	*4,541*	*3,945*	*3,593*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.2%	6.6%	6.5%
Continued/Stet	15.4%	17.4%	23.3%
Dismissed/Closed	9.7%	10.5%	10.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%
Nolle Pros.	22.0%	22.5%	17.1%
Other**	7.9%	4.6%	2.8%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.7%	2.7%	2.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%
Probation	31.1%	30.4%	32.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.8%	4.2%	2.8%
Writ Pending[1]	0.4%	0.7%	0.8%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*2,601*	*2,457*	*2,162*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	55.7	N/A	55.7
Pre-Court	105.0	N/A	105.0
Probation	332.0	N/A	332.0
VPI*	84.2	16.7	100.9
Aftercare	38.0	28.6	66.6

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Baltimore County population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.8% (from 72,708 to 70,673).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 7.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 60.2% of complaints were formaled while 10.3% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.2% to 6.5%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 31.1% to 32.9%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 26.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.0 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 88.2 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.10), and DJS Intake (1.16), and under-represented at Delinquent Findings (0.87).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 18.5 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 22.1 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 178.1 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 256.1 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	70.0%	68.9%	72.7%
White	27.7%	27.9%	24.2%
Hispanic/Other	2.3%	3.2%	3.1%
Sex			
Male	70.8%	70.0%	72.3%
Female	29.2%	30.0%	27.7%
Age			
11 and under	2.7%	2.3%	2.9%
12	3.7%	4.2%	4.0%
13	8.8%	8.0%	7.8%
14	14.3%	13.7%	13.7%
15	19.3%	20.3%	20.9%
16	22.6%	22.7%	24.6%
17	26.0%	25.6%	24.0%
18-20	2.7%	3.3%	2.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*4,541*	*3,945*	*3,593*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	28.2%	35.2%	37.4%	33.3%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	1.8%
First Degree Assault	1.7%	6.1%	0.5%	3.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	4.1%	10.1%	5.2%	7.0%
Second Degree Assault	19.8%	16.1%	27.8%	12.3%
Sex Offense	2.3%	1.5%	3.9%	8.8%
Property Offenses	46.7%	44.0%	31.7%	36.8%
Arson	0.5%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.1%	5.4%	0.0%	3.5%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.4%	7.9%	6.5%	12.3%
Malicious Destruction	8.8%	6.1%	3.9%	3.5%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Theft	23.6%	22.5%	18.7%	17.5%
Trespassing	3.4%	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	5.0%	6.7%	9.1%	8.8%
Narcotics Distribution	1.2%	1.8%	0.5%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.9%	4.9%	8.6%	8.8%
Citations	2.7%	0.8%	1.6%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	1.8%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.3%	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	17.2%	13.3%	20.3%	21.1%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.1%	0.3%	1.6%	3.5%
Deadly Weapon	2.6%	2.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.0%	2.4%	4.9%	1.8%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.8%	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	1.8%	3.1%	3.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Other+	0.8%	1.7%	0.3%	5.3%
Reckless Endangerment	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.6%	1.8%	2.3%	3.5%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.5%	0.6%	4.2%	3.5%
Total Offenses	*5,550*	*721*	*385*	*57*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**13.0%**	**32.8%**	**10.5%**	**24.4%**
Felony	**9.3%**	**16.4%**	**7.8%**	**12.2%**
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	1.5%	1.8%	7.3%
- Property	6.5%	11.6%	3.6%	2.4%
- Drugs	1.6%	3.3%	0.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	1.8%	2.4%
Misdemeanor	**74.9%**	**50.2%**	**79.5%**	**63.4%**
- Person-to-Person	37.5%	26.1%	43.1%	31.7%
- Property	33.9%	20.4%	22.9%	22.0%
- Drugs	2.6%	3.3%	9.3%	7.3%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.3%	4.2%	2.4%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.1%**	**0.3%**	**0.6%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**2.7%**	**0.3%**	**1.5%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*3,593*	*329*	*332*	*41*
% of Statewide	*15.3%*	*8.9%*	*16.5%*	*6.4%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	18.5	22.1	344.1	178.1	225.4	597.0	256.1
Releases	328	77	416	28	63	3	72

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	120	149	27	17	15
Pending	7	51	13	4	2

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Carroll County

Case Management Specialist Supervisor: Brian Gass

Located in Region II - 1 Office:
101 N. Court St., Westminster, MD 21157 (ph) 410-871-3600

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.2%	0.9%
Citizen	5.0%	4.1%	3.9%
Police	86.1%	89.3%	86.3%
School Referrals*	3.8%	3.7%	4.3%
Violation of Probation**	4.6%	2.7%	4.7%
Total Complaints	*634*	*513*	*466*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	47.9%	39.8%	40.1%
Informaled	32.3%	35.7%	26.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	19.7%	24.6%	33.0%
*Total Complaints**	*634*	*513*	*466*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.5%	11.8%	15.5%
Continued/Stet	7.2%	10.8%	17.1%
Dismissed/Closed	29.6%	21.1%	14.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.7%	1.5%	2.7%
Nolle Pros.	8.6%	10.3%	6.4%
Other**	14.5%	15.7%	19.3%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%
Probation	27.3%	25.0%	19.3%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.0%	2.0%	2.7%
Writ Pending[1]	1.3%	1.5%	1.6%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*304*	*204*	*187*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	9.9	N/A	9.9
Pre-Court	32.6	N/A	32.6
Probation	38.0	N/A	38.0
VPI*	2.4	1.6	4.1
Aftercare	10.0	13.5	23.5

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Carroll County population (ages 11-17) decreased 5.6% (from 17,668 to 16,671).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 13.7% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 40.1% of complaints were formaled while 26.8% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 9.5% to 15.5%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 27.3% to 19.3%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.5 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 60.9 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.52), Secure Detention (2.09), and Petition (1.42).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 20.1 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 14.8 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 113.5 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 148.1 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	9.9%	11.9%	15.5%
White	86.9%	84.0%	79.0%
Hispanic/Other	3.2%	4.1%	5.6%
Sex			
Male	71.9%	76.0%	71.2%
Female	28.1%	24.0%	28.8%
Age			
11 and under	1.9%	3.7%	3.2%
12	4.4%	3.5%	1.9%
13	3.6%	7.6%	7.9%
14	9.8%	9.0%	9.9%
15	20.2%	20.7%	15.9%
16	27.0%	21.1%	25.1%
17	30.6%	32.0%	33.7%
18-20	2.5%	2.5%	2.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*634*	*513*	*466*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	17.3%	15.0%	23.3%	29.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.2%	3.0%	2.3%	12.5%
Second Degree Assault	13.2%	8.0%	16.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.5%	4.0%	4.7%	16.7%
Property Offenses	33.6%	44.0%	25.6%	45.8%
Arson	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.2%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	8.8%	13.0%	7.0%	12.5%
Malicious Destruction	9.8%	8.0%	11.6%	12.5%
Tampering	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	10.1%	17.0%	4.7%	16.7%
Trespassing	3.1%	1.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	11.4%	6.0%	25.6%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.5%	0.0%	7.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	10.9%	6.0%	18.6%	0.0%
Citations	12.2%	3.0%	4.7%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.6%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	5.3%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.2%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	6.8%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	18.8%	26.0%	20.9%	25.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.6%	5.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
Disturbing the Peace	3.0%	4.0%	7.0%	0.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
Harassment	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.4%	4.0%	4.7%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	1.8%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.4%	0.0%	2.3%	4.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	7.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.3%	4.0%	2.3%	8.3%
Total Offenses	*736*	*100*	*43*	*24*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**9.2%**	**26.8%**	**4.9%**	**28.6%**
Felony	**5.8%**	**22.0%**	**14.6%**	**9.5%**
- Person-to-Person	2.6%	12.2%	4.9%	9.5%
- Property	2.1%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.6%	0.0%	7.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**67.4%**	**39.0%**	**78.0%**	**61.9%**
- Person-to-Person	31.5%	17.1%	34.1%	19.0%
- Property	22.3%	12.2%	24.4%	38.1%
- Drugs	12.4%	9.8%	19.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.4%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**7.9%**	**0.0%**	**2.4%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**9.2%**	**12.2%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*466*	*41*	*41*	*21*
% of Statewide	*2.0%*	*1.1%*	*2.0%*	*3.3%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	20.1	14.8	240.6	113.5	208.1	0.0	148.1
Releases	40	25	70	9	23	0	20

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	17	15	2	4	2
Pending	6	16	2	1	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Harford County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Paul Bowden

Located in Region II - 1 Office:
2 South Bond Street, Bel Air, MD 21014 (ph) 410-836-4680

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Police	91.0%	91.5%	92.6%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	9.0%	8.2%	7.4%
Total Complaints	*924*	*816*	*849*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	48.7%	45.1%	47.5%
Informaled	10.9%	9.2%	9.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.4%	45.7%	43.1%
*Total Complaints**	*924*	*816*	*849*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	12.2%	10.3%	7.7%
Continued/Stet	4.4%	1.6%	6.0%
Dismissed/Closed	22.7%	23.1%	21.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.9%	1.6%	1.5%
Nolle Pros.	0.9%	0.3%	4.0%
Other**	18.4%	27.2%	20.8%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	2.7%	7.2%
Petition Denied by SAO	2.4%	1.1%	0.7%
Probation	38.0%	30.7%	29.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	0.8%	1.5%
Writ Pending[1]	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*450*	*368*	*403*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	37.8	N/A	37.8
Pre-Court	18.6	N/A	18.6
Probation	64.7	N/A	64.7
VPI*	7.1	0.7	7.9
Aftercare	16.1	13.2	29.3

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Harford County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.5% (from 24,746 to 23,630).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 7.4% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 47.5% of complaints were formaled while 9.4% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 12.2% to 7.7%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 38.0% to 29.0%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 18.5 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 14.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 78.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.57).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 12.0 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 25.5 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 154.6 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 184.2 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	41.1%	45.1%	50.9%
White	55.1%	52.5%	45.5%
Hispanic/Other	3.8%	2.5%	3.7%
Sex			
Male	69.0%	71.8%	67.0%
Female	31.0%	28.2%	33.0%
Age			
11 and under	3.9%	2.0%	3.8%
12	3.9%	3.6%	5.3%
13	5.7%	8.0%	9.7%
14	12.8%	10.7%	10.8%
15	19.3%	20.1%	16.6%
16	23.7%	25.7%	21.6%
17	27.5%	26.5%	31.2%
18-20	3.2%	3.6%	1.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*924*	*816*	*849*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	34.5%	35.5%	31.7%	42.1%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	5.3%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.3%	5.8%	3.7%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	30.2%	25.8%	22.0%	31.6%
Sex Offense	1.6%	1.9%	6.1%	5.3%
Property Offenses	29.4%	36.1%	28.0%	31.6%
Arson	0.9%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.6%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	3.1%	8.4%	6.1%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.4%	11.0%	3.7%	15.8%
Tampering	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	12.7%	11.6%	17.1%	15.8%
Trespassing	2.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	7.7%	7.1%	17.1%	5.3%
Narcotics Distribution	1.1%	0.6%	6.1%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.6%	6.5%	11.0%	5.3%
Citations	5.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	23.4%	20.6%	23.2%	21.1%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.3%	1.3%	3.7%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	10.8%	7.7%	4.9%	10.5%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.8%	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	2.6%	7.3%	5.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	4.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.0%	2.6%	2.4%	5.3%
Total Offenses	*1,160*	*155*	*82*	*19*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**5.5%**	**16.1%**	**9.9%**	**6.2%**
Felony	**5.3%**	**12.6%**	**14.1%**	**12.5%**
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	4.6%	2.8%	12.5%
- Property	2.9%	6.9%	4.2%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	1.1%	5.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**83.4%**	**70.1%**	**76.1%**	**81.2%**
- Person-to-Person	52.8%	44.8%	47.9%	43.8%
- Property	23.7%	20.7%	16.9%	25.0%
- Drugs	5.5%	4.6%	8.5%	6.2%
- Unspecified	1.4%	0.0%	2.8%	6.2%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.2%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**5.5%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*849*	*87*	*71*	*16*
% of Statewide	*3.6%*	*2.3%*	*3.5%*	*2.5%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	12.0	25.5	357.3	154.6	239.0	0.0	184.2
Releases	84	28	88	7	34	0	29

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	37	38	8	1	0
Pending	2	20	4	1	1

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Howard County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Tim Madden

Located in Region II - 1 Office:
3451 Court House Dr., Ellicott City, MD 21043 (ph) 410-480-7878

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Citizen	0.4%	0.7%	0.5%
Police	96.2%	96.2%	97.7%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.1%	2.6%	1.6%
Total Complaints	*1,143*	*1,203*	*971*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	49.9%	51.7%	49.4%
Informaled	24.8%	19.6%	21.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	25.4%	28.7%	28.9%
*Total Complaints**	*1,143*	*1,203*	*971*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	4.4%	6.4%	4.8%
Continued/Stet	2.8%	4.3%	14.0%
Dismissed/Closed	41.6%	44.2%	35.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.9%	0.5%	2.1%
Nolle Pros.	1.2%	0.3%	0.0%
Other**	11.1%	10.1%	6.5%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.2%	1.0%	7.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.3%	3.5%	5.2%
Probation	27.9%	26.0%	22.1%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.8%	1.8%	0.6%
Writ Pending[1]	3.9%	1.8%	1.2%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*570*	*622*	*480*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	5.3	N/A	5.3
Pre-Court	54.6	N/A	54.6
Probation	93.4	N/A	93.4
VPI*	17.4	7.4	24.8
Aftercare	17.2	10.1	27.3

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Howard County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.6% (from 30,238 to 31,015).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 2.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 49.4% of complaints were formaled while 21.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 4.4% to 4.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 27.9% to 22.1%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 18.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.7 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 88.3 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in a Secure Detention (2.59), Petition (1.92), and Arrests (1.87).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 10.9 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 25.7 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 116.7 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 209.6 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	53.3%	55.5%	59.0%
White	35.3%	36.2%	34.1%
Hispanic/Other	11.5%	8.3%	6.9%
Sex			
Male	63.5%	68.8%	68.6%
Female	36.5%	31.2%	31.4%
Age			
11 and under	2.2%	4.4%	4.0%
12	3.2%	2.5%	3.3%
13	6.9%	7.3%	8.1%
14	12.7%	10.0%	12.3%
15	16.7%	18.0%	14.4%
16	22.2%	22.8%	23.5%
17	31.2%	31.2%	32.1%
18-20	4.8%	3.8%	2.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*1,143*	*1,203*	*971*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	19.6%	27.9%	22.4%	23.8%
Child Abuse	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.0%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2.7%	8.1%	1.3%	9.5%
Second Degree Assault	15.6%	11.6%	18.4%	14.3%
Sex Offense	0.4%	3.5%	2.6%	0.0%
Property Offenses	41.1%	34.9%	30.3%	38.1%
Arson	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.1%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.5%	2.3%	2.6%	4.8%
Malicious Destruction	6.5%	5.8%	6.6%	9.5%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	22.4%	20.9%	21.1%	23.8%
Trespassing	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	7.8%	4.7%	9.2%	9.5%
Narcotics Distribution	2.0%	1.2%	2.6%	4.8%
Narcotics Possession	5.8%	3.5%	6.6%	4.8%
Citations	12.7%	4.7%	3.9%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	3.7%	2.3%	3.9%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	1.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	18.7%	27.9%	34.2%	28.6%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.1%	0.0%	9.2%	4.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	3.5%	2.6%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.8%	2.3%	11.8%	14.3%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	2.3%	1.3%	4.8%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.7%	3.5%	3.9%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Other+	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.9%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	4.2%	4.7%	3.9%	4.8%
Total Offenses	*1,517*	*86*	*76*	*21*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**8.5%**	**28.3%**	**1.5%**	**11.1%**
Felony	**8.1%**	**15.2%**	**7.4%**	**5.6%**
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	4.9%	10.9%	2.9%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.6%	2.2%	2.9%	5.6%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**68.8%**	**52.2%**	**85.3%**	**83.3%**
- Person-to-Person	33.2%	26.1%	44.1%	38.9%
- Property	30.4%	21.7%	23.5%	33.3%
- Drugs	3.8%	4.3%	5.9%	5.6%
- Unspecified	1.4%	0.0%	11.8%	5.6%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**1.5%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**14.5%**	**4.3%**	**4.4%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*971*	*46*	*68*	*18*
% of Statewide	*4.1%*	*1.2%*	*3.4%*	*2.8%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	10.9	25.7	288.2	116.7	214.7	179.0	209.6
Releases	44	20	120	10	27	1	17

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	19	20	3	1	1
Pending	2	12	4	1	1

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region III-Western Summary

Regional Director: Singleton Golden; Assistant Regional Director: William Pickrel
Counties of Allegany, Frederick, Garrett, and Washington

1 James Day Drive
Cumberland, MD 21502
301-722-1600

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.6%	0.1%	0.2%
Citizen	6.1%	4.7%	4.9%
Police	81.9%	84.5%	83.1%
School Referrals*	1.7%	1.0%	1.5%
Violation of Probation**	9.8%	9.7%	10.4%
Total Complaints	*2,471*	*2,386*	*2,384*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	52.4%	53.3%	56.5%
Informaled	24.5%	23.6%	14.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	23.1%	23.1%	28.8%
*Total Complaints**	*2,471*	*2,386*	*2,384*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	5.6%	3.7%	5.9%
Continued/Stet	8.2%	8.2%	9.2%
Dismissed/Closed	22.9%	23.1%	16.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.3%	0.6%	1.0%
Nolle Pros.	3.0%	2.7%	2.3%
Other**	16.2%	16.9%	15.7%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.2%	1.6%	1.5%
Petition Denied by SAO	12.2%	13.2%	18.4%
Probation	24.9%	23.4%	25.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.4%	3.5%	1.6%
Writ Pending[1]	3.1%	3.1%	2.4%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*1,295*	*1,271*	*1,347*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	30.3	N/A	30.3
Pre-Court	90.3	N/A	90.3
Probation	200.8	N/A	200.8
VPI*	8.8	6.1	14.9
Aftercare	56.3	59.4	115.7

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Western Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.8% (from 46,442 to 45,151).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 16.9% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 56.5% of complaints were formaled while 14.7% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 5.6% to 5.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 24.9% to 25.8%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 13.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 59.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 19.5 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 19.5 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 156.5 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 208.1 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	35.3%	37.3%	45.8%
White	60.3%	59.8%	48.9%
Hispanic/Other	4.4%	2.8%	5.2%
Sex			
Male	74.9%	72.1%	69.4%
Female	25.1%	27.9%	30.6%
Age			
11 and under	4.4%	3.4%	2.6%
12	4.2%	6.4%	6.7%
13	7.7%	9.1%	10.7%
14	15.6%	14.0%	18.2%
15	20.6%	20.2%	19.4%
16	20.2%	22.1%	21.5%
17	24.4%	22.6%	19.0%
18-20	2.8%	2.3%	1.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*2,471*	*2,386*	*2,384*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	23.5%	20.3%	25.6%	27.9%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	1.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	1.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.8%	2.0%	0.4%	1.5%
Second Degree Assault	19.5%	15.0%	23.1%	23.5%
Sex Offense	2.0%	1.1%	2.1%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.7%	38.3%	31.5%	30.9%
Arson	0.8%	2.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.1%	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.7%	9.8%	4.6%	1.5%
Malicious Destruction	8.5%	8.7%	9.7%	10.3%
Tampering	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	1.5%
Theft	11.8%	14.9%	14.3%	17.6%
Trespassing	1.7%	1.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	5.7%	5.0%	5.0%	7.4%
Narcotics Distribution	0.8%	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.9%	3.9%	4.6%	7.4%
Citations	8.2%	4.7%	0.8%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	2.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.9%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	1.9%	2.7%	0.8%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	4.3%	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	29.6%	30.7%	36.6%	33.8%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.4%	0.3%	5.0%	5.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	2.1%	2.9%	2.9%
Disturbing the Peace	11.1%	8.9%	7.6%	8.8%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.3%	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.5%	1.3%	0.0%
Harassment	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.9%	1.5%	5.5%	4.4%
Ordinance Offenses	1.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.6%	1.5%	0.0%	4.4%
Reckless Endangerment	1.1%	1.8%	0.8%	1.5%
Resisting Arrest	1.7%	3.3%	2.5%	1.5%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	1.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	5.5%	7.1%	9.2%	4.4%
Total Offenses	*3,545*	*665*	*238*	*68*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**6.1%**	**19.9%**	**2.9%**	**5.4%**
Felony	**4.9%**	**10.7%**	**9.2%**	**8.9%**
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	2.7%	1.9%	0.0%
- Property	2.3%	4.8%	1.9%	5.4%
- Drugs	1.1%	2.1%	0.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	1.0%	4.8%	3.6%
Misdemeanor	**74.0%**	**64.6%**	**86.5%**	**85.7%**
- Person-to-Person	44.8%	43.3%	46.4%	51.8%
- Property	21.4%	16.2%	27.1%	19.6%
- Drugs	4.4%	3.4%	4.8%	8.9%
- Unspecified	3.5%	1.7%	8.2%	5.4%
Ordinance Offenses	**1.8%**	**1.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**7.8%**	**2.7%**	**1.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**5.2%**	**0.7%**	**0.5%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*2,384*	*291*	*207*	*56*
% of Statewide	*10.2%*	*7.8%*	*10.3%*	*8.8%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	19.5	19.5	402.2	156.5	230.3	182.8	208.1
Releases	300	80	211	26	106	1	65

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	88	155	35	15	7
Pending	12	54	6	4	4

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Allegany County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Renee Page

Located in Region III - 1 Office:
1 James Day Dr., Cumberland, MD 21502 (ph) 301-722-1663

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	1.6%	3.5%	3.6%
Police	92.8%	91.0%	89.7%
School Referrals*	2.7%	1.0%	2.8%
Violation of Probation**	3.0%	4.6%	3.9%
Total Complaints	*638*	*608*	*533*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	35.6%	36.5%	46.2%
Informaled	27.6%	30.1%	11.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	36.8%	33.4%	42.2%
*Total Complaints**	*638*	*608*	*533*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	4.4%	6.8%	2.8%
Continued/Stet	1.8%	0.5%	2.8%
Dismissed/Closed	37.4%	37.4%	34.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	8.8%	9.0%	10.6%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	26.4%	22.1%	26.8%
Probation	18.9%	21.6%	21.1%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.2%	2.3%	1.6%
Writ Pending[1]	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*227*	*222*	*246*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	3.4	N/A	3.4
Pre-Court	21.3	N/A	21.3
Probation	44.2	N/A	44.2
VPI*	0.1	0.0	0.1
Aftercare	16.3	11.5	27.8

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Allegany County population (ages 11-17) decreased 9.5% (from 6,469 to 5,856).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 10.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 46.2% of complaints were formaled while 11.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 4.4% to 2.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 18.9% to 21.1%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 8.5 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 62.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in a Secure Detention (2.37), and Arrests (2.37).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 13.6 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 19.8 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 162.1 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 214.2 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	14.7%	17.8%	26.3%
White	82.9%	81.7%	71.5%
Hispanic/Other	2.4%	0.5%	2.3%
Sex			
Male	64.3%	60.5%	60.8%
Female	35.7%	39.5%	39.2%
Age			
11 and under	6.9%	4.3%	2.6%
12	5.6%	7.2%	8.8%
13	8.6%	9.0%	8.8%
14	13.9%	14.8%	14.3%
15	22.7%	20.6%	23.3%
16	17.4%	19.7%	24.0%
17	22.4%	23.7%	17.8%
18-20	2.4%	0.7%	0.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*638*	*608*	*533*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	24.8%	36.2%	18.2%	10.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.7%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	20.6%	27.6%	15.9%	10.0%
Sex Offense	2.0%	3.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Property Offenses	24.0%	36.2%	43.2%	20.0%
Arson	1.0%	6.9%	6.8%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	1.3%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.2%	15.5%	15.9%	20.0%
Tampering	0.1%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Theft	11.9%	10.3%	9.1%	0.0%
Trespassing	1.0%	1.7%	4.5%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	5.4%	8.6%	2.3%	10.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.7%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.7%	3.4%	2.3%	10.0%
Citations	14.2%	5.2%	2.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	2.5%	5.2%	2.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	12.6%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	19.0%	13.8%	31.8%	60.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.3%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	9.5%	1.7%	13.6%	30.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.4%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	1.5%	3.4%	0.0%	10.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.4%	3.4%	2.3%	10.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.7%	3.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	1.7%	2.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.1%	0.0%	4.5%	10.0%
Total Offenses	*717*	*58*	*44*	*10*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**2.8%**	**12.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Felony	**5.6%**	**18.2%**	**7.7%**	**0.0%**
- Person-to-Person	2.4%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.9%	6.1%	2.6%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**61.7%**	**60.6%**	**87.2%**	**100.0%**
- Person-to-Person	33.6%	39.4%	43.6%	55.6%
- Property	21.8%	21.2%	38.5%	33.3%
- Drugs	5.1%	0.0%	2.6%	11.1%
- Unspecified	1.3%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.2%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**13.1%**	**9.1%**	**2.6%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**16.5%**	**0.0%**	**2.6%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*533*	*33*	*39*	*9*
% of Statewide	*2.3%*	*0.9%*	*1.9%*	*1.4%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	13.6	19.8	607.4	162.1	258.9	0.0	214.2
Releases	31	6	38	3	22	0	12

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	16	11	4	0	0
Pending	1	3	2	0	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Frederick County

Case Management Program Supervisors: Singleton Golden and William Keefer

Located in Region III - 1 Office:
801 N. East St. Suite 3, Frederick, MD 21701 (ph) 240-629-3030

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%
Citizen	3.3%	3.1%	1.4%
Police	80.7%	83.4%	87.6%
School Referrals*	1.1%	1.0%	1.1%
Violation of Probation**	14.2%	12.4%	9.4%
Total Complaints	*845*	*764*	*702*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	57.6%	61.5%	60.1%
Informaled	30.9%	24.6%	12.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	11.5%	13.9%	27.1%
*Total Complaints**	*845*	*764*	*702*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.8%	3.0%	5.2%
Continued/Stet	6.8%	9.1%	14.5%
Dismissed/Closed	24.0%	30.2%	19.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.2%	1.5%	0.7%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	21.6%	20.4%	17.5%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.4%	1.7%	2.4%
Petition Denied by SAO	12.9%	9.8%	13.3%
Probation	17.5%	13.6%	19.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.5%	4.5%	1.4%
Writ Pending[1]	7.4%	6.2%	5.9%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*487*	*470*	*422*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	15.8	N/A	15.8
Pre-Court	27.6	N/A	27.6
Probation	52.9	N/A	52.9
VPI*	5.8	3.7	9.5
Aftercare	16.7	21.9	38.6

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Frederick County population (ages 11-17) decreased less than 1% (from 23,853 to 23,837).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 12.4% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 60.1% of complaints were formaled while 12.8% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 6.8% to 5.2%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 17.5% to 19.9%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 35.6 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 65.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.37), and DJS Intake (1.23).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 20.0 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 15.9 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 138.2 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 182.0 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	46.3%	42.8%	48.6%
White	47.2%	52.4%	41.5%
Hispanic/Other	6.5%	4.8%	10.0%
Sex			
Male	80.6%	78.3%	79.6%
Female	19.4%	21.7%	20.4%
Age			
11 and under	2.8%	2.1%	1.1%
12	3.7%	3.5%	3.1%
13	4.4%	5.8%	7.0%
14	12.9%	10.3%	16.0%
15	19.5%	17.9%	18.4%
16	25.2%	28.3%	26.5%
17	27.0%	27.5%	24.5%
18-20	4.5%	4.6%	3.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*845*	*764*	*702*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	25.5%	24.3%	27.0%	34.8%
Child Abuse	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%	4.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.4%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.4%	4.7%	1.4%	4.3%
Second Degree Assault	19.3%	15.5%	23.0%	26.1%
Sex Offense	3.1%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.1%	35.1%	33.8%	30.4%
Arson	0.9%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.7%	8.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.7%	6.8%	10.8%	4.3%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	14.0%	14.9%	21.6%	26.1%
Trespassing	2.2%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	8.1%	10.8%	9.5%	8.7%
Narcotics Distribution	1.4%	2.0%	1.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.6%	8.8%	8.1%	8.7%
Citations	5.9%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	3.4%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	26.0%	20.9%	29.7%	26.1%
Con. to Commit Offens	2.2%	1.4%	8.1%	13.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	4.3%
Disturbing the Peace	9.6%	3.4%	1.4%	4.3%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	1.4%	2.7%	0.0%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.2%	0.7%	8.1%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.9%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.5%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.0%	1.4%	6.8%	4.3%
Total Offenses	*1,175*	*148*	*74*	*23*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**8.3%**	**24.2%**	**6.2%**	**10.0%**
Felony	**6.1%**	**21.2%**	**12.3%**	**15.0%**
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	7.6%	3.1%	0.0%
- Property	1.7%	4.5%	1.5%	10.0%
- Drugs	2.3%	4.5%	1.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	4.5%	6.2%	5.0%
Misdemeanor	**75.6%**	**48.5%**	**81.5%**	**75.0%**
- Person-to-Person	43.9%	22.7%	38.5%	40.0%
- Property	24.5%	18.2%	30.8%	15.0%
- Drugs	5.3%	6.1%	7.7%	10.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%	1.5%	4.6%	10.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**1.6%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**6.4%**	**4.5%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**2.0%**	**1.5%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*702*	*66*	*65*	*20*
% of Statewide	*3.0%*	*1.8%*	*3.2%*	*3.1%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	20.0	15.9	375.9	138.2	220.5	0.0	182.0
Releases	70	35	55	9	45	0	28

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	23	30	9	5	3
Pending	10	20	1	4	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Garrett County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Robert Peters

Located in Region III - 1 Office:
7000 Thayer Center, Oakland, MD 21550 (ph) 301-334-8608

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	8.7%	7.8%	6.1%
Police	81.5%	85.3%	84.4%
School Referrals*	6.2%	4.6%	6.7%
Violation of Probation**	3.6%	2.3%	2.8%
Total Complaints	*275*	*217*	*179*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	29.8%	17.1%	16.2%
Informaled	29.8%	36.9%	48.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.4%	46.1%	35.2%
*Total Complaints**	*275*	*217*	*179*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	4.9%	13.5%	17.2%
Continued/Stet	0.0%	2.7%	3.4%
Dismissed/Closed	24.4%	16.2%	20.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	13.4%	16.2%	6.9%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Probation	41.5%	37.8%	44.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	15.9%	13.5%	0.0%
Writ Pending[1]	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*82*	*37*	*29*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	1.0	N/A	1.0
Pre-Court	19.3	N/A	19.3
Probation	32.4	N/A	32.4
VPI*	0.0	0.0	0.0
Aftercare	7.1	3.8	10.9

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Garrett County population (ages 11-17) decreased 15.8% (from 2,897 to 2,439).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 15.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 16.2% of complaints were formaled while 48.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 4.9% to 17.2%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 41.5% to 44.8%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 13.2 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 14.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 39.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are not over- or under-represented.
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 19.9 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 10.5 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 170.0 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 385.3 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	27.3%	13.4%	7.8%
White	70.2%	86.6%	91.6%
Hispanic/Other	2.5%	0.0%	0.6%
Sex			
Male	82.5%	83.4%	74.3%
Female	17.5%	16.6%	25.7%
Age			
11 and under	3.3%	2.8%	5.0%
12	4.4%	9.2%	3.9%
13	7.3%	12.9%	10.6%
14	16.0%	15.7%	17.9%
15	22.9%	17.5%	12.8%
16	21.8%	18.9%	17.9%
17	23.3%	21.7%	30.2%
18-20	1.1%	1.4%	1.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*275*	*217*	*179*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	18.9%	21.4%	15.4%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	14.5%	21.4%	15.4%	0.0%
Sex Offense	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	13.7%	50.0%	23.1%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	1.3%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.6%	7.1%	7.7%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	8.8%	21.4%	15.4%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	11.0%	7.1%	30.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	10.1%	7.1%	30.8%	0.0%
Citations	28.2%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	14.5%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	18.1%	14.3%	30.8%	100.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.2%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.9%	7.1%	0.0%	100.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	4.8%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%
Total Offenses	*227*	*14*	*13*	*1*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**2.2%**	**12.5%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Felony	**3.9%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**47.5%**	**62.5%**	**100.0%**	**100.0%**
- Person-to-Person	25.7%	50.0%	25.0%	100.0%
- Property	10.1%	12.5%	25.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	7.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	4.5%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**3.4%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**30.2%**	**12.5%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**12.8%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*179*	*8*	*12*	*1*
% of Statewide	*0.8%*	*0.2%*	*0.6%*	*0.2%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	19.9	10.5	607.7	170.0	110.8	0.0	385.3
Releases	9	3	15	1	7	0	4

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	2	5	2	0	0
Pending	1	2	0	0	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Washington County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Rick Growden

Located in Region III - 1 Office:
44 N. Potomac St. Suite 300, Hagerstown, MD 21740 (ph) 301-791-7171

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	1.1%	0.4%	0.1%
Citizen	12.3%	6.1%	7.8%
Police	73.6%	80.4%	75.9%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	12.9%	13.0%	16.2%
Total Complaints	*713*	*797*	*970*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	70.0%	68.0%	67.0%
Informaled	12.2%	14.2%	11.5%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	17.8%	17.8%	21.4%
*Total Complaints**	*713*	*797*	*970*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	5.0%	2.4%	6.9%
Continued/Stet	13.8%	10.9%	8.5%
Dismissed/Closed	15.0%	11.6%	7.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.6%	0.2%	1.7%
Nolle Pros.	7.8%	6.3%	4.8%
Other**	14.8%	17.2%	16.8%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	2.0%	1.5%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.0%	13.5%	19.2%
Probation	32.3%	31.7%	30.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.8%	2.4%	1.8%
Writ Pending[1]	0.8%	1.8%	0.9%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*499*	*542*	*650*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	10.1	N/A	10.1
Pre-Court	22.1	N/A	22.1
Probation	71.3	N/A	71.3
VPI*	2.9	2.4	5.4
Aftercare	16.1	22.2	38.3

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Washington County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.5% (from 13,223 to 13,019).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 24.1% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 67.0% of complaints were formaled while 11.5% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 5.0% to 6.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 32.3% to 30.5%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 16.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 9.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 56.9 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (4.91), Secure Detention (1.56), DJS Intake (1.37), and Petition (1.37).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 20.3 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 23.7 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 166.8 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 205.7 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	43.8%	53.6%	61.6%
White	51.8%	42.9%	34.0%
Hispanic/Other	4.5%	3.5%	4.3%
Sex			
Male	74.6%	71.9%	65.9%
Female	25.4%	28.1%	34.1%
Age			
11 and under	4.5%	4.1%	3.3%
12	3.6%	7.8%	8.6%
13	10.9%	11.4%	14.5%
14	20.2%	16.3%	22.1%
15	19.2%	22.7%	19.3%
16	16.3%	18.8%	17.2%
17	23.4%	17.3%	13.5%
18-20	1.8%	1.5%	1.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*713*	*797*	*970*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	21.8%	16.9%	29.0%	29.4%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.6%	1.6%	0.0%	2.9%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	19.8%	13.0%	27.1%	26.5%
Sex Offense	0.8%	1.1%	1.9%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.6%	39.3%	26.2%	35.3%
Arson	0.9%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.8%	1.6%	0.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.0%	11.2%	7.5%	2.9%
Malicious Destruction	10.2%	8.5%	6.5%	11.8%
Tampering	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
Theft	10.5%	15.3%	11.2%	17.6%
Trespassing	2.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	2.9%	2.5%	0.0%	5.9%
Narcotics Distribution	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.7%	2.2%	0.0%	5.9%
Citations	3.9%	4.5%	0.9%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	1.7%	3.1%	0.9%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	39.8%	36.6%	43.9%	29.4%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.1%	0.0%	4.7%	2.9%
Deadly Weapon	2.9%	2.7%	5.6%	2.9%
Disturbing the Peace	13.8%	11.7%	10.3%	5.9%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Harassment	2.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.0%	2.0%	5.6%	8.8%
Ordinance Offenses	2.2%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
Reckless Endangerment	1.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.7%	3.8%	4.7%	2.9%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	9.7%	10.1%	12.1%	2.9%
Total Offenses	*1,426*	*445*	*107*	*34*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**7.0%**	**20.1%**	**2.2%**	**3.8%**
Felony	**3.9%**	**6.0%**	**8.8%**	**7.7%**
- Person-to-Person	0.2%	0.5%	2.2%	0.0%
- Property	3.1%	4.9%	2.2%	3.8%
- Drugs	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	4.4%	3.8%
Misdemeanor	**84.5%**	**71.2%**	**87.9%**	**88.5%**
- Person-to-Person	55.1%	51.1%	56.0%	57.7%
- Property	21.0%	14.7%	19.8%	19.2%
- Drugs	2.8%	3.3%	0.0%	7.7%
- Unspecified	5.7%	2.2%	12.1%	3.8%
Ordinance Offenses	**2.7%**	**1.6%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**1.9%**	**0.5%**	**1.1%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**	**0.5%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*970*	*184*	*91*	*26*
% of Statewide	*4.1%*	*5.0%*	*4.5%*	*4.1%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	20.3	23.7	310.7	166.8	250.4	182.8	205.7
Releases	190	36	103	13	32	1	21

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	47	109	20	10	4
Pending	0	29	3	0	4

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Region IV-Eastern Shore Summary

Regional Director: Cory Fink

Counties of Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester

600 Dover Road, Suite 104
Easton, MD 21601
410-822-5010

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Citizen	2.1%	1.9%	1.8%
Police	95.1%	95.7%	95.6%
School Referrals*	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	2.4%	1.9%	2.3%
Total Complaints	*3,579*	*3,289*	*3,171*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.

** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	37.5%	34.5%	32.5%
Informaled	22.9%	25.1%	24.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.6%	40.4%	42.8%
*Total Complaints**	*3,579*	*3,289*	*3,171*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.1%	6.2%	7.8%
Continued/Stet	15.9%	16.9%	23.5%
Dismissed/Closed	22.4%	23.4%	18.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	2.8%	2.9%	3.1%
Nolle Pros.	2.5%	2.2%	4.2%
Other**	12.1%	9.7%	7.1%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.1%	1.5%	4.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	11.7%	7.8%	6.0%
Probation	19.7%	21.7%	19.1%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.1%	3.7%	1.3%
Writ Pending[1]	3.7%	4.0%	4.7%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*1,342*	*1,134*	*1,031*

* Includes cases missing decisions

** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation

[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	59.8	N/A	59.8
Pre-Court	197.9	N/A	197.9
Probation	268.7	N/A	268.7
VPI*	26.3	16.3	42.6
Aftercare	81.6	76.5	158.2

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Eastern Shore Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 3.8% (from 41,713 to 40,146).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 4.4% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 32.5% of complaints were formaled while 24.7% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.1% to 7.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 19.7% to 19.1%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 18.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 76.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 18.3 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 19.2 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 146.7 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 316.6 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	42.7%	43.8%	50.7%
White	53.1%	51.7%	45.5%
Hispanic/Other	4.2%	4.5%	3.8%
Sex			
Male	70.9%	68.9%	72.5%
Female	29.1%	31.1%	27.5%
Age			
11 and under	5.5%	5.9%	6.2%
12	4.2%	4.9%	6.0%
13	7.9%	8.6%	8.6%
14	11.4%	12.3%	12.7%
15	15.1%	15.5%	16.9%
16	21.0%	20.2%	20.8%
17	32.3%	29.5%	26.9%
18-20	2.7%	3.1%	1.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*3,579*	*3,289*	*3,171*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	21.0%	26.4%	24.3%	26.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.8%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.9%	4.1%	1.9%	1.3%
Second Degree Assault	18.1%	16.2%	22.0%	20.8%
Sex Offense	1.2%	2.2%	0.5%	3.9%
Property Offenses	29.6%	37.6%	38.8%	41.6%
Arson	0.4%	0.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	1.7%	0.0%	1.3%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.5%	11.5%	7.0%	11.7%
Malicious Destruction	7.5%	7.0%	8.4%	7.8%
Tampering	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	13.3%	15.4%	19.2%	16.9%
Trespassing	2.3%	1.3%	2.3%	3.9%
Drug Related Offenses	8.1%	5.1%	9.3%	9.1%
Narcotics Distribution	1.0%	1.1%	1.4%	1.3%
Narcotics Possession	7.1%	3.9%	7.9%	7.8%
Citations	9.9%	2.2%	4.2%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	3.1%	0.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	2.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.8%	0.6%	2.3%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	2.5%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	28.9%	27.0%	23.4%	23.4%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.1%	1.1%	0.5%	7.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	2.5%	0.9%	2.6%
Disturbing the Peace	12.4%	6.0%	6.1%	5.2%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.8%	0.6%	0.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	1.1%	0.5%	1.3%
Harassment	0.8%	0.6%	0.9%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	1.5%	6.1%	2.6%
Ordinance Offenses	1.9%	0.8%	0.9%	0.0%
Other+	1.0%	1.7%	3.3%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.5%	4.5%	0.5%	1.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.8%	2.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.6%	3.4%	2.3%	2.6%
Total Offenses	*5,052*	*785*	*214*	*77*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**5.6%**	**24.8%**	**5.1%**	**11.8%**
Felony	**4.5%**	**11.0%**	**4.6%**	**14.7%**
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	2.8%	0.5%	2.9%
- Property	1.8%	4.7%	2.5%	5.9%
- Drugs	1.4%	2.8%	1.5%	1.5%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	4.4%
Misdemeanor	**74.1%**	**58.5%**	**87.3%**	**73.5%**
- Person-to-Person	40.1%	36.8%	39.6%	33.8%
- Property	25.5%	17.6%	39.1%	27.9%
- Drugs	6.7%	3.8%	7.1%	8.8%
- Unspecified	1.7%	0.3%	1.5%	2.9%
Ordinance Offenses	**1.9%**	**0.3%**	**1.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**10.7%**	**2.2%**	**2.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**3.1%**	**3.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*3,171*	*318*	*197*	*68*
% of Statewide	*13.5%*	*8.6%*	*9.8%*	*10.7%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	18.3	19.2	455.4	146.7	315.1	290.1	316.6
Releases	321	90	250	38	112	4	88

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	97	153	48	16	7
Pending	14	61	11	3	1

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Caroline County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Taneesha DeShields

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:
317 Carter Ave. Suite 105, Denton, MD 21629 (ph) 410-819-6556

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Citizen	0.4%	1.1%	0.3%
Police	98.2%	97.9%	95.8%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.3%	1.1%	3.1%
Total Complaints	*228*	*282*	*286*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	30.7%	25.9%	24.5%
Informaled	27.6%	20.9%	17.8%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	41.7%	53.2%	57.7%
*Total Complaints**	*228*	*282*	*286*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	0.0%	2.7%	10.0%
Continued/Stet	14.3%	11.0%	8.6%
Dismissed/Closed	35.7%	35.6%	30.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	7.1%	2.7%	2.9%
Other**	18.6%	1.4%	8.6%
Pending Disposition[1]	1.4%	1.4%	5.7%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
Probation	20.0%	34.2%	27.1%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.9%	11.0%	2.9%
Writ Pending[1]	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*70*	*73*	*70*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	4.0	N/A	4.0
Pre-Court	11.2	N/A	11.2
Probation	16.3	N/A	16.3
VPI*	1.5	1.1	2.6
Aftercare	1.1	0.8	1.9

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Caroline County population (ages 11-17) decreased 5.6% (from 3,186 to 3,008).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 4.2% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 24.5% of complaints were formaled while 17.8% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 0.0% to 10.0%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 20.0% to 27.1%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.3 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 59.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (1.93).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 9.0 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 14.8 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 160.7 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 206.2 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	18.9%	28.7%	32.9%
White	75.4%	68.1%	65.0%
Hispanic/Other	5.7%	3.2%	2.1%
Sex			
Male	66.2%	68.8%	67.8%
Female	33.8%	31.2%	32.2%
Age			
11 and under	4.8%	1.8%	3.5%
12	3.1%	3.5%	5.9%
13	4.8%	4.6%	9.8%
14	11.0%	12.4%	11.5%
15	23.7%	19.1%	18.9%
16	26.8%	22.0%	23.4%
17	23.2%	33.3%	24.5%
18-20	2.6%	3.2%	2.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*228*	*282*	*286*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	27.3%	21.1%	23.8%	28.6%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	24.1%	21.1%	19.0%	14.3%
Sex Offense	1.8%	0.0%	4.8%	14.3%
Property Offenses	20.9%	47.4%	33.3%	28.6%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	2.7%	21.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.9%	10.5%	9.5%	14.3%
Tampering	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	9.7%	10.5%	23.8%	14.3%
Trespassing	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	7.7%	0.0%	4.8%	14.3%
Narcotics Distribution	0.9%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Citations	14.6%	10.5%	4.8%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	5.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	5.4%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.7%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	5.2%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	24.3%	15.8%	33.3%	28.6%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	11.7%	5.3%	4.8%	0.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	0.0%	4.8%	14.3%
Ordinance Offenses	2.5%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Other+	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.4%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.6%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
Total Offenses	*444*	*19*	*21*	*7*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**5.2%**	**18.2%**	**5.6%**	**0.0%**
Felony	**4.9%**	**9.1%**	**5.6%**	**40.0%**
- Person-to-Person	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
- Property	2.1%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Misdemeanor	**65.0%**	**45.5%**	**83.3%**	**60.0%**
- Person-to-Person	43.4%	45.5%	44.4%	20.0%
- Property	15.0%	0.0%	33.3%	20.0%
- Drugs	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**1.0%**	**0.0%**	**5.6%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**15.7%**	**18.2%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**8.0%**	**9.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*286*	*11*	*18*	*5*
% of Statewide	*1.2%*	*0.3%*	*0.9%*	*0.8%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	9.0	14.8	320.2	160.7	191.0	0.0	206.2
Releases	10	4	23	3	2	0	4

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	6	3	1	0	0
Pending	1	2	1	0	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Cecil County

Assistant Regional Director: Chris Dulik
Case Management Program Supervisor: Tyra Kenly

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:
106 E. Main St. Suite 102, Elkton, MD 21921 (ph) 410-996-2800

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
Citizen	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Police	98.8%	97.7%	100.0%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.5%	1.6%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*424*	*436*	*410*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	62.7%	53.7%	48.3%
Informaled	15.6%	13.3%	20.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	21.7%	33.0%	31.7%
*Total Complaints**	*424*	*436*	*410*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	3.0%	5.1%	3.0%
Continued/Stet	15.0%	9.4%	23.2%
Dismissed/Closed	16.9%	16.7%	16.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	2.6%	2.1%	3.5%
Nolle Pros.	2.3%	1.7%	8.1%
Other**	9.4%	12.4%	5.6%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	3.4%	9.6%
Petition Denied by SAO	16.9%	16.7%	10.6%
Probation	29.3%	29.9%	20.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Writ Pending[1]	4.5%	2.6%	0.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*266*	*234*	*198*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	13.3	N/A	13.3
Pre-Court	18.9	N/A	18.9
Probation	61.1	N/A	61.1
VPI*	2.7	1.4	4.0
Aftercare	11.0	9.7	20.7

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Cecil County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.8% (from 10,228 to 9,736).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - No intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 48.3% of complaints were formaled while 20.0% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions was the same at 3.0%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 29.3% to 20.2%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 25.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.5 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 114.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.33).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 12.7 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 19.7 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 132.1 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 261.4 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	28.8%	24.8%	27.8%
White	68.2%	70.9%	69.8%
Hispanic/Other	3.1%	4.4%	2.4%
Sex			
Male	75.2%	75.2%	82.4%
Female	24.8%	24.8%	17.6%
Age			
11 and under	6.1%	4.8%	8.3%
12	4.0%	3.7%	10.5%
13	7.8%	13.3%	6.8%
14	17.5%	16.7%	14.4%
15	17.0%	17.4%	12.4%
16	19.8%	22.0%	22.0%
17	26.2%	20.6%	24.1%
18-20	1.7%	1.4%	1.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*424*	*436*	*410*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	25.9%	31.7%	23.4%	40.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	22.1%	28.3%	23.4%	40.0%
Sex Offense	2.7%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	41.0%	38.3%	42.6%	40.0%
Arson	0.2%	1.7%	4.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.0%	8.3%	2.1%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	15.3%	6.7%	14.9%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	17.3%	18.3%	19.1%	40.0%
Trespassing	0.7%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	5.4%	8.3%	8.5%	10.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.3%	5.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.2%	3.3%	6.4%	10.0%
Citations	15.5%	3.3%	2.1%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	5.4%	1.7%	2.1%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	6.8%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.1%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.0%	11.7%	23.4%	10.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.1%	5.0%	4.3%	10.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.0%	3.3%	4.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%	1.7%	6.4%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.7%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	1.7%	2.1%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	0.7%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
Total Offenses	*444*	*60*	*47*	*10*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**9.0%**	**12.8%**	**2.3%**	**0.0%**
Felony	**5.4%**	**12.8%**	**4.7%**	**10.0%**
- Person-to-Person	2.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
- Property	1.0%	6.4%	0.0%	10.0%
- Drugs	2.4%	6.4%	2.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**69.8%**	**66.0%**	**90.7%**	**90.0%**
- Person-to-Person	33.2%	40.4%	39.5%	50.0%
- Property	33.2%	23.4%	44.2%	30.0%
- Drugs	2.9%	2.1%	4.7%	10.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**14.9%**	**2.1%**	**2.3%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**1.0%**	**6.4%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*410*	*47*	*43*	*10*
% of Statewide	*1.7%*	*1.3%*	*2.1%*	*1.6%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	12.7	19.7	375.8	132.1	209.0	0.0	261.4
Releases	45	12	49	5	13	0	14

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	19	20	6	0	0
Pending	0	10	1	1	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Dorchester County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Nicole Griffin-Winder

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:
310 Gay St., Cambridge, MD 21613 (ph) 410-228-6452

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Citizen	16.8%	12.6%	7.3%
Police	80.7%	87.0%	91.3%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	2.5%	0.4%	1.2%
Total Complaints	*316*	*269*	*423*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	31.6%	29.7%	32.4%
Informaled	33.9%	49.1%	35.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	34.5%	21.2%	32.6%
*Total Complaints**	*316*	*269*	*423*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	10.0%	0.0%	6.6%
Continued/Stet	12.0%	6.2%	23.4%
Dismissed/Closed	29.0%	40.0%	27.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	20.0%	16.2%	9.5%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	1.2%	7.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.0%	1.2%	4.4%
Probation	18.0%	22.5%	19.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	6.0%	10.0%	0.7%
Writ Pending[1]	0.0%	2.5%	1.5%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*100*	*80*	*137*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	3.2	N/A	3.2
Pre-Court	34.6	N/A	34.6
Probation	16.6	N/A	16.6
VPI*	4.1	2.3	6.4
Aftercare	6.3	5.5	11.8

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Dorchester County population (ages 11-17) decreased 6.5% (from 2,676 to 2,501).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 8.7% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 32.4% of complaints were formaled while 35.0% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 10.0% to 6.6%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 18.0% to 19.0%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 10.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 76.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (1.94), Secure Detention (1.77), and Petition (1.51).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 24.4 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 20.4 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 140.7 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 168.6 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.7%	51.7%	56.7%
White	33.5%	42.4%	38.3%
Hispanic/Other	3.8%	5.9%	5.0%
Sex			
Male	67.7%	71.0%	71.9%
Female	32.3%	29.0%	28.1%
Age			
11 and under	8.9%	8.9%	8.7%
12	4.4%	5.9%	6.6%
13	7.9%	8.6%	9.9%
14	12.3%	12.6%	14.2%
15	20.9%	18.6%	19.1%
16	25.3%	24.9%	16.5%
17	18.0%	19.7%	24.1%
18-20	2.2%	0.7%	0.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*316*	*269*	*423*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	23.3%	30.1%	17.4%	42.9%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.7%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.1%	10.8%	4.3%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	20.5%	12.9%	13.0%	28.6%
Sex Offense	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Property Offenses	32.6%	41.9%	60.9%	57.1%
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.6%	10.8%	8.7%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.4%	8.6%	8.7%	14.3%
Tampering	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	9.5%	18.3%	34.8%	28.6%
Trespassing	6.2%	4.3%	4.3%	14.3%
Drug Related Offenses	3.5%	2.2%	13.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.2%	2.2%	13.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.6%	3.2%	4.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	3.1%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	1.1%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	2.6%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	29.3%	21.5%	4.3%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	15.6%	6.5%	4.3%	0.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	2.5%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	*801*	*93*	*23*	*7*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**5.0%**	**40.0%**	**4.5%**	**0.0%**
Felony	**3.8%**	**16.0%**	**4.5%**	**14.3%**
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.4%	12.0%	4.5%	14.3%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**80.6%**	**40.0%**	**90.9%**	**85.7%**
- Person-to-Person	48.9%	24.0%	18.2%	42.9%
- Property	27.2%	12.0%	59.1%	42.9%
- Drugs	3.8%	4.0%	13.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.9%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**5.7%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**4.0%**	**4.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*423*	*25*	*22*	*7*
% of Statewide	*1.8%*	*0.7%*	*1.1%*	*1.1%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	24.4	20.4	325.4	140.7	370.1	0.0	168.6
Releases	26	8	16	4	8	0	5

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	6	11	6	2	1
Pending	1	5	1	0	1

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Kent County

Case Management Program Supervisor: William Clark

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:
315 High St. Suite 202, Chestertown, MD 21620 (ph) 410-778-6103

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	2.0%	3.4%	12.9%
Police	87.2%	95.8%	80.6%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	10.8%	0.8%	6.5%
Total Complaints	*148*	*119*	*93*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	43.2%	36.1%	30.1%
Informaled	14.2%	13.4%	20.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	42.6%	50.4%	49.5%
*Total Complaints**	*148*	*119*	*93*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.8%	2.3%	0.0%
Continued/Stet	4.7%	7.0%	7.1%
Dismissed/Closed	26.6%	39.5%	46.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	3.1%	2.3%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	32.8%	14.0%	21.4%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.1%	23.3%	0.0%
Probation	20.3%	9.3%	25.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Writ Pending[1]	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*64*	*43*	*28*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	3.9	N/A	3.9
Pre-Court	5.3	N/A	5.3
Probation	5.1	N/A	5.1
VPI*	0.0	1.0	1.0
Aftercare	3.2	3.3	6.5

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Kent County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.2% (from 1,658 to 1,588).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 19.4% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 30.1% of complaints were formaled while 20.4% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 7.8% to 0.0%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 20.3% to 25.0%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 14.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.0 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 66.3 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (5.75).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 24.4 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 14.6 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 0.0 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 174.9 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	54.1%	55.5%	58.1%
White	43.9%	42.0%	38.7%
Hispanic/Other	2.0%	2.5%	3.2%
Sex			
Male	72.3%	63.9%	67.7%
Female	27.7%	36.1%	32.3%
Age			
11 and under	1.4%	16.0%	14.0%
12	1.4%	4.2%	2.2%
13	8.1%	2.5%	4.3%
14	10.1%	7.6%	10.8%
15	18.2%	16.0%	22.6%
16	15.5%	16.8%	11.8%
17	43.9%	35.3%	33.3%
18-20	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*148*	*119*	*93*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	29.3%	47.4%	33.3%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	2.1%	15.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2.1%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	25.0%	26.3%	33.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	18.6%	10.5%	33.3%	33.3%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.3%	5.3%	16.7%	33.3%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	4.3%	5.3%	16.7%	0.0%
Trespassing	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	11.4%	10.5%	0.0%	33.3%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Narcotics Possession	11.4%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	37.9%	31.6%	33.3%	33.3%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.7%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	14.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	5.0%	21.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	4.3%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%
Total Offenses	*140*	*19*	*6*	*3*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**5.4%**	**80.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Felony	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**50.0%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**91.4%**	**20.0%**	**100.0%**	**50.0%**
- Person-to-Person	57.0%	20.0%	50.0%	0.0%
- Property	21.5%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
- Drugs	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**1.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**2.2%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*93*	*5*	*4*	*2*
% of Statewide	*0.4%*	*0.1%*	*0.2%*	*0.3%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	24.4	14.6	437.3	0.0	325.4	140.7	174.9
Releases	6	3	8	0	4	1	3

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	1	3	1	1	0
Pending	1	1	1	0	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Queen Anne's County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Andrew "Joe" Grabis

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:
120 Broadway, Suite 9, Centreville, MD 21617 (ph) 410-819-4180

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Citizen	1.4%	1.9%	0.7%
Police	94.2%	95.5%	97.8%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	4.3%	1.9%	0.7%
Total Complaints	*207*	*154*	*136*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	24.2%	27.9%	31.6%
Informaled	7.2%	20.1%	20.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	68.6%	51.9%	47.8%
*Total Complaints**	*207*	*154*	*136*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Continued/Stet	14.0%	18.6%	37.2%
Dismissed/Closed	16.0%	55.8%	9.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	4.0%	0.0%	2.3%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	22.0%	7.0%	9.3%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	16.0%	18.6%	25.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	8.0%	0.0%	2.3%
Writ Pending[1]	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*50*	*43*	*43*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	1.3	N/A	1.3
Pre-Court	5.8	N/A	5.8
Probation	8.2	N/A	8.2
VPI*	0.4	0.5	0.9
Aftercare	1.6	0.7	2.3

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Queen Anne's County population (ages 11-17) decreased 2.6% (from 4,584 to 4,465).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 2.2% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 31.6% of complaints were formaled while 20.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions was the same at 0.0%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 16.0% to 25.6%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 28.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.6 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 39.8 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (1.58).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 17.8 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 2.1 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 188.7 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 272.6 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	20.8%	19.5%	17.6%
White	77.3%	77.3%	79.4%
Hispanic/Other	1.9%	3.2%	2.9%
Sex			
Male	80.2%	81.8%	80.1%
Female	19.8%	18.2%	19.9%
Age			
11 and under	1.0%	3.2%	5.1%
12	5.8%	2.6%	1.5%
13	2.9%	8.4%	4.4%
14	5.8%	7.1%	9.6%
15	16.9%	21.4%	11.0%
16	23.7%	25.3%	24.3%
17	40.1%	27.9%	43.4%
18-20	3.9%	3.9%	0.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*207*	*154*	*136*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	12.2%	9.4%	30.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	11.3%	9.4%	30.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	36.2%	68.8%	20.0%	33.3%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	9.5%	34.4%	10.0%	33.3%
Malicious Destruction	12.7%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	13.6%	28.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	14.0%	3.1%	30.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	12.2%	3.1%	30.0%	0.0%
Citations	18.6%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	1.8%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	17.6%	18.8%	10.0%	66.7%
Con. to Commit Offens	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	3.1%	10.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.4%	9.4%	0.0%	33.3%
Total Offenses	*221*	*32*	*10*	*3*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**7.4%**	**44.4%**	**11.1%**	**33.3%**
Felony	**5.1%**	**0.0%**	**11.1%**	**33.3%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Misdemeanor	**64.7%**	**55.6%**	**77.8%**	**33.3%**
- Person-to-Person	30.1%	44.4%	44.4%	0.0%
- Property	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	9.6%	11.1%	33.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**20.6%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**2.2%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*136*	*9*	*9*	*3*
% of Statewide	*0.6%*	*0.2%*	*0.4%*	*0.5%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	17.8	2.1	362.2	188.7	189.0	0.0	272.6
Releases	10	1	11	1	1	0	2

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	3	5	1	1	0
Pending	1	0	0	0	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Somerset County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Sheila Warner

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:
12155 Elm St. Suite B, Princess Anne, MD 21853 (ph) 410-845-4680

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%
Citizen	0.0%	5.1%	2.1%
Police	97.7%	93.6%	95.8%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.8%	0.6%	1.4%
Total Complaints	*219*	*156*	*144*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	61.6%	46.2%	28.5%
Informaled	16.0%	29.5%	45.1%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	22.4%	24.4%	26.4%
*Total Complaints**	*219*	*156*	*144*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	2.2%	2.8%	2.4%
Continued/Stet	25.2%	37.5%	43.9%
Dismissed/Closed	38.5%	20.8%	12.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	1.5%	1.4%	7.3%
Nolle Pros.	1.5%	0.0%	2.4%
Other**	4.4%	6.9%	7.3%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	23.7%	16.7%	9.8%
Probation	2.2%	8.3%	9.8%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Writ Pending[1]	0.7%	5.6%	0.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*135*	*72*	*41*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	3.4	N/A	3.4
Pre-Court	18.9	N/A	18.9
Probation	13.3	N/A	13.3
VPI*	2.7	0.6	3.2
Aftercare	5.1	5.6	10.6

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Somerset County population (ages 11-17) decreased 14.5% (from 2,454 to 2,099).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 4.2% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 28.5% of complaints were formaled while 45.1% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 2.2% to 2.4%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 2.2% to 9.8%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 17.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 24.0 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 69.7 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (1.66), and under-represented at Diversion (0.77).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 21.3 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 21.1 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 166.3 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 317.4 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.6%	63.5%	66.0%
White	24.7%	35.3%	31.9%
Hispanic/Other	2.7%	1.3%	2.1%
Sex			
Male	80.8%	75.6%	68.1%
Female	19.2%	24.4%	31.9%
Age			
11 and under	12.3%	12.8%	9.0%
12	4.1%	8.3%	4.2%
13	22.8%	10.3%	12.5%
14	24.2%	16.7%	20.1%
15	11.9%	9.0%	20.1%
16	7.8%	16.7%	22.9%
17	15.1%	24.4%	9.0%
18-20	1.8%	1.9%	2.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*219*	*156*	*144*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	26.5%	41.7%	22.2%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.4%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	8.3%	11.1%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	24.5%	25.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.6%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	25.7%	18.8%	44.4%	0.0%
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.9%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.9%	8.3%	11.1%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	7.3%	2.1%	22.2%	0.0%
Trespassing	5.3%	4.2%	11.1%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.9%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	2.4%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	2.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	30.6%	37.5%	22.2%	100.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	15.9%	14.6%	11.1%	0.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	6.2%	0.0%	100.0%
Harassment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.8%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	1.2%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.4%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.6%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	8.2%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	*245*	*48*	*9*	*1*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**2.1%**	**22.7%**	**11.1%**	**0.0%**
Felony	**4.2%**	**13.6%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.4%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**85.4%**	**59.1%**	**77.8%**	**100.0%**
- Person-to-Person	55.6%	45.5%	33.3%	100.0%
- Property	22.2%	13.6%	44.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**4.9%**	**0.0%**	**11.1%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**3.5%**	**4.5%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*144*	*22*	*9*	*1*
% of Statewide	*0.6%*	*0.6%*	*0.4%*	*0.2%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	21.3	21.1	428.6	166.3	305.3	221.8	317.4
Releases	22	4	9	4	10	1	8

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	5	12	4	0	1
Pending	0	4	0	0	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Talbot County

Case Management Specialist Supervisor: K. Denise Whiteley

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:
600 Dover Rd. Suite 104, Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Citizen	0.5%	0.6%	0.8%
Police	95.5%	92.6%	96.7%
School Referrals*	3.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	4.3%	2.5%
Total Complaints	*201*	*163*	*121*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	31.8%	36.2%	24.8%
Informaled	30.8%	18.4%	25.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	37.3%	45.4%	49.6%
*Total Complaints**	*201*	*163*	*121*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.8%	5.1%	6.7%
Continued/Stet	0.0%	1.7%	6.7%
Dismissed/Closed	42.2%	39.0%	43.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	12.5%	20.3%	10.0%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	3.4%	3.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	7.8%	0.0%	6.7%
Probation	25.0%	27.1%	20.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	4.7%	0.0%	3.3%
Writ Pending[1]	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*64*	*59*	*30*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	2.1	N/A	2.1
Pre-Court	6.2	N/A	6.2
Probation	7.5	N/A	7.5
VPI*	0.5	1.1	1.6
Aftercare	0.9	5.5	6.4

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Talbot County population (ages 11-17) decreased 5% (from 2,922 to 2,777).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 3.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 24.8% of complaints were formaled while 25.6% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 7.8% to 6.7%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 25.0% to 20.0%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.5 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 49.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.39), and DJS Intake (1.92).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 11.3 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 7.5 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 238.7 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 158.6 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	34.8%	38.7%	49.6%
White	58.7%	52.1%	41.3%
Hispanic/Other	6.5%	9.2%	9.1%
Sex			
Male	70.1%	73.0%	78.5%
Female	29.9%	27.0%	21.5%
Age			
11 and under	1.5%	8.0%	5.8%
12	4.5%	3.7%	9.9%
13	6.0%	6.7%	6.6%
14	9.0%	9.2%	13.2%
15	17.4%	9.8%	13.2%
16	21.9%	16.0%	17.4%
17	33.3%	40.5%	33.9%
18-20	6.5%	6.1%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*201*	*163*	*121*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	22.0%	34.8%	40.0%	20.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.5%	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2.2%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	14.8%	17.4%	20.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	4.4%	4.3%	0.0%	20.0%
Property Offenses	36.8%	17.4%	20.0%	40.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	2.2%	4.3%	20.0%	20.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	25.3%	13.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Trespassing	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	11.0%	17.4%	40.0%	20.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.1%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	9.9%	17.4%	30.0%	20.0%
Citations	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	20.9%	30.4%	0.0%	20.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.7%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.6%	13.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.2%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	*182*	*23*	*10*	*5*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**5.0%**	**25.0%**	**25.0%**	**0.0%**
Felony	**9.1%**	**0.0%**	**12.5%**	**25.0%**
- Person-to-Person	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**73.6%**	**75.0%**	**62.5%**	**75.0%**
- Person-to-Person	28.1%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
- Property	34.7%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%
- Drugs	9.9%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**1.7%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**9.9%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.8%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*121*	*8*	*8*	*4*
% of Statewide	*0.5%*	*0.2%*	*0.4%*	*0.6%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	11.3	7.5	398.8	238.7	388.3	0.0	158.6
Releases	8	4	15	1	8	0	4

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	3	4	1	0	0
Pending	2	2	0	0	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Wicomico County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Chris Miele

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:
201 Baptist St. Room 1134, Suite 21, Salisbury, MD 21801 (ph) 410-713-3800

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%
Citizen	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%
Police	96.0%	96.0%	94.6%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.3%	3.1%	4.3%
Total Complaints	*949*	*1,035*	*958*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	42.5%	32.4%	33.8%
Informaled	26.8%	22.5%	20.5%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	30.8%	45.1%	45.7%
*Total Complaints**	*949*	*1,035*	*958*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	10.2%	11.6%	14.8%
Continued/Stet	24.8%	34.0%	32.1%
Dismissed/Closed	6.9%	6.3%	8.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	2.7%	1.8%	2.8%
Nolle Pros.	5.2%	5.7%	7.4%
Other**	10.7%	7.5%	2.8%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	0.3%	1.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	13.6%	8.1%	8.0%
Probation	16.4%	14.3%	9.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.7%	2.7%	0.6%
Writ Pending[1]	6.7%	7.8%	11.4%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*403*	*335*	*324*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	12.1	N/A	12.1
Pre-Court	51.6	N/A	51.6
Probation	92.8	N/A	92.8
VPI*	12.7	8.2	20.9
Aftercare	40.6	37.9	78.4

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Wicomico County population (ages 11-17) increased less than 1% (from 10,137 to 10,218).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 5.4% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 33.8% of complaints were formaled while 20.5% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 10.2% to 14.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 16.4% to 9.9%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 17.1 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 59.9 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (5.46), Secure Detention (1.67), and Petition (1.30).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 20.1 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 21.8 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 136.9 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 403.8 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	66.1%	64.6%	74.3%
White	30.1%	31.3%	22.3%
Hispanic/Other	3.8%	4.1%	3.3%
Sex			
Male	65.3%	62.7%	72.5%
Female	34.7%	37.3%	27.5%
Age			
11 and under	8.7%	7.7%	7.2%
12	7.7%	7.7%	7.0%
13	11.9%	12.4%	10.9%
14	14.4%	14.4%	14.2%
15	15.3%	17.0%	21.8%
16	20.2%	19.0%	21.1%
17	20.7%	19.3%	16.2%
18-20	1.1%	2.4%	1.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*949*	*1,035*	*958*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	21.1%	25.2%	25.6%	26.5%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.0%	4.0%	0.0%	2.9%
Second Degree Assault	18.1%	14.9%	25.6%	23.5%
Sex Offense	1.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	30.3%	36.8%	37.2%	41.2%
Arson	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.4%	12.0%	7.0%	17.6%
Malicious Destruction	5.7%	6.8%	4.7%	8.8%
Tampering	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	16.0%	14.9%	23.3%	8.8%
Trespassing	1.6%	0.7%	2.3%	5.9%
Drug Related Offenses	5.2%	3.8%	4.7%	2.9%
Narcotics Distribution	0.5%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.7%	2.8%	4.7%	2.9%
Citations	4.2%	1.9%	7.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	1.2%	0.5%	7.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	3.7%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	35.6%	30.7%	25.6%	29.4%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%	11.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	4.2%	0.0%	5.9%
Disturbing the Peace	17.1%	6.6%	11.6%	8.8%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	0.5%	9.3%	2.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.8%	2.4%	4.7%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.7%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.8%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	6.6%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	*1,715*	*424*	*43*	*34*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**5.4%**	**25.9%**	**0.0%**	**20.0%**
Felony	**4.0%**	**8.9%**	**0.0%**	**3.3%**
- Person-to-Person	0.6%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.2%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	3.3%
Misdemeanor	**82.2%**	**60.1%**	**97.5%**	**76.7%**
- Person-to-Person	45.2%	37.3%	47.5%	40.0%
- Property	29.4%	19.6%	45.0%	30.0%
- Drugs	4.0%	2.5%	5.0%	3.3%
- Unspecified	3.5%	0.6%	0.0%	3.3%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**3.8%**	**2.5%**	**2.5%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**4.6%**	**2.5%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*958*	*158*	*40*	*30*
% of Statewide	*4.1%*	*4.3%*	*2.0%*	*4.7%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	20.1	21.8	565.8	136.9	315.3	399.0	403.8
Releases	161	48	86	19	55	2	40

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	46	75	24	12	4
Pending	7	32	7	2	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Worcester County

Assistant Regional Director: Jennifer Wimbrow-Jenkins
Case Management Program Supervisor: Spencer Tracy

Located in Region IV - 1 Office:
301 C Commerce St., Snow Hill, MD 21863 (ph) 410-632-0206

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%
Citizen	0.9%	0.7%	0.5%
Police	97.4%	97.8%	98.5%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.4%	1.3%	0.8%
Total Complaints	*887*	*675*	*600*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	21.4%	28.9%	26.7%
Informaled	22.2%	32.9%	27.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	56.4%	38.2%	46.3%
*Total Complaints**	*887*	*675*	*600*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	5.3%	5.6%	4.4%
Continued/Stet	3.7%	2.1%	10.0%
Dismissed/Closed	36.3%	34.9%	23.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	6.3%	9.2%	7.5%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	7.9%	8.2%	11.2%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.5%	1.5%	1.9%
Petition Denied by SAO	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	25.3%	26.2%	32.5%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	7.9%	8.7%	3.8%
Writ Pending[1]	4.7%	3.6%	5.6%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*190*	*195*	*160*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	16.5	N/A	16.5
Pre-Court	45.4	N/A	45.4
Probation	47.9	N/A	47.9
VPI*	1.8	0.2	1.9
Aftercare	12.0	7.5	19.5

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Worcester County population (ages 11-17) decreased 3% (from 3,869 to 3,753).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 1.5% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 26.7% of complaints were formaled while 27.0% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 5.3% to 4.4%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 25.3% to 32.5%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 17.3 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 79.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.07), Petition (1.71), and Probation (1.65).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 14.2 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 10.3 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 175.9 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 267.3 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	21.0%	27.6%	35.8%
White	73.5%	67.1%	59.3%
Hispanic/Other	5.5%	5.3%	4.8%
Sex			
Male	72.4%	68.9%	67.0%
Female	27.6%	31.1%	33.0%
Age			
11 and under	1.8%	1.2%	1.2%
12	0.7%	1.5%	2.0%
13	2.1%	2.7%	5.7%
14	3.9%	7.9%	7.8%
15	9.0%	10.8%	10.2%
16	22.5%	19.6%	22.0%
17	55.2%	50.8%	47.3%
18-20	4.6%	5.6%	3.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*887*	*675*	*600*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	11.9%	13.4%	22.2%	14.3%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.8%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	10.0%	10.4%	22.2%	14.3%
Sex Offense	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	24.0%	46.3%	35.6%	57.1%
Arson	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	4.5%	0.0%	14.3%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.5%	10.4%	13.3%	14.3%
Malicious Destruction	5.0%	7.5%	6.7%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	11.5%	20.9%	13.3%	28.6%
Trespassing	0.8%	1.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	17.0%	14.9%	6.7%	28.6%
Narcotics Distribution	1.7%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	15.2%	11.9%	6.7%	28.6%
Citations	17.3%	3.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	4.1%	1.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.1%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	29.7%	22.4%	33.3%	0.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.0%	1.5%	4.4%	0.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Harassment	0.8%	3.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.0%	7.5%	8.9%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	8.5%	3.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Other+	1.7%	4.5%	6.7%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.7%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.9%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.4%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%
Total Offenses	*860*	*67*	*45*	*7*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**5.0%**	**15.2%**	**6.8%**	**16.7%**
Felony	**4.8%**	**21.2%**	**6.8%**	**33.3%**
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	3.0%	0.0%	16.7%
- Property	1.5%	12.1%	6.8%	16.7%
- Drugs	2.3%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**60.8%**	**60.6%**	**81.8%**	**50.0%**
- Person-to-Person	27.5%	27.3%	43.2%	0.0%
- Property	17.5%	24.2%	29.5%	16.7%
- Drugs	14.8%	9.1%	6.8%	33.3%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**8.3%**	**3.0%**	**2.3%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**20.7%**	**0.0%**	**2.3%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.3%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*600*	*33*	*44*	*6*
% of Statewide	*2.6%*	*0.9%*	*2.2%*	*0.9%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	14.2	10.3	511.9	175.9	385.2	0.0	267.3
Releases	33	6	33	1	11	0	8

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	8	20	4	0	1
Pending	1	5	0	0	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Region V-Southern Summary

Regional Director: Douglas Mohler
Counties of Anne Arundel, Calvert, Charles, and St. Mary's

49 Old Solomons Island Rd., Suite 300
Annapolis, MD 21401
410-295-5740

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	0.7%	0.4%
Citizen	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Police	95.7%	93.6%	93.4%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.8%	2.7%
Violation of Probation**	3.8%	4.8%	3.4%
Total Complaints	*4,753*	*4,573*	*4,506*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	39.2%	40.2%	36.9%
Informaled	20.9%	20.4%	19.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	39.9%	39.4%	44.1%
*Total Complaints**	*4,753*	*4,573*	*4,506*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	7.3%	8.5%	7.9%
Continued/Stet	13.5%	15.8%	11.7%
Dismissed/Closed	16.1%	18.1%	24.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%
Nolle Pros.	1.9%	0.7%	0.5%
Other**	23.4%	18.9%	11.2%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.1%	4.0%	12.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	5.4%	3.0%	2.0%
Probation	27.2%	26.3%	28.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.9%	2.3%	1.0%
Writ Pending[1]	1.9%	1.7%	0.6%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*1,865*	*1,838*	*1,661*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	156.8	N/A	156.8
Pre-Court	193.9	N/A	193.9
Probation	429.0	N/A	429.0
VPI*	79.7	26.3	106.0
Aftercare	127.3	94.0	221.4

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Southern Region population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.5% (from 85,699 to 84,382).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 6.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 36.9% of complaints were formaled while 19.0% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 7.3% to 7.9%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 27.2% to 28.6%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.5 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.8 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 84.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 13.2 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 21.7 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 143.6 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 275.9 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	51.6%	55.8%	56.1%
White	43.3%	39.0%	39.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.1%	5.2%	4.3%
Sex			
Male	69.0%	68.6%	69.4%
Female	31.0%	31.4%	30.6%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	4.8%	5.6%
12	4.3%	4.4%	5.8%
13	7.8%	7.4%	8.5%
14	13.7%	13.3%	13.0%
15	19.3%	18.9%	19.2%
16	21.9%	22.8%	21.3%
17	27.0%	25.9%	24.4%
18-20	2.4%	2.5%	2.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*4,753*	*4,573*	*4,506*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	26.5%	27.6%	30.9%	25.4%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.3%	3.0%	0.5%	0.8%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.2%	2.7%	1.1%	3.1%
Second Degree Assault	21.9%	19.6%	25.5%	17.7%
Sex Offense	1.9%	2.2%	3.5%	3.8%
Property Offenses	29.5%	31.9%	25.3%	36.9%
Arson	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	2.2%	0.3%	1.5%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.0%	7.3%	4.6%	6.9%
Malicious Destruction	6.2%	5.3%	5.4%	10.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	15.1%	15.8%	13.7%	18.5%
Trespassing	2.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	7.2%	8.8%	11.3%	12.3%
Narcotics Distribution	1.2%	1.6%	4.0%	3.8%
Narcotics Possession	6.0%	7.2%	7.3%	8.5%
Citations	6.5%	3.6%	1.6%	1.5%
Alcohol Violation	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	3.9%	1.5%	0.8%	0.8%
Tobacco Violation	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%
CINS Offenses**	2.5%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	27.9%	26.8%	30.9%	23.8%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.6%	1.1%	3.8%	0.8%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	1.9%	2.4%	4.6%
Disturbing the Peace	9.7%	6.8%	7.0%	5.4%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.7%	0.3%	1.6%	0.8%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.9%	0.3%	0.8%
Harassment	0.4%	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	2.2%	2.7%	1.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.5%	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.9%	1.2%	0.5%	0.8%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	2.2%	1.1%	1.5%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemean	9.7%	8.0%	8.9%	6.9%
Total Offenses	*6,919*	*740*	*372*	*130*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**7.1%**	**18.1%**	**4.8%**	**10.4%**
Felony	**5.6%**	**10.7%**	**10.4%**	**9.4%**
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	1.5%	1.2%	2.8%
- Property	2.8%	5.9%	2.1%	1.9%
- Drugs	1.6%	2.8%	4.2%	3.8%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.5%	3.0%	0.9%
Misdemeanor	**77.0%**	**66.2%**	**83.3%**	**78.3%**
- Person-to-Person	43.3%	37.9%	44.6%	35.8%
- Property	25.1%	19.3%	22.6%	29.2%
- Drugs	5.8%	6.4%	6.2%	8.5%
- Unspecified	2.8%	2.5%	9.8%	4.7%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**	**0.5%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**6.7%**	**3.3%**	**1.5%**	**1.9%**
CINS	**3.6%**	**0.8%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*4,506*	*393*	*336*	*106*
% of Statewide	*19.2%*	*10.6%*	*16.7%*	*16.6%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	13.2	21.7	428.8	143.6	216.7	270.7	275.9
Releases	393	147	411	75	195	16	168

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	182	166	22	13	10
Pending	28	80	22	12	5

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Anne Arundel County

Asst. Regional Director: Kimberly Atkinson (Annapolis)
Case Management Program Supervisor: Seamus Conlan (Glen Burnie)

Located in Region V - 2 Offices:
49 Old Solomons Island Rd. Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401 (ph) 410-295-5740
7500 Ritchie Hwy. Suite 306, Glen Burnie, MD 21061 (ph) 410-412-7115

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	1.0%	0.5%
Citizen	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
Police	94.2%	91.8%	90.7%
School Referrals*	0.0%	1.3%	4.4%
Violation of Probation**	5.2%	5.8%	4.2%
Total Complaints	*2,724*	*2,861*	*2,750*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	41.5%	41.2%	36.0%
Informaled	18.0%	19.8%	18.3%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	40.6%	39.0%	45.7%
*Total Complaints**	*2,724*	*2,861*	*2,750*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.8%	9.9%	8.3%
Continued/Stet	12.6%	14.4%	11.3%
Dismissed/Closed	20.4%	23.0%	27.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%
Nolle Pros.	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	28.0%	19.0%	10.5%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.2%	5.3%	17.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.6%	1.0%	0.6%
Probation	22.8%	24.3%	24.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Writ Pending[1]	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*1,130*	*1,178*	*990*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	118.3	N/A	118.3
Pre-Court	122.3	N/A	122.3
Probation	197.8	N/A	197.8
VPI*	57.4	16.9	74.3
Aftercare	66.1	51.2	117.3

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Anne Arundel County population (ages 11-17) decreased less than 1% (from 48,567 to 48,238).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 9.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 36.0% of complaints were formaled while 18.3% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.8% to 8.3%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 22.8% to 24.4%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.5 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.1 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 91.0 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (3.00), Secure Detention (1.42), and Petition (1.16).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 17.1 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 22.5 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 144.1 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 271.4 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	47.1%	52.3%	54.8%
White	45.9%	40.7%	39.5%
Hispanic/Other	6.9%	7.0%	5.8%
Sex			
Male	70.2%	70.4%	70.1%
Female	29.8%	29.6%	29.9%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	5.3%	6.0%
12	4.8%	5.3%	5.9%
13	7.4%	8.1%	9.7%
14	13.7%	12.2%	14.0%
15	19.6%	18.5%	18.6%
16	21.3%	22.2%	21.6%
17	27.6%	26.2%	22.5%
18-20	1.9%	2.2%	1.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*2,724*	*2,861*	*2,750*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	27.2%	27.8%	34.1%	23.5%
Child Abuse	0.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.2%	2.7%	0.6%	1.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.6%	3.6%	1.8%	4.4%
Second Degree Assault	22.0%	19.7%	26.3%	14.7%
Sex Offense	2.1%	1.5%	4.8%	2.9%
Property Offenses	28.7%	31.3%	26.3%	35.3%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.0%	2.4%	0.6%	2.9%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	5.4%	8.7%	5.4%	7.4%
Malicious Destruction	4.6%	3.0%	4.2%	7.4%
Tampering	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	15.0%	16.7%	15.0%	17.6%
Trespassing	2.4%	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	6.4%	11.6%	9.0%	17.6%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%	2.7%	2.4%	7.4%
Narcotics Possession	5.1%	9.0%	6.6%	10.3%
Citations	4.3%	3.6%	1.8%	2.9%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	2.8%	1.2%	1.2%	1.5%
Tobacco Violation	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%	1.5%
CINS Offenses**	2.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	30.7%	24.8%	28.7%	20.6%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.5%	0.3%	4.2%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	1.5%	3.6%	1.5%
Disturbing the Peace	10.0%	3.3%	7.2%	5.9%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	1.5%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	1.5%	0.6%	1.5%
Harassment	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%	3.6%	3.6%	1.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	1.0%	2.1%	0.6%	1.5%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%	2.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	12.8%	8.7%	5.4%	7.4%
Total Offenses	*4,372*	*335*	*167*	*68*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**7.4%**	**19.3%**	**4.6%**	**13.3%**
Felony	**6.6%**	**14.0%**	**11.8%**	**11.7%**
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	2.3%	2.0%	1.7%
- Property	3.3%	7.0%	3.3%	3.3%
- Drugs	1.9%	4.7%	2.6%	6.7%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**77.4%**	**63.2%**	**81.6%**	**71.7%**
- Person-to-Person	44.7%	35.1%	48.7%	28.3%
- Property	24.4%	16.4%	23.0%	30.0%
- Drugs	5.0%	7.6%	4.6%	8.3%
- Unspecified	3.4%	4.1%	5.3%	5.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**	**1.2%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**4.1%**	**2.3%**	**2.0%**	**3.3%**
CINS	**4.4%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*2,750*	*171*	*152*	*60*
% of Statewide	*11.7%*	*4.6%*	*7.6%*	*9.4%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	17.1	22.5	441.1	144.1	248.4	253.6	271.4
Releases	173	79	199	41	95	10	98

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	68	72	16	10	7
Pending	11	47	13	5	3

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Calvert County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Cynthia Scribner

Located in Region V - 1 Office:
200 Duke St. Suite 2800, Prince Frederick, MD 20678 (ph) 443-550-6275

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.4%	0.3%	0.8%
Total Complaints	*472*	*392*	*391*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	25.6%	26.8%	30.7%
Informaled	31.6%	30.1%	19.7%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	42.8%	43.1%	49.6%
*Total Complaints**	*472*	*392*	*391*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	3.3%	1.9%	4.2%
Continued/Stet	15.7%	15.2%	17.5%
Dismissed/Closed	4.1%	6.7%	28.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	4.1%	0.0%	0.8%
Other**	16.5%	21.0%	9.2%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.1%	7.6%	0.0%
Probation	38.0%	32.4%	33.3%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	7.4%	9.5%	3.3%
Writ Pending[1]	5.8%	3.8%	3.3%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*121*	*105*	*120*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	1.9	N/A	1.9
Pre-Court	22.2	N/A	22.2
Probation	49.1	N/A	49.1
VPI*	3.4	1.2	4.6
Aftercare	16.0	6.8	22.8

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Calvert County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.9% (from 9,956 to 9,467).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 1.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 30.7% of complaints were formaled while 19.7% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 3.3% to 4.2%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 38.0% to 33.3%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 16.8 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 25.0 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 70.6 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (1.36), and DJS Intake (1.14).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 8.2 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 20.7 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 116.3 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 237.3 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	22.5%	28.1%	25.1%
White	73.5%	69.1%	71.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.0%	2.8%	3.3%
Sex			
Male	67.4%	64.5%	68.3%
Female	32.6%	35.5%	31.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.4%	2.0%	4.9%
12	3.2%	1.5%	2.8%
13	5.5%	4.3%	7.9%
14	12.3%	11.2%	11.8%
15	17.2%	22.2%	19.9%
16	28.0%	26.3%	23.3%
17	32.2%	31.1%	28.6%
18-20	1.3%	1.3%	0.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*472*	*392*	*391*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	15.8%	26.5%	13.3%	54.5%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	14.1%	17.6%	11.1%	45.5%
Sex Offense	0.8%	2.9%	2.2%	9.1%
Property Offenses	27.2%	33.8%	20.0%	9.1%
Arson	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.0%	13.2%	4.4%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	12.4%	7.4%	4.4%	0.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	9.7%	11.8%	8.9%	9.1%
Trespassing	0.4%	1.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	17.9%	1.5%	28.9%	9.1%
Narcotics Distribution	1.3%	0.0%	15.6%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	16.5%	1.5%	13.3%	9.1%
Citations	14.1%	1.5%	4.4%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.5%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	1.5%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	8.7%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	16.3%	26.5%	33.3%	27.3%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.4%	4.4%	4.4%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	2.9%	0.0%	18.2%
Disturbing the Peace	7.4%	8.8%	13.3%	9.1%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.6%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.6%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.2%	1.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	1.0%	1.5%	4.4%	0.0%
Total Offenses	*526*	*68*	*45*	*11*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**3.1%**	**25.6%**	**2.6%**	**0.0%**
Felony	**3.3%**	**0.0%**	**23.7%**	**0.0%**
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.8%	0.0%	15.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	0.0%	7.9%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**71.9%**	**64.1%**	**71.1%**	**100.0%**
- Person-to-Person	29.2%	33.3%	39.5%	77.8%
- Property	27.4%	28.2%	15.8%	11.1%
- Drugs	14.8%	2.6%	10.5%	11.1%
- Unspecified	0.5%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**12.5%**	**2.6%**	**2.6%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**9.2%**	**7.7%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*391*	*39*	*38*	*9*
% of Statewide	*1.7%*	*1.1%*	*1.9%*	*1.4%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	8.2	20.7	456.2	116.3	297.1	336.9	237.3
Releases	39	12	37	8	15	2	17

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	27	10	1	1	0
Pending	5	4	1	1	1

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Charles County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Mary Ann Kellstrom-Stewart

Located in Region V - 1 Office:
200 Kent Ave., La Plata, MD 20646 (ph) 301-392-6900

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	98.2%	98.3%	99.4%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	1.3%	1.4%	0.5%
Total Complaints	*968*	*833*	*858*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	33.4%	37.9%	37.4%
Informaled	18.6%	10.7%	8.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	48.0%	51.4%	54.2%
*Total Complaints**	*968*	*833*	*858*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	6.8%	7.0%	10.6%
Continued/Stet	17.3%	20.9%	9.7%
Dismissed/Closed	8.0%	10.1%	17.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.9%	1.6%	0.6%
Nolle Pros.	1.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Other**	21.7%	18.7%	16.5%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	0.6%	7.8%
Petition Denied by SAO	3.1%	4.1%	1.9%
Probation	31.0%	28.5%	31.2%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	3.1%	3.8%	2.2%
Writ Pending[1]	6.8%	4.1%	1.9%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*323*	*316*	*321*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	21.4	N/A	21.4
Pre-Court	4.1	N/A	4.1
Probation	108.4	N/A	108.4
VPI*	12.0	4.1	16.1
Aftercare	30.2	20.7	50.9

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Charles County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.9% (from 16,243 to 15,935).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 0.6% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 37.4% of complaints were formaled while 8.4% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 6.8% to 10.6%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 31.0% to 31.2%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 32.9 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.4 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 81.4 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (2.34), and Delinquent Findings (1.34), and under-represented at DJS Intake (0.90).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 12.0 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 24.0 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 169.7 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 347.9 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.2%	77.9%	75.3%
White	21.1%	19.4%	22.6%
Hispanic/Other	1.8%	2.6%	2.1%
Sex			
Male	64.0%	67.6%	68.6%
Female	36.0%	32.4%	31.4%
Age			
11 and under	4.2%	3.4%	4.4%
12	3.7%	2.9%	5.4%
13	10.1%	4.9%	6.4%
14	12.9%	15.0%	11.0%
15	20.6%	19.3%	19.5%
16	21.3%	25.3%	21.0%
17	24.2%	24.6%	28.2%
18-20	3.0%	4.6%	4.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*968*	*833*	*858*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	24.9%	27.5%	35.0%	26.8%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.6%	3.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1.2%	1.6%	1.0%	2.4%
Second Degree Assault	20.5%	19.7%	30.0%	19.5%
Sex Offense	1.6%	3.1%	3.0%	4.9%
Property Offenses	34.4%	36.3%	23.0%	41.5%
Arson	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.7%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.8%	6.2%	6.0%	9.8%
Malicious Destruction	8.1%	7.8%	5.0%	12.2%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	19.4%	16.1%	11.0%	19.5%
Trespassing	1.3%	2.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	7.4%	6.7%	9.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.0%	1.6%	4.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.4%	5.2%	5.0%	0.0%
Citations	9.8%	3.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	6.1%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.7%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	1.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	23.5%	25.9%	32.0%	31.7%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.3%	2.1%	5.0%	2.4%
Deadly Weapon	3.3%	3.1%	3.0%	7.3%
Disturbing the Peace	6.7%	6.2%	7.0%	2.4%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.9%	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.4%	1.6%	1.0%	2.4%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.9%	2.6%	1.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.9%	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.6%	3.1%	2.0%	4.9%
Unspecified Felony	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.9%	5.7%	9.0%	9.8%
Total Offenses	*1,266*	*193*	*100*	*41*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**8.3%**	**16.8%**	**9.2%**	**11.1%**
Felony	**4.9%**	**10.3%**	**6.9%**	**11.1%**
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	7.4%
- Property	2.6%	6.5%	1.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	2.8%	4.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	3.7%
Misdemeanor	**77.2%**	**69.2%**	**82.8%**	**77.8%**
- Person-to-Person	40.6%	40.2%	49.4%	48.1%
- Property	27.9%	24.3%	14.9%	22.2%
- Drugs	6.2%	3.7%	5.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.6%	0.9%	12.6%	7.4%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**9.7%**	**2.8%**	**1.1%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*858*	*107*	*87*	*27*
% of Statewide	*3.7%*	*2.9%*	*4.3%*	*4.2%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	12.0	24.0	404.7	169.7	196.4	376.6	347.9
Releases	104	30	115	5	51	2	36

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	52	44	4	2	2
Pending	3	18	5	3	1

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

St. Mary's County

Case Management Program Supervisor: Daniel Schaidt

Located in Region V - 1 Office:
23110 Leonard Hall Dr. Suite 1040, Leonardtown MD 20650 (ph) 301-880-2850

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	1.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police	95.4%	91.6%	93.5%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.6%	8.2%	6.3%
Total Complaints	*589*	*487*	*507*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	49.4%	49.1%	45.4%
Informaled	29.7%	32.4%	40.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	20.9%	18.5%	14.2%
*Total Complaints**	*589*	*487*	*507*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	3.8%	6.3%	4.8%
Continued/Stet	12.0%	15.9%	13.0%
Dismissed/Closed	13.4%	9.6%	17.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	8.2%	4.6%	3.5%
Other**	10.7%	17.6%	7.8%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	3.8%	3.5%
Petition Denied by SAO	15.1%	9.2%	9.1%
Probation	35.4%	30.5%	40.4%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.4%	2.5%	0.4%
Writ Pending[1]	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*291*	*239*	*230*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	15.3	N/A	15.3
Pre-Court	45.3	N/A	45.3
Probation	73.7	N/A	73.7
VPI*	6.9	4.1	11.0
Aftercare	15.0	15.3	30.3

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the St. Mary's County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.7% (from 10,933 to 10,742).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 6.5% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 45.4% of complaints were formaled while 40.4% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 3.8% to 4.8%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 35.4% to 40.4%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 21.4 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 14.9 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 65.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented at Arrest (5.25) and Secure Detention (1.37), and under-represented at DJS Intake (0.78).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 8.6 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 17.1 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 146.9 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 188.2 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	53.7%	60.8%	54.8%
White	43.6%	38.6%	44.8%
Hispanic/Other	2.7%	0.6%	0.4%
Sex			
Male	72.8%	63.0%	67.7%
Female	27.2%	37.0%	32.3%
Age			
11 and under	4.9%	6.8%	6.5%
12	3.9%	3.3%	8.3%
13	8.0%	10.1%	6.3%
14	16.0%	18.3%	12.2%
15	17.1%	18.1%	21.7%
16	20.7%	19.5%	18.7%
17	24.8%	21.8%	24.7%
18-20	4.6%	2.3%	1.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*589*	*487*	*507*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	32.7%	27.8%	28.3%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	1.7%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.3%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	28.6%	20.1%	26.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.1%	2.1%	1.7%	0.0%
Property Offenses	27.3%	26.4%	30.0%	60.0%
Arson	0.8%	1.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	3.6%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.3%	6.2%	10.0%	30.0%
Tampering	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	12.2%	15.3%	18.3%	30.0%
Trespassing	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	3.4%	8.3%	8.3%	30.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.9%	8.3%	8.3%	30.0%
Citations	8.6%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	5.8%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	27.3%	32.6%	33.3%	10.0%
Con. to Commit Offens	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	14.2%	14.6%	1.7%	10.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.8%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.4%	1.4%	5.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	7.7%	12.5%	21.7%	0.0%
Total Offenses	*755*	*144*	*60*	*10*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**6.7%**	**13.2%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Felony	**3.2%**	**9.2%**	**3.4%**	**0.0%**
- Person-to-Person	0.2%	1.3%	1.7%	0.0%
- Property	1.8%	5.3%	1.7%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**78.1%**	**69.7%**	**96.6%**	**100.0%**
- Person-to-Person	51.1%	43.4%	30.5%	10.0%
- Property	22.5%	14.5%	37.3%	60.0%
- Drugs	2.6%	9.2%	8.5%	30.0%
- Unspecified	2.0%	2.6%	20.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**11.0%**	**6.6%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**1.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*507*	*76*	*59*	*10*
% of Statewide	*2.2%*	*2.0%*	*2.9%*	*1.6%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	8.6	17.1	417.1	146.9	123.2	184.4	188.2
Releases	77	26	60	21	34	2	17

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	35	40	1	0	1
Pending	9	11	3	3	0

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region VI-Metro Summary

Regional Director: Delmonica Hawkins; Assistant Regional Directors: Frank Duncan, Kayla Pierce, and Kwabena Tuffour
Counties of Montgomery and Prince George's

14735 Main Street, Suite MO400
Upper Marlboro, MD 20772
301-952-2580

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	1.3%	1.6%	1.4%
Citizen	4.8%	4.7%	5.3%
Police	81.6%	77.9%	80.1%
School Referrals*	7.8%	9.5%	7.9%
Violation of Probation**	4.5%	6.3%	5.4%
Total Complaints	*5,509*	*4,391*	*4,116*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	48.4%	49.0%	47.1%
Informaled	19.1%	19.6%	21.0%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	32.5%	31.4%	31.9%
*Total Complaints**	*5,509*	*4,391*	*4,116*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	9.9%	8.8%	10.5%
Continued/Stet	5.5%	4.1%	8.7%
Dismissed/Closed	34.3%	30.4%	30.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.4%	0.5%	1.4%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	13.1%	17.2%	13.0%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	0.2%	4.0%
Petition Denied by SAO	1.9%	1.3%	3.9%
Probation	15.9%	15.6%	15.9%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	1.2%	1.1%	0.5%
Writ Pending[1]	17.7%	20.8%	11.2%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*2,666*	*2,151*	*1,938*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	93.6	N/A	93.6
Pre-Court	194.1	N/A	194.1
Probation	470.6	N/A	470.6
VPI*	201.9	81.4	283.4
Aftercare	196.0	134.1	330.1

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Metro Region population (ages 11-17) decreased less than 1% (from 171,835 to 170,456).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 20.0% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 47.1% of complaints were formaled while 21.0% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 9.9% to 10.5%
  - Percent of probation dispositions was the same at 15.9%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 73.3 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.5 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 81.6 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Since one region can include vastly different counties in terms of relative rate indices, presenting a regional rate is not meaningful.
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 21.7 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 30.5 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 152.4 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 311.3 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.3%	71.7%	65.8%
White	13.6%	9.4%	11.6%
Hispanic/Other	18.2%	18.9%	22.6%
Sex			
Male	76.6%	76.0%	76.4%
Female	23.4%	24.0%	23.6%
Age			
11 and under	1.7%	1.2%	1.6%
12	2.4%	2.0%	2.1%
13	5.4%	5.6%	5.2%
14	11.6%	11.6%	11.8%
15	20.5%	20.0%	19.5%
16	23.3%	23.2%	24.2%
17	30.0%	28.7%	27.9%
18-20	5.1%	7.6%	7.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*5,509*	*4,391*	*4,116*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	20.7%	31.2%	42.3%	49.3%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	1.8%
First Degree Assault	1.3%	2.8%	0.9%	1.4%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	4.3%	10.6%	6.3%	20.4%
Second Degree Assault	12.8%	14.9%	26.6%	19.9%
Sex Offense	2.1%	2.3%	7.5%	5.0%
Property Offenses	34.1%	35.9%	31.3%	30.8%
Arson	0.3%	1.2%	0.9%	2.3%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	3.0%	2.5%	3.2%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.1%	6.9%	7.2%	5.4%
Malicious Destruction	4.3%	3.3%	3.4%	2.7%
Tampering	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	17.5%	17.8%	14.1%	17.2%
Trespassing	3.2%	3.5%	3.1%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	6.5%	5.7%	5.3%	3.2%
Narcotics Distribution	1.2%	1.5%	1.6%	0.5%
Narcotics Possession	5.3%	4.1%	3.8%	2.7%
Citations	6.5%	2.1%	0.6%	0.5%
Alcohol Violation	1.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	3.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	6.7%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	25.6%	20.3%	20.4%	16.3%
Con. to Commit Offens	3.6%	3.1%	6.3%	7.7%
Deadly Weapon	2.6%	2.2%	2.2%	1.4%
Disturbing the Peace	5.6%	3.1%	3.8%	0.9%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.9%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	1.3%	1.9%	0.5%
Harassment	0.6%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.9%	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.6%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	0.5%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	1.4%	1.6%	1.8%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%
Unspecified Misdemean	5.5%	4.5%	1.9%	2.3%
Total Offenses	*7,406*	*1,960*	*319*	*221*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**15.8%**	**34.1%**	**14.0%**	**36.4%**
Felony	**7.8%**	**12.4%**	**16.8%**	**16.8%**
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	1.4%	4.5%	2.9%
- Property	4.3%	8.3%	4.5%	6.9%
- Drugs	1.7%	2.4%	1.7%	0.6%
- Unspecified	0.9%	0.4%	5.9%	6.4%
Misdemeanor	**64.5%**	**50.1%**	**68.9%**	**46.2%**
- Person-to-Person	33.0%	27.1%	42.3%	26.6%
- Property	24.7%	18.4%	20.6%	15.0%
- Drugs	4.9%	3.5%	3.5%	1.7%
- Unspecified	1.9%	1.1%	2.4%	2.9%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.7%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**9.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.3%**	**0.6%**
CINS	**2.3%**	**2.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*4,116*	*798*	*286*	*173*
% of Statewide	*17.6%*	*21.5%*	*14.2%*	*27.2%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	21.7	30.5	498.7	152.4	284.7	274.1	311.3
Releases	820	278	481	164	190	39	270

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	247	385	85	41	62
Pending	22	152	43	26	35

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Montgomery County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Eric Gaines, George Proctor, Mariam Veppumthara, Sean Murphy (Rockville), and Doug Powell (Silver Spring)

Located in Region VI - 2 Offices:
7300 Calhoun Pl. Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20855 (ph) 301- 610-8500
8905 Fairview Rd. 6th Floor, Silver Spring, MD 20910 (ph) 301- 650-6750

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	1.0%	1.3%	1.1%
Citizen	1.3%	1.0%	0.7%
Police	94.3%	93.5%	95.7%
School Referrals*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Violation of Probation**	3.4%	4.2%	2.4%
Total Complaints	*2,440*	*1,701*	*2,303*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	43.5%	45.8%	46.3%
Informaled	25.6%	26.5%	22.3%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	30.9%	27.7%	31.4%
*Total Complaints**	*2,440*	*1,701*	*2,303*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.8%	8.0%	7.6%
Continued/Stet	3.0%	2.6%	4.1%
Dismissed/Closed	32.5%	31.7%	37.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.3%	1.0%	2.6%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	19.5%	22.5%	14.8%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.8%	3.7%	7.1%
Probation	23.4%	18.5%	15.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.1%	2.4%	0.7%
Writ Pending[1]	5.6%	9.6%	6.2%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*1,062*	*779*	*1,067*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	46.5	N/A	46.5
Pre-Court	103.6	N/A	103.6
Probation	141.9	N/A	141.9
VPI*	48.1	17.0	65.1
Aftercare	46.7	32.1	78.8

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Montgomery County population (ages 11-17) increased 3.6% (from 87,655 to 90,801).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 4.3% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 46.3% of complaints were formaled while 22.3% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions decreased from 8.8% to 7.6%
  - Percent of probation dispositions decreased from 23.4% to 15.0%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 74.5 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.5 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 83.8 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in a Secure Detention (2.82), Arrests (2.13), and Petition (1.32).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 22.8 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 23.1 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 149.1 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 324.7 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	46.6%	52.4%	53.6%
White	25.7%	18.8%	16.9%
Hispanic/Other	27.6%	28.8%	29.4%
Sex			
Male	75.2%	74.5%	76.0%
Female	24.8%	25.5%	24.0%
Age			
11 and under	1.8%	1.2%	1.6%
12	1.9%	2.2%	1.9%
13	4.9%	4.4%	4.3%
14	9.9%	11.4%	11.2%
15	18.8%	15.5%	19.1%
16	22.3%	21.2%	24.0%
17	34.6%	34.0%	29.4%
18-20	5.9%	10.1%	8.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*2,440*	*1,701*	*2,303*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	18.5%	28.9%	41.8%	33.3%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	3.9%
First Degree Assault	0.8%	1.6%	2.5%	3.9%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	3.8%	10.7%	9.0%	13.7%
Second Degree Assault	11.8%	14.5%	13.9%	9.8%
Sex Offense	1.9%	1.6%	14.8%	2.0%
Property Offenses	35.1%	32.4%	27.0%	39.2%
Arson	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.3%	2.8%	0.8%	3.9%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.5%	7.0%	6.6%	5.9%
Malicious Destruction	3.9%	1.9%	2.5%	3.9%
Tampering	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	21.3%	18.4%	15.6%	25.5%
Trespassing	1.9%	1.9%	1.6%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	9.0%	5.4%	4.9%	5.9%
Narcotics Distribution	1.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	7.4%	4.2%	4.9%	5.9%
Citations	10.5%	4.4%	0.8%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	5.9%	1.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.9%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	26.5%	28.4%	25.4%	21.6%
Con. to Commit Offens	6.1%	9.1%	9.8%	13.7%
Deadly Weapon	2.5%	3.3%	1.6%	2.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.2%	4.2%	4.9%	0.0%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	1.0%	1.6%	0.0%	2.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.4%	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.7%	1.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	2.6%	2.5%	2.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemean	3.4%	3.3%	0.0%	2.0%
Total Offenses	*3,672*	*429*	*122*	*51*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**13.4%**	**40.7%**	**21.7%**	**46.9%**
Felony	**7.2%**	**9.0%**	**24.5%**	**12.5%**
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	2.3%	12.3%	0.0%
- Property	3.6%	5.1%	2.8%	6.2%
- Drugs	1.8%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.6%	9.4%	6.2%
Misdemeanor	**64.2%**	**46.9%**	**53.8%**	**40.6%**
- Person-to-Person	30.7%	29.9%	34.9%	15.6%
- Property	26.1%	15.8%	14.2%	18.8%
- Drugs	6.3%	1.1%	4.7%	3.1%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.9%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**13.8%**	**2.3%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.6%**	**1.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*2,303*	*177*	*106*	*32*
% of Statewide	*9.8%*	*4.8%*	*5.3%*	*5.0%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	22.8	23.1	518.8	149.1	298.0	279.7	324.7
Releases	169	65	140	32	56	7	66

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	60	66	18	9	16
Pending	8	39	10	4	4

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Prince George's County

Case Management Specialist Supervisors: Rosalind Jacobs, Andrea McKeon, Bryan Paige, and Rukaiyah Tyler (Largo), Katherine Ball, Ronald Barnes, and Martin Johnson (Upper Marlboro)

Located in Region VI - 2 Offices:
14735 Main St. Suite M0400, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301-952-2580
99 Commerce Pl. 2nd Floor, Largo, MD 20774 (ph) 301-333-3310

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	1.5%	1.9%	1.8%
Citizen	7.6%	7.0%	11.0%
Police	71.6%	68.1%	60.2%
School Referrals*	13.9%	15.4%	17.9%
Violation of Probation**	5.4%	7.6%	9.1%
Total Complaints	*3,069*	*2,690*	*1,813*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers. Most offenses at schools are referred to DJS by regular law enforcement.
** Includes only technical violations

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	52.3%	51.0%	48.0%
Informaled	13.9%	15.3%	19.4%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	33.8%	33.7%	32.6%
*Total Complaints**	*3,069*	*2,690*	*1,813*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	10.7%	9.3%	14.1%
Continued/Stet	7.2%	5.0%	14.4%
Dismissed/Closed	35.5%	29.6%	23.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%
Nolle Pros.	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	8.8%	14.1%	10.7%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.0%	0.4%	3.2%
Petition Denied by SAO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	10.9%	13.9%	17.0%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	0.7%	0.4%	0.3%
Writ Pending[1]	25.7%	27.1%	17.3%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*1,604*	*1,372*	*871*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	47.2	N/A	47.2
Pre-Court	90.5	N/A	90.5
Probation	328.7	N/A	328.7
VPI*	153.8	64.4	218.3
Aftercare	149.3	101.9	251.3

* Counts for Aftercare and Probation do not include VPI youth

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
  - Between July 2010 and July 2014, the Prince George's County population (ages 11-17) decreased 5.4% (from 84,180 to 79,655).
- *Complaint Source (FY 2015):*
  - 39.8% of intake complaints came from sources other than police.
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2015):*
  - 48.0% of complaints were formaled while 19.4% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2013-2015):*
  - Percent of committed dispositions increased from 10.7% to 14.1%
  - Percent of probation dispositions increased from 10.9% to 17.0%
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2015):*
  - Time from offense to intake referral date was 71.7 days.
  - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.7 days.
  - Time from case forwarding decision to delinquent adjudication was 80.1 days.
- *Racial and Ethnic Disparity (FY 2014):*
  - Youth of color are most over-represented in Secure Detention (4.99), Arrests (1.99), and Petition (1.49).
  - See Appendix N for a description of DMC Strategies and Appendix O for a complete presentation of relative rate indices.
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention - 21.5 days versus 16.3 statewide
  - Pending Placement - 32.8 days versus 25.3 statewide
  - State-Operated Committed - 153.2 days versus 148.9 statewide
  - Aftercare - 307.0 days versus 274.8 statewide

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	85.4%	83.9%	81.2%
White	3.9%	3.4%	4.7%
Hispanic/Other	10.7%	12.7%	14.0%
Sex			
Male	77.7%	77.0%	76.8%
Female	22.3%	23.0%	23.2%
Age			
11 and under	1.6%	1.2%	1.7%
12	2.7%	2.0%	2.3%
13	5.8%	6.4%	6.3%
14	13.0%	11.7%	12.5%
15	21.9%	22.8%	20.0%
16	24.0%	24.5%	24.4%
17	26.3%	25.4%	25.9%
18-20	4.6%	6.0%	6.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*3,069*	*2,690*	*1,813*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Offense Type, FY 2015*

Offense Type (Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Charges[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Person-to-Person	22.8%	31.8%	42.6%	54.1%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.5%	0.5%	1.2%
First Degree Assault	1.8%	3.1%	0.0%	0.6%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	4.7%	10.5%	4.6%	22.4%
Second Degree Assault	13.7%	15.0%	34.5%	22.9%
Sex Offense	2.2%	2.5%	3.0%	5.9%
Property Offenses	33.2%	36.8%	34.0%	28.2%
Arson	0.6%	1.4%	1.5%	2.9%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.6%	3.1%	3.6%	2.9%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	7.8%	6.9%	7.6%	5.3%
Malicious Destruction	4.7%	3.7%	4.1%	2.4%
Tampering	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft	13.8%	17.6%	13.2%	14.7%
Trespassing	4.5%	4.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Drug Related Offenses	4.0%	5.7%	5.6%	2.4%
Narcotics Distribution	0.9%	1.6%	2.5%	0.6%
Narcotics Possession	3.1%	4.1%	3.0%	1.8%
Citations	2.5%	1.4%	0.5%	0.6%
Alcohol Violation	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%
Possession of Marj. <10gr	1.3%	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	12.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	24.7%	18.0%	17.3%	14.7%
Con. to Commit Offens	1.1%	1.4%	4.1%	5.9%
Deadly Weapon	2.6%	1.9%	2.5%	1.2%
Disturbing the Peace	6.0%	2.8%	3.0%	1.2%
False Alarm/Report/Stat	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	1.5%	1.5%	0.6%
Harassment	1.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other+	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Reckless Endangerment	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%	0.6%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	1.0%	1.0%	1.8%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%
Unspecified Misdemean	7.6%	4.8%	3.0%	2.4%
Total Offenses	*3,734*	*1,531*	*197*	*170*

* Intake, Probation, & Committed reflect county of jurisdiction; Detention is county of residence and offense may not be the reason for detention
[1] Alleged offenses; [2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed
+ Includes: counterfeit/uttering, cruelty to animals, electronic mail abuse, escape, false alarm, fireworks viol., forgery, loitering, telephone misuse, & verbal threat-extortion
** Includes runaway, truant, and ungovernable

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense))	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**18.8%**	**32.2%**	**9.4%**	**34.0%**
Felony	**8.5%**	**13.4%**	**12.2%**	**17.7%**
- Person-to-Person	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%	3.5%
- Property	5.1%	9.2%	5.6%	7.1%
- Drugs	1.7%	2.7%	2.8%	0.7%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.3%	3.9%	6.4%
Misdemeanor	**64.9%**	**51.0%**	**77.8%**	**47.5%**
- Person-to-Person	36.0%	26.2%	46.7%	29.1%
- Property	22.8%	19.2%	24.4%	14.2%
- Drugs	3.1%	4.2%	2.8%	1.4%
- Unspecified	3.0%	1.4%	3.9%	2.8%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.4%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**2.9%**	**0.0%**	**0.6%**	**0.7%**
CINS	**4.5%**	**2.3%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*1,813*	*621*	*180*	*141*
% of Statewide	*7.7%*	*16.7%*	*9.0%*	*22.1%*

* See Appendix K for description of Crimes of Violence.
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses; Youth newly assigned to probation/newly committed

Average LOS, FY 2015 Releases



	Pre-D Deten	Pending Placemt	Prob.	State-Oper.	In-State Private	Out-of-State	After-Care
Average LOS	21.5	32.8	490.5	153.2	279.2	272.9	307.0
Releases	651	213	341	132	134	32	204

Detention LOS (Days), FY 2015 Releases*



	0-3	4-30	31-45	46-60	61+
Pre-D	187	319	67	32	46
Pending	14	113	33	22	31

* Selected time intervals are based on legislation, Code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Regional Summary Trends

Intake Complaints, Pre-Dispositional Detention (Placements and ADP), and Post-Dispositional Detention (Placements and ADP) By Region FY 2013-2015

County / Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention Placements*			Pre-D Detention ADP			Post-D Pending Placement Placements*			Post-D Pending Placement ADP**		
	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15
R-I-Balt. City	3,996	4,016	3,390	2,093	1,609	1,357	58.4	53.8	48.4	306	244	205	31.9	23.4	15.2
R-II-Central	7,242	6,477	5,879	686	573	503	32.3	24.5	24.3	194	187	153	13.9	11.9	9.1
R-III-Western	2,471	2,386	2,384	296	261	291	17.5	15.1	15.5	68	72	78	3.8	3.2	4.3
R-IV-Eastern	3,579	3,289	3,171	417	332	318	19.3	17.1	16.3	120	107	97	8.6	6.1	5.1
R-V-Southern	4,753	4,573	4,506	525	492	393	14.4	16.7	14.7	197	180	149	14.3	7.0	9.0
R-VI-Metro	5,509	4,391	4,116	1,516	1,060	798	79.4	62.0	51.2	422	358	258	37.9	31.9	22.4
Statewide	*27,550*	*25,132*	*23,446*	*5,591*	*4,368*	*3,713*	*223.8*	*190.1*	*171.6*	*1,318*	*1,173*	*955*	*111.4*	*84.7*	*66.0*

* Statewide totals include youth from out-of-state; ** ADP includes Ejection ADP

Post-Ejection Detention* (Placements and ADP), First-Time Probation Dispositions, and Average Supervised Caseload By Region FY 2013-2015

County / Region	Post-Ejection Detention Placements Placements			Post-Ejection Detention Placements ADP			First-Time Probation Dispositions**			Average Supervised Caseload***		
	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15
R-I-Balt. City	104	61	46	11.6	7.6	4.2	526	554	472	1,039	940	933
R-II-Central	41	27	29	3.8	3.0	2.2	652	614	512	843	754	723
R-III-Western	14	20	11	1.0	1.4	1.5	194	192	207	325	291	264
R-IV-Eastern	25	30	17	2.9	2.2	1.2	299	199	197	505	456	376
R-V-Southern	43	30	31	4.5	1.5	3.2	397	390	336	725	683	636
R-VI-Metro	83	84	51	10.1	10.0	5.9	499	390	286	1,295	1,108	877
Statewide	*312*	*256*	*186*	*34.0*	*26.1*	*18.3*	*2,567*	*2,339*	*2,010*	*4,710*	*4,206*	*3,795*

* Statewide totals include youth from out-of-state. ** Includes youth with no prior probation or commitment order who are placed on DJS in-home supervision under a new commitment order. ***Includes all probation, aftercare, and VPI cases not in committed placements.

Committed Dispositions, Placements, and ADP* By Region FY 2013-2015

County / Region	First-Time Committed Dispositions			Committed Placements			Committed ADP		
	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15
R-I-Balt. City	187	187	138	362	286	236	178.2	162.5	119.1
R-II-Central	168	110	96	249	204	158	120.8	124.8	89.1
R-III-Western	68	54	56	143	117	116	84.9	73.3	67.0
R-IV-Eastern	129	74	68	205	148	100	119.3	112.6	93.5
R-V-Southern	172	151	106	313	280	217	162.1	159.6	120.1
R-VI-Metro	323	266	173	476	436	316	260.9	239.8	207.2
Statewide	*1,047*	*842*	*637*	*1,779*	*1,495*	*1,156*	*946.9*	*898.1*	*711.1*

* "Disposition" counts all youth newly committed to the Department, not all of whom end up placed into committed out-of-home placements. Those that are placed are reflected in the "Placements" and "ADP" figures. Statewide totals include youth from out-of-state.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

County/Regional Trends

Intake Complaints, Pre-Dispositional Detention (Placements and ADP), and Post-Dispositional Detention (Placements and ADP) By County/Region FY 2013-2015+

County/ Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention Placements*			Pre-D Detention ADP			Post-D Pending Placement Placements*			Post-D Pending Placement ADP**		
	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15
R-I-Balt. City	**3,996**	**4,016**	**3,390**	**2,093**	**1,609**	**1,357**	**58.4**	**53.8**	**48.4**	**306**	**244**	**205**	**31.9**	**23.4**	**15.2**
Baltimore City	3,996	4,016	3,390	2,093	1,609	1,357	58.4	53.8	48.4	306	244	205	31.9	23.4	15.2
R-II-Central	**7,242**	**6,477**	**5,879**	**686**	**573**	**503**	**32.3**	**24.5**	**24.3**	**194**	**187**	**153**	**13.9**	**11.9**	**9.1**
Baltimore Co.	4,541	3,945	3,593	469	376	329	22.2	17.6	17.3	117	102	80	8.8	6.3	4.9
Carroll	634	513	466	52	46	41	2.7	2.2	2.2	26	25	26	1.5	0.9	1.0
Harford	924	816	849	124	98	87	6.1	3.1	3.1	33	32	28	2.4	2.8	1.8
Howard	1,143	1,203	971	41	53	46	1.3	1.7	1.6	18	28	19	1.3	1.8	1.4
R-III-Western	**2,471**	**2,386**	**2,384**	**296**	**261**	**291**	**17.5**	**15.1**	**15.5**	**68**	**72**	**78**	**3.8**	**3.2**	**4.3**
Allegany	638	608	533	40	28	33	2.3	1.3	1.2	12	14	5	1.1	0.5	0.3
Frederick	845	764	702	96	90	66	5.2	5.2	3.5	21	29	34	0.9	1.1	1.5
Garrett	275	217	179	18	6	8	1.0	0.4	0.4	9	3	3	0.4	0.1	0.1
Washington	713	797	970	142	137	184	9.0	8.2	10.4	26	26	36	1.4	1.4	2.3
R-IV-Eastern	**3,579**	**3,289**	**3,171**	**417**	**332**	**318**	**19.3**	**17.1**	**16.3**	**120**	**107**	**97**	**8.6**	**6.1**	**5.1**
Caroline	228	282	286	12	8	11	0.3	0.5	0.3	1	5	4	0.3	0.2	0.2
Cecil	424	436	410	60	44	47	3.4	3.5	1.9	6	16	12	0.4	0.6	0.8
Dorchester	316	269	423	27	8	25	1.5	0.3	1.9	12	1	11	0.7	0.0	0.4
Kent	148	119	93	10	6	5	0.3	0.4	0.3	1	3	3	0.0	0.2	0.1
Queen Anne's	207	154	136	18	10	9	0.8	0.2	0.5	4	3	1	0.1	0.2	0.1
Somerset	219	156	144	35	28	22	1.4	1.0	1.1	9	14	4	0.4	1.0	0.2
Talbot	201	163	121	11	5	8	0.3	0.4	0.2	3	2	4	0.2	0.1	0.1
Wicomico	949	1,035	958	186	173	158	9.0	9.0	8.9	74	48	51	6.1	3.3	3.0
Worcester	887	675	600	58	50	33	2.2	1.9	1.1	10	15	7	0.4	0.5	0.3
R-V-Southern	**4,753**	**4,573**	**4,506**	**525**	**492**	**393**	**14.4**	**16.7**	**14.7**	**197**	**180**	**149**	**14.3**	**7.0**	**9.0**
Anne Arundel	2,724	2,861	2,750	286	229	171	8.5	8.9	8.2	151	86	83	11.8	4.1	5.2
Calvert	472	392	391	45	43	39	1.3	1.0	0.8	7	14	12	0.4	0.4	0.7
Charles	968	833	858	100	114	107	2.7	4.3	3.7	22	42	27	1.2	1.7	2.0
St. Mary's	589	487	507	94	106	76	1.9	2.5	1.9	17	38	27	0.9	0.8	1.2
R-VI-Metro	**5,509**	**4,391**	**4,116**	**1,516**	**1,060**	**798**	**79.4**	**62.0**	**51.2**	**422**	**358**	**258**	**37.9**	**31.9**	**22.4**
Montgomery	2,440	1,701	2,303	345	218	177	21.3	10.1	12.8	134	87	61	10.2	7.0	3.7
Prince George's	3,069	2,690	1,813	1,171	842	621	58.0	51.9	38.4	288	271	197	27.7	24.8	18.7
Statewide	***27,550***	***25,132***	***23,446***	***5,591***	***4,368***	***3,713***	***223.8***	***190.1***	***171.6***	***1,318***	***1,173***	***955***	***111.4***	***84.7***	***66.0***

* Statewide totals include youth from out-of-state; ** ADP includes Ejection ADP; + If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2013 and FY 2015:
  - Intake Complaints decreased 14.9%
  - Pre-Dispositional Detention Placements decreased 33.6% and ADP decreased 23.3%
  - Post-Dispositional Pending Placements decreased 27.5% and ADP decreased 40.8%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

County/Regional Trends (cont.)

Post-Ejection Detention* (Placements and ADP), First-Time Probation Dispositions, and Average Supervised Caseload By County/Region FY 2013-2015+

County / Region	Post-Ejection Detention Placements			Post-Ejection Detention ADP			First-Time Probation Dispositions**			Average Supervised Caseload***		
	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15
R-I-Balt. City	**104**	**61**	**46**	**11.6**	**7.6**	**4.2**	**526**	**554**	**472**	**1,039**	**940**	**933**
Baltimore City	104	61	46	11.6	7.6	4.2	526	554	472	1,039	940	933
R-II-Central	**41**	**27**	**29**	**3.8**	**3.0**	**2.2**	**652**	**614**	**512**	**843**	**754**	**723**
Baltimore Co.	27	16	14	2.6	1.4	1.2	346	367	332	507	462	458
Carroll	6	4	3	0.6	0.4	0.2	71	59	41	77	55	50
Harford	4	4	5	0.4	0.8	0.2	120	75	71	137	115	85
Howard	4	3	7	0.2	0.4	0.6	115	113	68	122	121	131
R-III-Western	**14**	**20**	**11**	**1.0**	**1.4**	**1.5**	**194**	**192**	**207**	**325**	**291**	**264**
Allegany	3	5	0	0.5	0.2	0.1	30	38	39	62	57	60
Frederick	4	7	5	0.1	0.3	0.5	60	55	65	105	90	75
Garrett	3	0	0	0.2	0.0	0.0	25	10	12	43	44	40
Washington	4	8	6	0.2	0.9	0.9	79	89	91	115	100	90
R-IV-Eastern	**25**	**30**	**17**	**2.9**	**2.2**	**1.2**	**299**	**199**	**197**	**505**	**456**	**376**
Caroline	0	1	0	0.2	0.0	0.0	13	24	18	14	21	18
Cecil	0	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	70	44	43	89	81	74
Dorchester	1	0	2	0.0	0.0	0.2	19	13	22	29	22	26
Kent	0	1	0	0.0	0.2	0.0	11	5	4	16	22	9
Queen Anne's	2	2	0	0.1	0.1	0.0	14	7	9	27	13	10
Somerset	3	7	0	0.2	0.4	0.0	6	12	9	17	18	21
Talbot	1	0	0	0.2	0.0	0.0	17	12	8	21	16	9
Wicomico	18	18	13	2.3	1.4	0.9	97	49	40	219	198	145
Worcester	0	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.1	52	33	44	73	66	63
R-V-Southern	**43**	**30**	**31**	**4.5**	**1.5**	**3.2**	**397**	**390**	**336**	**725**	**683**	**636**
Anne Arundel	34	15	17	3.8	1.0	1.8	193	169	152	371	339	323
Calvert	0	5	2	0.0	0.1	0.3	42	38	38	96	79	68
Charles	5	5	4	0.4	0.4	0.4	83	106	87	150	159	151
St. Mary's	4	5	8	0.3	0.1	0.6	79	77	59	107	105	95
R-VI-Metro	**83**	**84**	**51**	**10.1**	**10.0**	**5.9**	**499**	**390**	**286**	**1,295**	**1,108**	**877**
Montgomery	29	27	15	2.8	2.6	1.4	170	108	106	368	309	237
Prince George's	54	57	36	7.2	7.4	4.5	329	282	180	926	799	640
Statewide	*312*	*256*	*186*	*34.0*	*26.1*	*18.3*	*2,567*	*2,339*	*2,010*	*4,710*	*4,206*	*3,795*

* Statewide totals include youth from out-of-state. ** Includes youth with no prior probation or commitment order who are placed on DJS in-home supervision under a new commitment order. ***Includes all probation, aftercare, and VPI cases not in committed placements. + If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2013 and FY 2015:
  - Ejection Placements decreased 40.4% and ADP decreased 46.2%
  - First-Time Probation Dispositions decreased 21.8% and Average Supervised Caseload decreased 19.4%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Committed Dispositions, Placements, and ADP* By County/Region FY 2013-2015+

County / Region	First-Time Committed Dispositions			Committed Placements			Committed ADP		
	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY13	FY14	FY15
R-I-Balt. City	**187**	**187**	**138**	**362**	**286**	**236**	**178.2**	**162.5**	**119.1**
Baltimore City	187	187	138	362	286	236	178.2	162.5	119.1
R-II-Central	**168**	**110**	**96**	**249**	**204**	**158**	**120.8**	**124.8**	**89.1**
Baltimore Co.	93	61	41	139	108	71	64.7	65.6	42.0
Carroll	13	12	21	36	27	35	21.4	17.7	15.2
Harford	33	13	16	42	42	27	21.7	25.2	17.1
Howard	29	24	18	32	27	25	12.9	16.3	14.9
R-III-Western	**68**	**54**	**56**	**143**	**117**	**116**	**84.9**	**73.3**	**67.0**
Allegany	11	12	9	27	25	19	16.0	18.9	12.1
Frederick	28	19	20	50	39	48	28.2	21.7	26.2
Garrett	5	5	1	13	8	7	7.5	4.9	3.7
Washington	24	18	26	53	45	42	33.2	27.9	25.0
R-IV-Eastern	**129**	**74**	**68**	**205**	**148**	**100**	**119.3**	**112.6**	**93.5**
Caroline	1	2	5	7	7	5	3.5	2.7	2.6
Cecil	12	16	10	16	23	12	14.0	14.1	11.9
Dorchester	19	2	7	27	5	11	15.4	12.7	8.4
Kent	0	3	2	5	6	2	2.9	3.7	3.2
Queen Anne's	5	2	3	10	6	2	5.1	4.7	1.3
Somerset	9	3	1	13	15	7	7.5	7.5	6.5
Talbot	8	2	4	12	3	5	7.9	7.8	6.5
Wicomico	63	31	30	98	69	49	53.1	51.7	47.0
Worcester	12	13	6	17	14	7	9.9	7.8	6.0
R-V-Southern	**172**	**151**	**106**	**313**	**280**	**217**	**162.1**	**159.6**	**120.1**
Anne Arundel	121	80	60	225	155	105	112.0	102.5	66.6
Calvert	11	11	9	15	24	14	10.9	15.5	8.5
Charles	26	35	27	36	54	56	23.0	24.7	24.8
St. Mary's	14	25	10	37	47	42	16.1	16.9	20.1
R-VI-Metro	**323**	**266**	**173**	**476**	**436**	**316**	**260.9**	**239.8**	**207.2**
Montgomery	86	46	32	159	119	80	75.1	56.7	49.0
Prince George's	237	220	141	317	317	236	185.8	183.1	158.2
Statewide	*1,047*	*842*	*637*	*1,779*	*1,495*	*1,156*	*946.9*	*898.1*	*711.1*

* "Disposition" counts all youth newly committed to the Department, not all of whom end up placed into committed out-of-home placements. Those that are placed are reflected in the "Placements" and "ADP" figures. Statewide totals include youth from out-of-state. + If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is 1 placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2013 and FY 2015:
  - First-Time Committed Dispositions decreased 39.2%
  - Committed Placements decreased 35.0%
  - ADP decreased 24.9%

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Long-Term Trends

Juvenile Complaints FY 2006-2015



• Juvenile complaints decreased 56.2% between FY 2006 and FY 2015 and 6.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.

Juvenile Complaints by Sex FY 2006-2015



• Juvenile complaints decreased for both sexes between FY 2006 and FY 2015 though the percent reduction was greater for males than females (58.0% for males and 50.2% for females).

Juvenile Complaints by Race FY 2006-2015



• Between FY 2006 and FY 2015, juvenile complaints decreased for all races: Blacks (52.1%), Whites (65.4%), and youth of other races (31.0%).

Data Sources: FY 2006-2012 data from revised ASSIST run in May 2015; FY 2013 to 2015 data were run in September and October 2015.

DJS Case Forwarding Decisions FY 2006-2015



• Authorized formal petitions have consistently comprised the highest number of case forwarding decisions. Between FY 2006 and FY 2015, authorized formal petitions decreased 49.3%, informals decreased 67.1%, and the number of cases being resolved or disapproved decreased 57.9%.

Court Dispositions of Petitioned Cases FY 2006-2015



• Probation dispositions have consistently been higher than committed dispositions. Between FY 2006 and FY 2015, probation dispositions and committed dispositions each decreased 49%.

ALOS for Probation Releases, Pre-D Placements and Committed Placements FY 2006-2015

ALOS	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Probation Releases	446.4	434.3	430.4	425.4	452.5	427.3	406.0	391.9	387.8	396.8
Pre-D Placements	16.0	16.9	15.2	14.2	14.6	16.0	16.0	14.1	15.8	16.3
Committed Placements	199.5	192.2	193.3	185.8	183.8	203.4	198.9	192.8	216.8	229.2

• Between FY 2006 and FY 2015, ALOS for probation releases decreased 11.1%, pre-D detention ALOS increased 1.9%, and committed ALOS increased 14.9%.

Data Sources: FY 2006-2012 data from revised ASSIST run in May 2015; FY 2013 to 2015 data were run in September and October 2015.

Long-Term Trends (cont.)

Detained Average Daily Population (ADP) FY 2006-2015



• Between FY 2006 and FY 2015, ADP for the total detained population decreased 38.7%. Pre-Disposition ADP has consistently been higher than ADP for Pending Placement and both groups saw a decrease between FY 2006 and FY 2015 (40.3% decrease for Pre-Disposition and 63.0% for Pending Placement). The population of youth detained pending adult criminal charges began in FY 2014 and ADP increased 29.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.

Committed Residential Average Daily Population (ADP) FY 2006-2015



• Between FY 2006 and FY 2015, ADP for the total committed population decreased 32.7%. ADP decreased 38.1% for Private In-State facilities and 24.2% for DJS-Operated facilities while ADP for Private Out-of-State facilities increased 4.2%.

DJS Supervised Cases FY 2006-2015



• Between FY 2006 and FY 2015, total caseload decreased 51.0%, informal cases decreased 58.6%, aftercare cases decreased 45.3%, and probation cases decreased 50.7%

Data Sources: FY 2006-2012 data from revised ASSIST run in May 2015; FY 2013 to 2015 data were run in September and October 2015.

Section III: Detention

The University of Maryland, Baltimore County (UMBC) women's basketball team visited the Thomas J.S. Waxter Center to play basketball with the youth and provide tips on how to improve their shots.

Introduction to Detention

The juvenile court may detain youth on a temporary basis in a physically restricting (secure) facility pending court disposition. Detention may be ordered if it is determined that the youth presents a threat to him/herself or to the community. (See Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision for an overview of the process of detention authorization by DJS intake officers). Maryland youth are detained in one of seven detention facilities operated by DJS across the State. DJS detention facilities may also house youth pending adult court charges during transfer of jurisdiction determination. (See "Youth Detained Pending Adult Criminal Charges" on page 104).

Detention Admissions

Each detention facility operates an admissions area (24 hours a day) where all youth admitted to detention are processed. An admissions officer interviews youth to collect and verify basic identifying information. The admissions officer also performs a search of the DJS information system, ASSIST, to obtain available information on a youth's delinquency and placement history. A photograph of each youth is taken and included in the case record for identification purposes. The rules of the detention facility, including the grievance procedure, are provided to each youth in the facility handbook. Youth are issued clothing to wear in the facility. Personal clothing is catalogued, packaged, and placed in secure storage.

The admissions process includes an initial health screening. It is performed by admissions nursing staff and consists of a review of physical health, mental health, and substance abuse needs. A full medical history and physical examination is conducted by a licensed physician or nurse practitioner within seven days of admission.

Detention Case Management

Youth who are detained by the juvenile court are assigned a DJS community case manager and a facility case manager. The community case manager is based in the local DJS office within the youth's home jurisdiction. To complete a social history investigation, the community case manager visits the youth in detention, interviews the youth and family, completes the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Risk Screen and Needs Assessment (where applicable, post-adjudication), and provides recommendations to the juvenile court at disposition. The facility case manager acts as a liaison with the court, family, community case manager, and treatment services while the youth is in detention.

Detention Services

Mental Health and Substance Abuse Screening and Assessment

DJS Behavioral Health Services provides mental health screening, assessment, and treatment services at all DJS detention facilities. Services may include suicide prevention, crisis intervention and stabilization, and medical evaluation and monitoring.

Upon admission, each youth is screened by a qualified mental health professional. The screening consists of an interview, the administration of tests as appropriate, and the review of any prior assessments or records (e.g., psychosocial or clinical assessments). If the initial mental health screen reveals symptoms of mental health issues, recommendations regarding the need for further services and/or the need for a more in-depth assessment are made. In-depth assessments are conducted by psychiatrists or licensed psychologists and provide an examination of emotional functioning, adaptive functioning, and cognitive functioning as well as recommendations for treatment.

Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center exterior

Certified addictions counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment needed by youth. This information assists case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Addictions counselors work closely

with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction.

Educational Services

The Maryland State Department of Education's (MSDE) Juvenile Services Education Program (JSE) provides educational programming to youth in DJS detention facilities consistent with the requirements of Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR), Title 13A. The program includes instruction in Core Content subjects, Career and Technology Education, library/media services, life skills, and special education services. MSDE assumed educational programming for the final remaining DJS residential detention facilities including Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center and Alfred D. Noyes Center in FY 2013.

Students are pre-tested upon entry to the JSE program to assess baseline academic skills. Teachers use the results to design learning plans for each student that form the basis of daily instruction. After each 30-day period of enrollment, the student is post-tested to determine academic gains. Students in a JSE program can earn credit toward the Maryland High School Diploma that they may receive upon return to their home high school. Alternately, some students are enrolled in classes designed to prepare them to take their GED examination.

Recreational activities

A structured recreation program is available to many youth in detention. The recreation program provides a variety of activities promoting physical and mental health. Youth participate in recreational activities for a minimum of one hour per day (two hours per day of recreational activity is encouraged).

Pending Placement (Post-Disposition)

Youth who have been committed to the custody of the Department for residential placement may be placed in detention on a temporary basis by the juvenile court. Youth detained post-disposition are considered to be pending placement. That is, they are waiting to be admitted or placed in one of many possible out-of-home treatment programs. Some programs are considered to be community-based (for example, foster care, treatment foster care, group homes, or therapeutic group homes). Other programs are not community-based (for example, in-patient substance abuse treatment, DJS-operated Youth Center, or Residential Treatment Center). Some youth may require placement into a secure facility. Secure placement options include the Victor Cullen Center (for males) and the J. DeWeese Carter Youth Center (for females) in Maryland or an out-of-state program contracted by DJS.

A review hearing is held in accordance with Md. Code and Judicial Proceedings, 3-8A-15(k) for any youth in detention 25 days after the court has made a disposition. At the hearing, DJS is required to explain the reasons for continued detention. The court will continue to conduct a hearing every 25 days thereafter until a youth has been placed.

For National Nutrition Month, cooks at the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School demonstrated how to make a nutritious spinach salad and spoke to the youth about the vitamins and nutrients the salad contained.

Youth who are pending placement receive the same core services as youth detained pre-dispositionally, including educational services, screening, evaluation, and treatment for substance abuse, mental health, and somatic health (including dietary and dental).

Youth receive bi-weekly visits from their community case manager. Each facility also allows family visitation during the week or on weekends (the visitation schedule varies by facility).

During the pending placement period, the community case manager maintains contact with the youth's family. The community case manager is also responsible for reporting to the juvenile court regarding the youth's placement status.

In addition to court review, pending placement cases are reviewed by the Department on a weekly basis at facility management meetings. Regional-level meetings are held on a monthly basis to review placement statistics and identify barriers to placement and ways to expedite the process.

Pending Placement (Post-Ejection from a Committed Program)

Youth ejected from a committed program, who require a new committed placement, and do not require a new court hearing due to a new offense may be placed in detention.

Some youth committed to the custody of the Department and placed in a residential program may not successfully complete the designated program. Some youth run away from their placement or are ejected due to misbehavior. For other youth, additional needs may become evident during the course of the program, thereby necessitating a different type of program.

In this event, youth may subsequently be placed in a detention facility. While in pending placement, the Department re-evaluates the youth's case and works to secure acceptance to the most appropriate, alternative program. While these youth have not committed a new offense and will therefore not require a new court hearing, they are entitled to a court review hearing every 25 days while detained on pending placement status.

Note that effective June 1, 2012, the Department was authorized to transfer youth directly from one facility or program to another facility or program (of equal or higher security level) without first asking the court to modify the commitment order by means of a court review hearing. DJS now manages this process through the Central Review Committee (CRC), which has reduced youth time in detention pending a new placement.

A Note to Readers:

It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:

Placements versus Admissions: A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

DJS Secure Detention Facilities by Region



Total Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity: 454 beds

DJS owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility houses a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement. It also does not include youth detained under adult court jurisdiction (see page 104-105).

Placements*, FY 2013-2015



*Excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and ejection.

ADP by Placement Type, FY 2015



Placement Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	78.9%	78.8%	79.3%
White	16.1%	16.1%	15.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.1%	5.0%	5.1%
Sex			
Male	85.9%	84.6%	83.2%
Female	14.1%	15.4%	16.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%
12	1.1%	0.8%	1.7%
13	3.9%	3.7%	4.6%
14	9.8%	10.2%	10.0%
15	18.5%	19.6%	18.8%
16	23.0%	23.8%	25.1%
17	27.5%	25.7%	25.6%
18-20	15.5%	15.5%	13.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*6,135*	*4,788*	*4,076*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

- *Source of Placements (FY 2015):*
  - 35.2%, 22.1%, and 13.8% of placements were from Baltimore City, Metro, and Central Region respectively.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 36 were from Washington D.C., 4 were from Virginia, 2 were each from Pennsylvania, Florida, and California, and 1 was from each of the following: North Carolina, Delaware and Arkansas.
- *Placement Trends:*
  - Total placements decreased 33.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 14.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 11.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 5.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 31.9% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 15.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Post-D releases decreased 27.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 20.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Ejection releases decreased 39.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 24.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, ALOS increased 15.6% for Pre-D, and decreased 23.7% for Post-D.
  - ALOS for ejections decreased 9.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 0.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence: 28.7% of Pre-D; 19.6% of Post-D; 14.5% of Ejection
  - Felony: 17.4% of Pre-D; 12.5% of Post-D; 7.5% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 50.6% of Pre-D; 66.7% of Post-D; 76.3% of Ejection
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 29.1% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 13.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Placements by Most Serious Offense, FY 2015*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.6%	1.3%	1.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.4%	3.1%	3.2%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	9.7%	7.2%	5.4%
Carjacking	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	4.7%	3.2%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	2.1%	1.1%
Disturbing the Peace	4.4%	4.0%	4.3%
First Degree Assault	4.1%	1.7%	1.1%
Handgun Violation	0.8%	1.3%	1.6%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.3%	5.9%	5.9%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	5.3%	2.7%	0.5%
Narcotics Possession	4.8%	5.7%	5.9%
Other/Missing[1]	7.3%	5.6%	2.7%
Resisting Arrest	1.3%	1.4%	1.6%
Robbery	13.6%	11.1%	9.1%
Second Degree Assault	17.2%	17.4%	22.6%
Sex Offense	2.1%	2.3%	0.5%
Theft Felony	2.1%	1.3%	1.1%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.8%	15.9%	20.4%
Trespassing	2.0%	0.4%	1.6%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.8%	3.9%	5.9%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence[2]	**28.7%**	**19.6%**	**14.5%**
Felony	**17.4%**	**12.5%**	**7.5%**
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	1.0%	0.5%
- Property	9.8%	5.3%	4.8%
- Drugs	5.3%	2.7%	0.5%
- Unspecified	0.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Misdemeanor	**50.6%**	**66.7%**	**76.3%**
- Person-to-Person	28.0%	30.4%	32.3%
- Property	17.5%	25.4%	30.6%
- Drugs	4.3%	5.5%	5.9%
- Unspecified	0.9%	5.5%	7.5%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.3%**	**0.4%**	**1.6%**
Citations	**1.3%**	**0.8%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**1.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Interstate Warrant	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	*3,713*	*769*	*186*

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

[1] Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

[2] See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Placements by Region of Residence*, FY 2015



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and 49 Out-of-State youth

Average Daily Population vs Capacity, FY 2013-2015*



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Placements, DRAI, Releases, and ALOS, FY 2013-2015*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2015	Pre-D	83.4%	16.6%	3,735	16.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	758	22.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	197	37.1
FY 2014	Pre-D	77.8%	22.2%	4,421	15.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	949	23.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	262	36.8
FY 2013	Pre-D	72.2%	27.8%	5,487	14.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,041	29.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	325	40.9

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Female Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity for Females: 64 beds

DJS operates three detention facilities to serve girls: Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center, Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center, and Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center. Some youth are detained awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and other youth are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a committed program. The ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth who are now waiting for a new committed placement.

Placements*, FY 2013-2015



*Excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and ejection.

ADP by Placement Type, FY 2015



Placement Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	70.7%	73.9%	76.0%
White	25.1%	21.5%	19.3%
Hispanic/Other	4.2%	4.6%	4.7%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%
12	1.7%	0.8%	2.8%
13	5.2%	7.1%	6.6%
14	11.5%	11.7%	12.4%
15	22.6%	20.8%	20.5%
16	23.5%	26.5%	21.1%
17	23.4%	20.4%	25.0%
18-20	11.5%	12.2%	11.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*863*	*735*	*683*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

- *Source of Placements (FY 2015):*
  - 27.4%, 21.3%, and 16.1% of placements were from Baltimore City, Metro, and Central Region respectively.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 5 were from Washington D.C., 2 were from California, and 1 was each from Virginia, Pennsylvania, and Arkansas.
- *Placement Trends:*
  - Total placements decreased 20.9% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 7.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 17.9% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 9.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 21.0% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 12.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Post-D releases decreased 9.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 24.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Ejection releases decreased 47.1% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 20.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, ALOS decreased 18.4% for Pre-D, and increased 2.4% for Post-D.
  - ALOS for ejections increased 14.0% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 1.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence: 14.6% of Pre-D; 11.6% of Post-D; 6.9% of Ejection
  - Felony: 9.2% of Pre-D; 7.1% of Post-D; 6.9% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 72.0% of Pre-D; 80.4% of Post-D; 86.2% of Ejection
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 27.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 14.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Placements by Most Serious Offense, FY 2015*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	1.8%	3.4%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	4.1%	2.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	5.4%	2.7%	3.4%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	2.7%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	3.6%	3.4%
Disturbing the Peace	7.7%	5.4%	10.3%
First Degree Assault	4.4%	3.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	3.4%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.6%	4.5%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.6%	1.8%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.8%	8.0%	10.3%
Other/Missing[1]	10.2%	8.9%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%	0.9%	3.4%
Robbery	4.9%	6.2%	6.9%
Second Degree Assault	27.9%	24.1%	31.0%
Sex Offense	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	17.0%	17.9%	20.7%
Trespassing	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.3%	5.4%	3.4%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence[2]	**14.6%**	**11.6%**	**6.9%**
Felony	**9.2%**	**7.1%**	**6.9%**
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.9%	3.4%
- Property	5.2%	3.6%	3.4%
- Drugs	1.6%	1.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**72.0%**	**80.4%**	**86.2%**
- Person-to-Person	42.5%	41.1%	48.3%
- Property	24.4%	24.1%	24.1%
- Drugs	3.6%	8.0%	10.3%
- Unspecified	1.5%	7.1%	3.4%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.3%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.5%**	**0.9%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**2.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Interstate Warrant	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	*610*	*112*	*29*

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

[1] Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

[2] See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Placements by Region of Residence*, FY 2015



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, Ejection, and 10 Out-of-State youth

Average Daily Population vs Capacity, FY 2013-2015*



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Placements, DRAI, Releases, and ALOS, FY 2013-2015*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2015	Pre-D	83.6%	16.4%	606	13.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	113	26.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	27	37.4
FY 2014	Pre-D	74.0%	26.0%	693	14.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	149	23.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	34	37.9
FY 2013	Pre-D	65.7%	34.3%	767	16.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	125	25.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	51	32.8

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Under Age 13 Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Youth under 13 who are detained by the court represent very few of the youth detained by DJS. Rather than placing these youth in a detention facility, DJS most frequently uses an alternative to detention (ATD) with placement at home or in a shelter. However, if a youth must be in a detention center they are housed with youth closest in age and size. If deemed highly vulnerable they may be placed in the infirmary, with one-on-one staff supervision or sent to a smaller facility with a lower population. Wherever placed, youth under 13 receive all the same services as other detained youth.

Placements*, FY 2013-2015



*Excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and ejection.

ADP by Placement Type, FY 2015



Placement Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.9%	86.3%	87.2%
White	23.1%	13.7%	10.6%
Hispanic/Other	0.9%	0.0%	2.1%
Sex			
Male	81.5%	87.7%	79.8%
Female	18.5%	12.3%	20.2%
Age			
11 and under	36.1%	46.6%	26.6%
12	63.9%	53.4%	73.4%
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A
17	N/A	N/A	N/A
18-20	N/A	N/A	N/A
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*108*	*73*	*94*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

- *Source of Placements (FY 2015):*
  - 50.0%, 24.5%, and 11.7% of placements were from Baltimore City, Western, and Eastern Shore Region respectively.
  - There were none from Out-of-State.
- *Placement Trends:*
  - Total placements decreased 13.0% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 28.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 9.8% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 2.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 7.9% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 31.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Post-D releases decreased 22.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 12.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - There were no Ejection releases.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, ALOS increased 1.6% for Pre-D, and decreased 31.0% for Post-D.
  - ALOS for ejections did not change between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and did not change between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence: 35.5% of Pre-D; 37.5% of Post-D
  - Felony: 7.5% of Pre-D; 12.5% of Post-D
  - Misdemeanor: 51.6% of Pre-D; 50.0% of Post-D
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 23.8% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 6.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - There is no capacity specifically for the Under 13 age group.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Placements by Most Serious Offense, FY 2015*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	4.3%	12.5%	N/A
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.8%	12.5%	N/A
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Disturbing the Peace	3.2%	0.0%	N/A
First Degree Assault	16.1%	12.5%	N/A
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Malicious Destruction	1.1%	12.5%	N/A
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Murder	1.1%	0.0%	N/A
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Narcotics Possession	6.5%	0.0%	N/A
Other/Missing[1]	3.2%	0.0%	N/A
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Robbery	6.5%	12.5%	N/A
Second Degree Assault	26.9%	12.5%	N/A
Sex Offense	5.4%	0.0%	N/A
Theft Felony	1.1%	0.0%	N/A
Theft Misdemeanor	12.9%	25.0%	N/A
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.1%	0.0%	N/A
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence[2]	**35.5%**	**37.5%**	**N/A**
Felony	**7.5%**	**12.5%**	**N/A**
- Person-to-Person	2.2%	0.0%	N/A
- Property	5.4%	12.5%	N/A
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Misdemeanor	**51.6%**	**50.0%**	**N/A**
- Person-to-Person	32.3%	12.5%	N/A
- Property	16.1%	37.5%	N/A
- Drugs	2.2%	0.0%	N/A
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	N/A
Ordinance Offenses	**1.1%**	**0.0%**	**N/A**
Citations	**4.3%**	**0.0%**	**N/A**
CINS	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**N/A**
Interstate Warrant	0.0%	0.0%	N/A
Total Offenses by Placement	*93*	*8*	*0*

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

[1] Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

[2] See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Placements by Region of Residence*, FY 2015



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Average Daily Population vs Capacity, FY 2013-2015*



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Placements, DRAI, Releases, and ALOS, FY 2013-2015*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2015	Pre-D	92.5%	7.5%	93	12.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	7	19.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
FY 2014	Pre-D	90.0%	10.0%	71	12.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	8	27.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	0.0
FY 2013	Pre-D	82.7%	17.3%	101	12.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	9	27.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	0	0.0

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Youth Detained Pending Adult Criminal Charges

Youth age 17 and under alleged to have committed a criminal act excluded from juvenile court jurisdiction may be held in pre-trial adult detention facilities. MD law provides an avenue for the adult court to order a youth to be held in a juvenile detention facility if he/she is eligible for transfer of jurisdiction (Md. Code, Criminal Procedure, §4-202). These youth may be held in juvenile detention pending a transfer hearing to determine if jurisdiction should remain in the adult court or be transferred to the juvenile court. Data in this section reflects youth held in DJS detention facilities for the adult court pending a transfer hearing, and information on youth whose complaints were transferred.

Starting in July 2013, DJS entered into agreements with localities to utilize the current law more consistently resulting in higher numbers of such youth held in DJS facilities. In October 2015, legislation went into effect (SB172/ HB618) that requires eligible youth requiring detention to be held in DJS facilities pending transfer determination, unless the court specifically rules otherwise.

Placements For FY 2014-2015 and ADP, ALOS by Region of Residence, FY 2015 (left side). Cases Transferred to the Juvenile Court with Court Dispositions by Region of Jurisdiction, FY 2015 (right side).

Region/County	Placements		FY 2015		FY 2015 Complaints			
	FY 2014	FY 2015	ADP	ALOS	Transferred to Juvenile Court	Committed	Probation	Dismissed/ Other
R-I- Balt. City	**135**	**116**	**35.7**	**107.9**	**80**	**28.8%**	**27.5%**	**43.8%**
Baltimore City	135	116	35.7	107.9	80	28.8%	27.5%	43.8%
R-II- Central	**9**	**18**	**3.8**	**102.8**	**39**	**28.2%**	**51.3%**	**20.5%**
Baltimore Co.	4	16	3.2	108.9	34	20.6%	55.9%	23.5%
Carroll	0	0	0.0	0.0	4	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Harford	0	1	0.3	114.4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Howard	5	1	0.3	69.2	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
R-III- Western	**2**	**7**	**0.8**	**41.8**	**4**	**100.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Allegany	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Frederick	1	3	0.3	50.4	3	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Garrett	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Washington	1	4	0.5	36.2	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
R-IV- Eastern	**3**	**3**	**0.5**	**58.7**	**11**	**54.5%**	**18.2%**	**27.3%**
Caroline	0	1	0.2	0.0	2	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Cecil	0	1	0.1	32.8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dorchester	0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Kent	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Queen Anne's	0	0	0.0	0.0	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Somerset	0	0	0.0	0.0	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Talbot	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wicomico	3	1	0.2	84.6	5	40.0%	0.0%	60.0%
Worcester	0	0	0.0	0.0	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
R-V- Southern	**6**	**7**	**2.9**	**130.8**	**17**	**35.3%**	**41.2%**	**23.5%**
Anne Arundel	3	3	0.4	50.0	14	35.7%	50.0%	14.3%
Calvert	0	1	0.2	82.7	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Charles	2	3	2.3	223.7	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
St. Mary's	1	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
R-VI- Metro	**21**	**36**	**3.9**	**44.9**	**59**	**42.4%**	**30.5%**	**27.1%**
Montgomery	6	21	2.0	38.6	26	11.5%	50.0%	38.5%
Prince George's	15	15	1.9	50.8	33	66.7%	15.2%	18.2%
Out-of-State	3	0	0.0	0.0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Statewide	***179***	***187***	***47.7***	***96.4***	***210***	***75***	***69***	***66***

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Offense Type, FY 2015

Most Serious Alleged Offense of Transferred Cases	FY 2015
Arson	0.5%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.5%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	2.9%
Carjacking	3.3%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	1.4%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%
Disturbing the Peace	0.5%
First Degree Assault	18.1%
Handgun Violation	16.7%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	1.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	2.9%
Narcotics Distribution	1.9%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing[1]	1.9%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	38.1%
Second Degree Assault	3.3%
Sex Offense	6.2%
Theft Felony	0.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Total Transferred Cases	*210*

[1] Includes Child Abuse-First Degree, Destructive Devices, Reckless Endangerment, and Unspecified Felony

Offense Category FY 2015

Crime of Violence*	**79.0%**
Felony	**5.7%**
- Person-to-Person	1.4%
- Property	1.0%
- Drugs	1.9%
- Unspecified	1.4%
Misdemeanor	**15.2%**
- Person-to-Person	14.3%
- Property	0.5%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%
Total Transferred Cases	*210*

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Note: The population data for youth detained by the adult court presented here are not included in the data presented in the rest of the Detention section, which only includes youth detained under jurisdiction of the juvenile court. The offense data shown here reflects the resulting juvenile court offense for those cases that were transferred down from adult court jurisdiction, and does not reflect the original adult charge.

Placement Demographics by Detention Facility, FY 2015

Demographics	Alfred D. Noyes Children Center	Balt. City Juvenile Justice Center	Charles H. Hickey Jr. School	Cheltenham Youth Facility	Lower East. Shore Children's Center	Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center	Western Maryland Children's Center	Statewide
Race								
Black	70.8%	95.5%	70.6%	78.9%	100.0%	75.0%	60.0%	86.1%
White	0.0%	2.7%	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	20.0%	5.9%
Hispanic/Other	29.2%	1.8%	5.9%	21.1%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	8.0%
Sex								
Male	87.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	92.0%
Female	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	8.0%
Age								
Under11	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	4.2%	1.8%	11.8%	5.3%	33.3%	8.3%	20.0%	4.3%
15	12.5%	2.7%	5.9%	10.5%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	5.9%
16	37.5%	39.6%	23.5%	36.8%	33.3%	33.3%	40.0%	38.0%
17	41.7%	51.4%	47.1%	31.6%	0.0%	41.7%	40.0%	46.5%
18-20	0.0%	4.5%	11.8%	15.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
Total Placements	*24*	*111*	*17*	*19*	*3*	*12*	*10*	*187*
ADP	2.2	33.1	3.3	4.0	0.8	3.1	1.2	47.7
ALOS	41.2	104.7	81.7	72.9	171.3	93.2	45.0	96.4

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center

Superintendent: Antonia Reason

Rated Capacity: 41 Males and 16 Females

Region VI: Metro

9925 Blackwell Road
Rockville, MD 20850
301-315-1610

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement.

Admissions*, FY 2013-2015



*Excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and ejection.

ADP by Admission Type, FY 2015



Admission Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	66.6%	73.9%	71.9%
White	13.0%	13.5%	12.8%
Hispanic/Other	20.4%	12.6%	15.3%
Sex			
Male	63.0%	56.3%	64.0%
Female	37.0%	43.7%	36.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.3%	0.2%	2.2%
13	3.7%	5.1%	6.4%
14	8.4%	12.6%	11.1%
15	17.2%	18.0%	16.3%
16	21.6%	24.4%	26.8%
17	32.1%	21.4%	25.9%
18-20	15.7%	18.2%	11.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*617*	*467*	*406*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

- *Source of Admissions (FY 2015):*
  - 43.6%, 18.5%, and 9.6% of admissions were from Montgomery County, Washington County, and Prince George`s County respectively.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 17 were from Washington D.C., 3 were from Virginia, and 1 was each from Pennsylvania, North Carolina, California, and Arkansas.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Total placements decreased 34.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 13.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 17.8% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 5.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 30% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 14.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Post-D releases decreased 46.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 28.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Ejection releases decreased 28.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 10.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, ALOS decreased 0.6% for Pre-D, and decreased 18.1% for Post-D.
  - ALOS for ejections decreased 2.1% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 1.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence: 28.3% of Pre-D; 25.3% of Post-D; 18.8% of Ejection
  - Felony: 10.6% of Pre-D; 6.7% of Post-D; 9.4% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 58.0% of Pre-D; 66.7% of Post-D; 71.9% of Ejection
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 40.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 8.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Admissions by Most Serious Offense, FY 2015*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	2.8%	1.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	8.4%	8.0%	6.2%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	4.0%	6.2%
Deadly Weapon	2.5%	1.3%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	8.7%	5.3%	3.1%
First Degree Assault	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.1%	0.0%	3.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.2%	6.7%	3.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.4%	2.7%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.6%	2.7%	12.5%
Other/Missing[1]	7.6%	48.0%	43.8%
Resisting Arrest	2.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Robbery	15.7%	13.3%	18.8%
Second Degree Assault	17.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	3.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.4%	2.7%	3.1%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence[2]	**28.3%**	**25.3%**	**18.8%**
Felony	**10.6%**	**6.7%**	**9.4%**
- Person-to-Person	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	5.9%	2.7%	3.1%
- Drugs	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	4.0%	6.2%
Misdemeanor	**58.0%**	**66.7%**	**71.9%**
- Person-to-Person	36.7%	34.7%	34.4%
- Property	16.5%	25.3%	21.9%
- Drugs	3.4%	2.7%	12.5%
- Unspecified	1.4%	4.0%	3.1%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.8%**	**1.3%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**1.4%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Interstate Warrant	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Admission	*357*	*75*	*32*

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

[1] Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

[2] See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Admissions by County of Residence*, FY 2015



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Average Daily Population vs Capacity, FY 2013-2015*



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Admissions, DRAI, Releases, and ALOS, FY 2013-2015*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2015	Pre-D	71.4%	28.6%	360	17.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	79	19.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	33	32.5
FY 2014	Pre-D	65.5%	34.5%	420	18.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	111	22.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	37	32.1
FY 2013	Pre-D	53.6%	46.4%	514	18.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	148	24.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	46	33.2

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center

Superintendent: Jeremy Smith

Rated Capacity: 120 Males

Region I: Baltimore City

300 North Gay Street
Baltimore, MD 21202
443-263-8976

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement.

Admissions*, FY 2013-2015



*Excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and ejection.

ADP by Admission Type, FY 2015



Admission Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	97.1%	96.1%	94.9%
White	2.2%	3.2%	4.6%
Hispanic/Other	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	1.1%	1.6%	1.5%
12	1.3%	1.4%	1.7%
13	4.1%	2.5%	4.7%
14	8.9%	10.1%	10.5%
15	17.2%	16.6%	20.6%
16	22.7%	25.2%	24.4%
17	29.4%	28.4%	26.5%
18-20	15.3%	14.1%	10.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*2,075*	*1,538*	*1,285*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

- *Source of Admissions (FY 2015):*
  - 94.5%, 1.2%, and 0.9% of admissions were from Baltimore City, Baltimore County, and Wicomico County respectively.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was from Virginia.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Total admissions decreased 38.1% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 16.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 5.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 1.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 35.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 16.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Post-D releases decreased 24.0% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 15.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Ejection releases decreased 51.0% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 23.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, ALOS increased 33.3% for Pre-D, and decreased 44.7% for Post-D.
  - ALOS for ejections decreased 46.0% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 32.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence: 33.5% of Pre-D; 22.4% of Post-D; 12.2% of Ejection
  - Felony: 27.6% of Pre-D; 23.7% of Post-D; 14.3% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 37.6% of Pre-D; 51.9% of Post-D; 69.4% of Ejection
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 34.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 17.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Admissions by Most Serious Offense, FY 2015*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	10.7%	8.3%	12.2%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.2%	3.2%	6.1%
Carjacking	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	5.1%	2.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	3.5%	2.6%	2.0%
First Degree Assault	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	1.3%	7.1%	8.2%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.5%	1.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	11.0%	9.6%	2.0%
Narcotics Possession	5.1%	8.3%	6.1%
Other/Missing[1]	4.5%	34.0%	46.9%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.0%	2.0%
Robbery	17.6%	13.5%	4.1%
Second Degree Assault	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.1%	2.6%	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	1.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.1%	2.6%	8.2%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence[2]	**33.5%**	**22.4%**	**12.2%**
Felony	**27.6%**	**23.7%**	**14.3%**
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	14.7%	9.6%	12.2%
- Drugs	11.0%	9.6%	2.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	4.5%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**37.6%**	**51.9%**	**69.4%**
- Person-to-Person	19.3%	16.7%	26.5%
- Property	13.7%	23.1%	26.5%
- Drugs	4.5%	8.3%	6.1%
- Unspecified	0.1%	3.8%	10.2%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.2%**	**0.6%**	**4.1%**
Citations	**0.9%**	**1.3%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Interstate Warrant	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Admission	*1,210*	*156*	*49*

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

[1] Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

[2] See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Admissions by County of Residence*, FY 2015



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Average Daily Population vs Capacity, FY 2013-2015*



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Admissions, DRAI, Releases, and ALOS, FY 2013-2015*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2015	Pre-D	90.1%	9.9%	1,207	12.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	146	20.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	51	25.8
FY 2014	Pre-D	92.0%	8.0%	1,437	10.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	172	29.7
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	67	38.2
FY 2013	Pre-D	84.4%	15.6%	1,868	9.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	192	37.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	104	47.7

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School

Superintendent: Antoinette McLeod
Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Region II: Central

9700 Old Harford Road
Parkville, MD 21234
410-663-7601

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement.

Admissions*, FY 2013-2015



*Excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and ejection.

ADP by Admission Type, FY 2015



Admission Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.0%	66.9%	66.2%
White	29.7%	29.8%	29.7%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	3.3%	4.2%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.1%	0.4%	0.3%
12	0.7%	0.6%	0.4%
13	4.8%	4.1%	4.0%
14	13.3%	9.4%	8.2%
15	18.8%	19.6%	18.2%
16	23.9%	24.7%	28.3%
17	27.2%	27.2%	28.5%
18-20	11.1%	14.1%	12.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*812*	*725*	*671*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

- *Source of Admissions (FY 2015):*
  - 41.4%, 12.2%, and 12.2% of admissions were from Baltimore County, Harford County, and Baltimore City respectively.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was each from Pennsylvania, and Washington D.C.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Total admissions decreased 17.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 7.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 12.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 16.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 16.0% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 13.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Post-D releases decreased 23.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 24.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Ejection releases decreased 6.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 33.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, ALOS increased 3.7% for Pre-D, and decreased 8.3% for Post-D.
  - ALOS for ejections decreased 14.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 4.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence: 32.3% of Pre-D; 18.0% of Post-D; 20.0% of Ejection
  - Felony: 17.1% of Pre-D; 8.6% of Post-D; 2.9% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 48.1% of Pre-D; 71.9% of Post-D; 71.4% of Ejection
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 15.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 8.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Admissions by Most Serious Offense, FY 2015*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	1.4%	2.9%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.6%	0.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	12.0%	10.8%	8.6%
Carjacking	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	4.3%	5.7%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.1%	3.6%	5.7%
First Degree Assault	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	1.4%	2.9%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.6%	7.9%	5.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%	3.6%	0.0%
Murder	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.4%	5.0%	8.6%
Other/Missing[1]	5.6%	42.4%	42.9%
Resisting Arrest	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	13.9%	9.4%	11.4%
Second Degree Assault	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	1.9%	4.3%	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.2%	4.3%	5.7%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence[2]	**32.3%**	**18.0%**	**20.0%**
Felony	**17.1%**	**8.6%**	**2.9%**
- Person-to-Person	3.2%	2.2%	0.0%
- Property	11.0%	2.9%	2.9%
- Drugs	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	3.6%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**48.1%**	**71.9%**	**71.4%**
- Person-to-Person	27.6%	30.9%	28.6%
- Property	16.6%	30.9%	22.9%
- Drugs	3.7%	5.0%	8.6%
- Unspecified	0.2%	5.0%	11.4%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.3%**	**0.7%**	**5.7%**
Citations	**1.4%**	**0.7%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.7%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Interstate Warrant	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Admission	*591*	*139*	*35*

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

[1] Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

[2] See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Admissions by County of Residence*, FY 2015



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Average Daily Population vs Capacity, FY 2013-2015*



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Admissions, DRAI, Releases, and ALOS, FY 2013-2015*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2015	Pre-D	84.6%	15.4%	573	16.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	131	22.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	44	27.7
FY 2014	Pre-D	68.0%	32.0%	665	17.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	174	19.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	33	29.1
FY 2013	Pre-D	72.4%	27.6%	682	16.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	171	24.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	47	32.3

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Cheltenham Youth Facility

Superintendent: Anthony Wynn
Rated Capacity: 115 Males

Region VI: Metro

11001 Frank Tippett Road
Cheltenham, MD 20623
301-396-4342

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement.

Admissions*, FY 2013-2015



*Excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and ejection.

ADP by Admission Type, FY 2015



Admission Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	79.2%	78.7%	78.3%
White	14.0%	12.5%	13.5%
Hispanic/Other	6.8%	8.7%	8.2%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
12	0.3%	0.2%	0.8%
13	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%
14	7.4%	8.3%	6.4%
15	18.6%	20.6%	15.4%
16	22.6%	21.7%	28.3%
17	27.1%	26.7%	25.8%
18-20	21.6%	20.0%	21.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*1,604*	*1,223*	*992*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

- *Source of Admissions (FY 2015):*
  - 59.4%, 16.4%, and 8.9% of admissions were from Prince George`s County, Anne Arundel County, and Charles County respectively.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 14 were from Washington D.C., and 2 were from Florida.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Total admissions decreased 38.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 18.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 11.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 1.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 38.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 19.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Post-D releases decreased 25.5% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 17.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Ejection releases increased 9.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 4.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, ALOS increased 25.2% for Pre-D, and decreased 25.4% for Post-D.
  - ALOS for ejections decreased 25.8% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 13.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence: 30.7% of Pre-D; 24.7% of Post-D; 20.0% of Ejection
  - Felony: 13.0% of Pre-D; 13.3% of Post-D; 5.0% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 51.4% of Pre-D; 60.8% of Post-D; 73.3% of Ejection
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 30.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 19.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Admissions by Most Serious Offense, FY 2015*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.8%	2.8%	3.3%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	4.2%	2.4%	1.7%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	8.9%	7.8%	1.7%
Carjacking	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.1%	5.5%	3.3%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	2.4%	1.7%
Disturbing the Peace	3.2%	2.7%	3.3%
First Degree Assault	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.5%	2.7%	1.7%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.3%	4.3%	8.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%	1.2%	1.7%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	5.8%	3.1%	1.7%
Other/Missing[1]	5.6%	40.4%	43.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.6%	2.0%	0.0%
Robbery	14.8%	15.7%	16.7%
Second Degree Assault	15.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.6%	2.4%	0.0%
Theft Felony	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	3.2%	0.8%	3.3%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.9%	2.4%	8.3%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence[2]	**30.7%**	**24.7%**	**20.0%**
Felony	**13.0%**	**13.3%**	**5.0%**
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	1.2%	0.0%
- Property	8.4%	6.3%	1.7%
- Drugs	3.0%	1.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	4.3%	3.3%
Misdemeanor	**51.4%**	**60.8%**	**73.3%**
- Person-to-Person	25.7%	27.8%	23.3%
- Property	18.6%	25.9%	40.0%
- Drugs	5.1%	3.1%	1.7%
- Unspecified	2.0%	3.9%	8.3%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.4%**	**0.4%**	**1.7%**
Citations	**1.4%**	**0.8%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**1.9%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Interstate Warrant	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Admission	*856*	*255*	*60*

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

[1] Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

[2] See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Admissions by County of Residence*, FY 2015



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Average Daily Population vs Capacity, FY 2013-2015*



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Admissions, DRAI, Releases, and ALOS, FY 2013-2015*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2015	Pre-D	76.9%	23.1%	877	18.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	251	22.0
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	68	33.4
FY 2014	Pre-D	75.6%	24.4%	1,085	17.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	303	23.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	71	38.5
FY 2013	Pre-D	65.7%	34.3%	1,423	14.7
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	337	29.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	62	45.0

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center

Superintendent: Derrick Witherspoon
Rated Capacity: 18 Males and 6 Females

Region IV: Eastern Shore

405 Naylor Mill Road
Salisbury, MD 21801
443-523-1526

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement.

Admissions*, FY 2013-2015



*Excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and ejection.

ADP by Admission Type, FY 2015



Admission Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	63.5%	62.5%	73.6%
White	33.0%	30.7%	22.6%
Hispanic/Other	3.5%	6.8%	3.7%
Sex			
Male	78.6%	77.6%	82.2%
Female	21.4%	22.4%	17.8%
Age			
11 and under	1.9%	1.1%	0.9%
12	2.1%	1.1%	2.9%
13	6.7%	5.7%	6.6%
14	13.7%	13.6%	10.3%
15	19.1%	24.7%	18.6%
16	22.3%	19.3%	24.1%
17	23.5%	20.2%	21.5%
18-20	10.7%	14.2%	15.2%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*430*	*352*	*349*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

- *Source of Admissions (FY 2015):*
  - 55.0%, 10.3%, and 8.0% of admissions were from Wicomico County, Worcester County, and Dorchester County respectively.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was each from Delaware, and Washington D.C.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Total admissions decreased 18.8% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 0.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 15.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 19.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 13.5% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 6.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Post-D releases decreased 33.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 8.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Ejection releases decreased 27.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 52.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, ALOS increased 6.7% for Pre-D, and decreased 16.9% for Post-D.
  - ALOS for ejections decreased 13.5% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 17.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence: 26.1% of Pre-D; 8.8% of Post-D; 5.3% of Ejection
  - Felony: 11.7% of Pre-D; 13.2% of Post-D; 10.5% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 57.0% of Pre-D; 77.9% of Post-D; 84.2% of Ejection
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 19.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 1.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Admissions by Most Serious Offense, FY 2015*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	2.3%	1.5%	5.3%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	14.0%	11.8%	5.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	4.4%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	7.2%	7.4%	5.3%
First Degree Assault	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	5.3%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.9%	7.4%	10.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.9%	1.5%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.2%	5.9%	5.3%
Other/Missing[1]	11.4%	42.6%	52.6%
Resisting Arrest	2.6%	1.5%	0.0%
Robbery	6.5%	1.5%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	16.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.6%	1.5%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.7%	0.0%	5.3%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.7%	11.8%	5.3%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence[2]	**26.1%**	**8.8%**	**5.3%**
Felony	**11.7%**	**13.2%**	**10.5%**
- Person-to-Person	3.6%	2.9%	5.3%
- Property	5.2%	5.9%	5.3%
- Drugs	2.3%	1.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	2.9%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**57.0%**	**77.9%**	**84.2%**
- Person-to-Person	36.2%	32.4%	31.6%
- Property	16.3%	0.0%	42.1%
- Drugs	3.9%	26.5%	5.3%
- Unspecified	0.7%	5.9%	5.3%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.3%**	**13.2%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**2.3%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**2.6%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Interstate Warrant	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Admission	*307*	*68*	*19*

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

[1] Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

[2] See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Admissions by County of Residence*, FY 2015

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Average Daily Population vs Capacity, FY 2013-2015*



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Admissions, DRAI, Releases, and ALOS, FY 2013-2015*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2015	Pre-D	83.1%	16.9%	314	15.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	66	14.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	16	23.2
FY 2014	Pre-D	63.2%	36.8%	295	15.0
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	72	17.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	34	19.8
FY 2013	Pre-D	67.4%	32.6%	363	14.9
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	99	17.8
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	26.8

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center

Superintendent: Lisa Steeple
Rated Capacity: 42 Females

Region V: Southern

375 Red Clay Road, S.W.
Laurel, MD 20724
301-362-6160

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement.

Admissions*, FY 2013-2015



*Excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and ejection.

ADP by Admission Type, FY 2015



Admission Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	73.0%	78.7%	78.7%
White	24.5%	18.6%	17.5%
Hispanic/Other	2.5%	2.7%	3.7%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%
12	1.5%	0.6%	2.4%
13	4.8%	7.0%	5.3%
14	12.5%	11.7%	12.4%
15	23.3%	21.5%	22.2%
16	24.8%	27.0%	21.5%
17	22.2%	21.7%	24.6%
18-20	10.3%	10.2%	11.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*600*	*512*	*508*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

- *Source of Admissions (FY 2015):*
  - 34.6%, 19.7%, and 14.4% of admissions were from Baltimore City, Prince George`s County, and Baltimore County respectively.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 3 were from Washington D.C., and 1 was from California.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Total admissions decreased 15.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 0.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 17.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 7.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 17.5% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 6.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Post-D releases decreased 7.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 27.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Ejection releases decreased 29.0% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 10.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, ALOS decreased 20.8% for Pre-D, and decreased 16.6% for Post-D.
  - ALOS for ejections increased 13.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 4.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence: 15.7% of Pre-D; 11.4% of Post-D; 9.1% of Ejection
  - Felony: 9.9% of Pre-D; 7.6% of Post-D; 9.1% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 70.4% of Pre-D; 81.0% of Post-D; 81.8% of Ejection
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 23.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 7.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Admissions by Most Serious Offense, FY 2015*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	2.5%	4.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	5.3%	3.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	5.5%	2.5%	4.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	2.5%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	3.8%	4.5%
Disturbing the Peace	7.7%	5.1%	9.1%
First Degree Assault	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	0.0%	4.5%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.8%	3.8%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	1.3%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.9%	8.9%	4.5%
Other/Missing[1]	8.2%	50.6%	50.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	0.0%	4.5%
Robbery	6.0%	7.6%	9.1%
Second Degree Assault	26.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	17.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.9%	6.3%	4.5%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence[2]	**15.7%**	**11.4%**	**9.1%**
Felony	**9.9%**	**7.6%**	**9.1%**
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.0%	4.5%
- Property	6.2%	5.1%	4.5%
- Drugs	2.0%	1.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**70.4%**	**81.0%**	**81.8%**
- Person-to-Person	40.8%	38.0%	50.0%
- Property	25.8%	26.6%	22.7%
- Drugs	2.6%	8.9%	4.5%
- Unspecified	1.1%	7.6%	4.5%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.4%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.4%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**1.5%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Interstate Warrant	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Admission	*453*	*79*	*22*

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

[1] Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

[2] See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Admissions by County of Residence*, FY 2015



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Average Daily Population vs Capacity, FY 2013-2015*



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Admissions, DRAI, Releases, and ALOS, FY 2013-2015*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2015	Pre-D	85.4%	14.6%	447	12.2
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	75	23.6
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	33.4
FY 2014	Pre-D	77.8%	22.2%	478	12.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	103	21.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	20	35.1
FY 2013	Pre-D	67.8%	32.2%	542	15.4
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	81	28.3
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	31	29.4

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Western Maryland Children's Center

Superintendent: Mark Bishop

Rated Capacity: 24 Males

Region III:Western

18420 Roxbury Road
Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-745-6071

Note: Ejection population represents youth returned to detention following ejection from a committed residential program. It does not include youth with new offenses that require new court processing, and only includes youth now waiting for a new committed placement.

Admissions*, FY 2013-2015



*Excludes transfers between programs. Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and ejection.

ADP by Admission Type, FY 2015



Admission Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	48.1%	53.8%	63.7%
White	47.0%	42.0%	30.8%
Hispanic/Other	4.8%	4.1%	5.5%
Sex			
Male	99.4%	98.4%	96.5%
Female	0.6%	1.6%	3.5%
Age			
11 and under	3.7%	3.5%	1.4%
12	4.6%	3.2%	6.9%
13	3.7%	4.1%	10.4%
14	12.5%	11.5%	13.8%
15	17.1%	20.7%	21.1%
16	27.4%	21.7%	18.0%
17	22.8%	23.2%	18.3%
18-20	8.3%	12.1%	10.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*351*	*314*	*289*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

- *Source of Admissions (FY 2015):*
  - 53.6%, 18.3%, and 8.7% of admissions were from Washington County, Frederick County, and Allegany County respectively.
  - There were none from Out-of-State.
- *Admission Trends:*
  - Total admissions decreased 17.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 8.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI):*
  - The percentage of Pre-D placements with a DRAI increased 7.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 12.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Release Trends:*
  - Pre-D releases decreased 13.9% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 2.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Post-D releases decreased 11.1% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 5.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Ejection releases did not change between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 45.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:*
  - For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, ALOS decreased 11.7% for Pre-D, and decreased 19.2% for Post-D.
  - ALOS for ejections decreased 38.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 14.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Offense Category (Most Serious Offense):*
  - Crimes of Violence: 21.0% of Pre-D; 10.7% of Post-D; 26.3% of Ejection
  - Felony: 12.1% of Pre-D; 7.1% of Post-D; 5.3% of Ejection
  - Misdemeanor: 62.3% of Pre-D; 82.1% of Post-D; 68.4% of Ejection
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
  - Overall, ADP decreased 29.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 15.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Admissions by Most Serious Offense, FY 2015*

Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Ejection
Offense Type			
Arson	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.9%	3.6%	10.5%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	3.6%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	11.3%	8.9%	10.5%
First Degree Assault	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%	5.4%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.1%	5.4%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.5%	5.4%	5.3%
Other/Missing[1]	5.4%	51.8%	68.4%
Resisting Arrest	3.5%	3.6%	0.0%
Robbery	5.1%	1.8%	5.3%
Second Degree Assault	22.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	2.3%	3.6%	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.8%	1.8%	0.0%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence[2]	**21.0%**	**10.7%**	**26.3%**
Felony	**12.1%**	**7.1%**	**5.3%**
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	1.8%	0.0%
- Property	8.6%	3.6%	5.3%
- Drugs	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**62.3%**	**82.1%**	**68.4%**
- Person-to-Person	41.6%	53.6%	47.4%
- Property	16.7%	17.9%	15.8%
- Drugs	3.1%	5.4%	5.3%
- Unspecified	0.8%	5.4%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**1.2%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**3.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.4%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Interstate Warrant	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Admission	*257*	*56*	*19*

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D it is alleged, and for both Post-D and Ejection it is adjudicated sustained.

[1] Includes bomb threat, child abuse, false report, reckless endangerment, tampering, and status offenses

[2] See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

Admissions by County of Residence*, FY 2015



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Average Daily Population vs Capacity, FY 2013-2015*



* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Admissions, DRAI, Releases, and ALOS, FY 2013-2015*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2015	Pre-D	82.5%	17.5%	267	17.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	56	14.5
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	15.3
FY 2014	Pre-D	70.0%	30.0%	273	18.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	59	10.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	40	17.9
FY 2013	Pre-D	74.9%	25.1%	310	19.6
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	63	17.9
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	22	24.7

* Youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Alternatives to Detention (ATD)

DJS has a variety of programs designed to safely supervise youth in the community as an alternative to detention pending adjudication. ATDs include Community Detention (CD) - with or without electronic/GPS monitoring (EM), and Day & Evening Reporting Centers (ERC). DJS also collaborates with private providers to utilize additional alternative programs, such as the Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Transition Center (PACT) and the Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP) for Baltimore City youth, and Hearts and Homes for Youth, Inc. which operates an ERC in Montgomery County. Shelter beds may also serve as an ATD in some circumstances when release-eligible youth are unable to return home. ATD programs are used either by DJS intake staff for medium risk youth presented for detention (placements must be reviewed by the juvenile court the next court day) or by the juvenile court directly. These alternatives allow youth to continue with school or work and maintain community ties, support systems, or alternative care. In addition, youth on ATD supervision are expected to appear for scheduled hearings and not have any new arrests.

Admissions, ADP, and ALOS by Program Type and Region, FY 2015

Region of Residence	Adm.	ADP	ALOS*
R-I - Baltimore City			
CD	440	23.7	19.5
CD/EM	948	77.6	29.1
Day-Evening Rpt. Ctrs.	228	17.9	27.5
DRAP	82	15.3	57.6
PACT	72	21.1	65.4
Shelter Care	432	16.5	15.0
R-II - Central			
CD	75	5.2	24.7
CD/EM	489	44.2	33.1
Shelter Care	51	2.0	20.7
R-III - Western			
CD	11	0.3	10.9
CD/EM	253	21.2	30.1
Shelter Care	53	3.5	21.1
R-IV - Eastern			
CD	29	2.0	22.8
CD/EM	238	23.2	38.6
Shelter Care (Seasonal)	27	1.2	16.6
R-V - Southern			
CD	46	4.2	33.1
CD/EM	464	43.7	31.4
Shelter Care	30	1.0	11.8
R-VI - Metro			
CD	53	5.3	37.3
CD/EM	563	70.0	44.7
Day-Evening Rpt. Ctrs.	91	11.7	45.6
Shelter Care	50	3.0	22.3
Total CD	***654***	***40.6***	***22.4***
Total CD/EM	***2,984***	***284.3***	***34.5***
Total Day-Evening Rpt. Ctr.	***319***	***29.6***	***32.5***
Total DRAP	***82***	***15.3***	***57.6***
Total PACT	***72***	***21.1***	***65.4***
Total Shelter Care	***710***	***27.3***	***14.9***

* ALOS is measured in days; totals include out-of-state youth (see Appendix M for breakdown)

- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - Over half (53.2%) of ATD program youth came from Baltimore City and Metro Regions combined.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) FY 2015:*
  - ALOS was longest for PACT admissions (65.4 days) and shortest for Shelter Care admissions (14.9 days).
- *Average Daily Population (ADP) FY 2015:*
  - ADP was highest for CD/EM admissions (284.3) and lowest for DRAP admissions (15.3).
- *ATD Program Youth:*
  - The total number of ATD admissions decreased 24.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and 14.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
  - Since FY 2013, the typical ATD program youth has consistently been black, 17 years old, and male.
- *Most Common Alleged Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - CD/EM: Second Degree Assault (15.5%)
  - Day/Evening Reporting Centers: Robbery (21.9%)
  - DRAP: Robbery (22.0%)
  - PACT: Robbery (19.4%)
  - Shelter Care: Theft (13.8%)
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - Crimes of Violence - 28.9% of CD/EM; 39.8% of Day/Evening Reporting Centers; 43.9% of DRAP; 37.5% of PACT; 20.4% of Shelter Care
  - Felony - 17.3% of CD/EM; 22.9% of Day/Evening Reporting Centers; 29.3% of DRAP; 31.9% of PACT; 15.5% of Shelter Care
  - Misdemeanor - 51.2% of CD/EM; 35.4% of Day/Evening Reporting Centers; 26.8% of DRAP; 30.6% of PACT; 56.8% of Shelter Care
- *See Appendix M for specific program names and locations as well as Admissions, ADP, and ALOS data by Program Type and County for FY 2015.*

Note: Data for all tables and bullets presented on the ATD pages comes from ASSIST records. For that reason, it may not be possible to compare data from this Data Resource Guide section to other published data on these programs.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Admissions by Offense Type, FY 2015*

Most Serious Alleged Offense	CD & CD/EM**	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
Arson	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	5.7%	7.2%	12.2%	18.1%	5.5%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	9.6%	10.3%	7.3%	15.3%	9.7%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Cons. to Commit Offen	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%	0.0%	2.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.7%	1.9%	2.4%	0.0%	4.9%
First Degree Assault	4.9%	6.6%	13.4%	6.9%	2.4%
Handgun Violation	0.8%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.6%	0.9%	2.4%	1.4%	4.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Narcotics Distribution	5.8%	9.7%	12.2%	13.9%	6.6%
Narcotics Possession	5.8%	3.4%	1.2%	5.6%	8.5%
Other[1]	4.8%	4.1%	2.4%	0.0%	10.8%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	0.9%	1.2%	1.4%	0.8%
Robbery	14.5%	21.9%	22.0%	19.4%	10.1%
Second Degree Assault	15.5%	10.7%	8.5%	13.9%	11.4%
Sex Offense	1.7%	1.6%	1.2%	0.0%	1.7%
Theft	14.1%	12.2%	6.1%	2.8%	13.8%
Trespassing	2.3%	2.5%	3.7%	1.4%	2.3%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Unspecified Misdem.	1.1%	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.6%
Total Admissions	*3,402*	*319*	*82*	*72*	*710*

* A single admission may involve multiple offenses; [1] "Other" includes BB/pellet gun, bomb threat, cruelty to animals, escape, false alarm, forgery, fraud, gambling, harassment, loitering, reckless endangerment, status offenses, tampering, verbal threat, and violation of local ordinance

** Admissions counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including both straight CD, and CD with EM.

Youth Count by Region of Residence*, FY 2015



* Includes CD/EM, Day/Evening Reporting Centers, DRAP, PACT, and Shelter Care

Admissions by Offense Category, FY 2015*

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Alleged Offense)	CD & CD/EM**	D/ERC	DRAP	PACT	Shelter Care
Crime of Violence+	**28.9%**	**39.8%**	**43.9%**	**37.5%**	**20.4%**
Felony	**17.3%**	**22.9%**	**29.3%**	**31.9%**	**15.5%**
- Person-to-Person	1.7%	1.9%	2.4%	0.0%	1.1%
- Property	9.4%	10.7%	14.6%	18.1%	7.6%
- Drugs	5.8%	9.7%	12.2%	13.9%	6.6%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Misdemeanor	**51.2%**	**35.4%**	**26.8%**	**30.6%**	**56.8%**
- Person-to-Person	26.7%	17.9%	14.6%	15.3%	23.8%
- Property	18.3%	15.0%	9.8%	9.7%	24.2%
- Drugs	5.0%	2.2%	1.2%	5.6%	8.2%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.6%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.4%**	**0.6%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**5.4%**
Citations	**1.5%**	**1.3%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.8%**
CINS	**0.7%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**1.1%**
Interstate/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*3,402*	*319*	*82*	*72*	*710*

* A single admission may involve multiple offenses

+ See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence.

** Admission counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including both straight CD, and CD with EM.

Youth Count by Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	74.0%	74.3%	75.4%
White	21.0%	20.9%	19.5%
Hispanic/Other	5.0%	4.8%	5.1%
Sex			
Male	83.6%	82.1%	82.3%
Female	16.4%	17.9%	17.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.6%	0.9%	0.6%
12	1.5%	1.4%	1.4%
13	4.5%	4.7%	5.0%
14	10.9%	11.3%	11.0%
15	18.7%	19.5%	19.6%
16	23.8%	23.5%	24.8%
17	29.1%	27.4%	27.2%
18-20	10.8%	11.4%	10.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Youth[1]	*3,627*	*3,198*	*2,730*

* Includes CD/EM, Day/Evening Reporting Centers, DRAP, PACT, and Shelter Care

[1] Total represents a count of youth served, since juveniles may be served by multiple ATD programs simultaneously.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense. All data represents only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Section IV: Committed Programs

A local firefighter volunteered his time to speak with a youth at Green Ridge Youth Center about becoming a firefighter.

Introduction to Committed Programs

The DJS Division of Operations is responsible for selecting the most appropriate out-of-home placement for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS by the juvenile court. Program placements vary based on the treatment services provided as well as by security level. The full range of DJS placement options include: (a) Foster Care Homes (traditional and treatment foster care); (b) Group Homes (general service and therapeutic); (c) Independent Living Programs/Alternative Living Units (often used as a step-down from a more restrictive program placement); (d) Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) and non-Medicaid Residential Treatment Facilities (out-of-state); (e) Intermediate Care Centers for Addictions (ICFAs); (f) Behavioral Programs, e.g. DJS-operated Youth Centers (including the Green Ridge Mountain Quest program) and out-of-state programs in a staff secure setting; and (g) Treatment Programs in a hardware secure setting, both DJS-operated and privately contracted out-of-state.

DJS has established three levels of residential program placements based largely on the level of program restrictiveness (see Figure below). Level I includes all programs where youth reside in a community setting and attend community schools. Level II includes programs where educational programming is provided on-grounds and youth movement and freedom is restricted primarily by staff monitoring and supervision. Level III programs provide the highest level of security by augmenting staff supervision with physical attributes of the facility, i.e., locks, bars and fences.

Placement Process

The DJS placement process is designed to select the most appropriate program and treatment services for committed youth. The process begins with a comprehensive assessment of each youth. A staffing meeting is then held to bring together key staff members responsible for resource and treatment service planning. Principal participants include the youth's case manager, case manager supervisor, and resource coordinator as well as parents and/or guardians who are invited to participate.



Capacity, ADP, and Security Type for State-Operated Committed Facilities, FY 2015

Facility	Rated Capacity	ADP	Security Type
Backbone Mountain YC	48	24.8	Staff
Green Ridge Mountain Quest	10	9.1	Staff
Green Ridge YC	30	15.2	Staff
J. DeWeese Carter Center	14	10.0	Hardware
Meadow Mountain YC	40	27.6	Staff
Savage Mountain YC	36	21.7	Staff
Victor Cullen Center	48	37.1	Hardware
Wm. Donald Schaefer House	19	12.0	Staff
Total	*245*	*218.5**	*N/A*

*Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

The utilization rate for state-operated facilities was 89.2% in FY 2015.

At the staffing meeting, all pertinent information collected as part of the assessment is reviewed, including the current offense, delinquency history, social history, MCASP recommended supervision level, MCASP assessment of need, educational records, clinical assessments, and the involvement of any other state agency. The staffing meeting culminates with recommendations for program participation and/or treatment services tailored to the circumstances of each youth. DJS then refers the youth's case to the recommended programs for consideration. Programs may either accept or reject an applicant based on program eligibility criteria and capacity. Upon acceptance, program services are authorized by DJS prior to placement in the program.

DJS contracts with private in-state as well as out-of-state vendors to provide services to committed youth. A Certificate of Placement (COP) database is used to manage the referral and placement of youth with private providers. The database facilitates the placement process, for example, by automatically pulling staffing information from the DJS information system (ASSIST), creating program referral letters, and tracking acceptances and rejections from potential programs.

Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST): DJS has augmented the placement process for cases at risk of being committed to out-of-home placement by creating a specialized diagnostic team responsible for assessing youth, who are detained and at risk of placement, prior to court disposition.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

The process has been in place statewide since December 2013. The diagnostic team includes a psychologist, social worker, substance abuse counselor, community case manager, detention facility case manager supervisor, resource specialist, Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) representative, and other disciplines as needed. Youth who require more specialized assessments (e.g., a neurological, psycho-sexual, or medical assessment) are referred for evaluation. Following this in-depth review, the team submits a report for the juvenile court to consider at disposition, including security and treatment recommendations.

The Continuum of Care and Central Review Committee (CRC): On occasion, the initial committed program placement may not be successful. Some youth run away from their placement or are ejected due to misbehavior. The behavioral, emotional, and/or medical needs of youth may also change. Continuum of Care legislation passed during the 2012 Legislative Session was implemented by the Department in July 2012. The legislation authorizes the Department to transfer youth directly from one facility or program to another facility or program (of equal or higher security level) without first requesting the court to modify the commitment order. The flexibility to move youth from one program to another is expected to reduce the need for secure detention as youth will no longer be detained pending court review and the subsequent placement decision-making process. This legislation is a key component of a larger reform effort to improve the assessment of youth, create a continuum of care, and establish length of stay guidelines. To manage this process, the Department established the CRC chaired by the Director of Behavioral Health. The CRC conducts weekly case reviews of youth at risk of removal from a committed residential placement; directs changes in the provision of services; and makes placement transfer decisions.

Youth from the William Donald Schaefer House are in-studio recording their original music at Manta Ray Records as part of the "Leadership through Music" program.

CHALLENGE Program: DJS operates eight committed programs in Maryland (see table on pg. 125). The CHALLENGE program, was implemented in all of these facilities by October, 2012. CHALLENGE provides youth with clear behavioral expectations within a structured daily routine using positive reinforcers. The program is grounded in the principles of positive reinforcement and modeling and is designed to encourage youth to accept responsibility for their behavior and learn problem-solving and leadership skills. Staff members are trained to teach and model problem-solving and social skills. CHALLENGE facilitates order and security within the facility and promotes an environment characterized by respect and fairness that is conducive to treatment.

Educational Services

Educational services are provided to youth by the Maryland State Department of Education Juvenile Services Education System (MSDE JSES). JSES provides youth residing in all DJS residential facilities with the ability to earn a high school diploma via the earning of credits or passage of the GED. The MSDE JSES curriculum is currently based on the Maryland State College and Career Readiness Standards (CCRS). The curriculum includes grade level instruction in English, Social Studies, Mathematics, and Science. Middle school coursework and remediation for high school students is also offered in reading, written language, and math as appropriate. In addition to academics, the educational programs provide opportunities for each youth to develop career and technology skills. Eligible youth participate in mandated Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) state assessments. Required services are provided to special education youth per their Individualized Education Programs (IEP).

MSDE JSES collaborates with Local Education Agencies (LEA) across Maryland as well as non-public and out-of-state schools. MSDE JSES staff at each DJS facility help facilitate appropriate instruction to youth in credit-bearing coursework and facilitate the successful transition of each youth as they return to their respective communities to the local public school or other appropriate educational program.

Behavioral Health Services

Mental Health Services in Facilities: DJS Behavioral Health Services provides mental health screening, assessments, and short-term crisis services in departmental detention facilities, and treatment in longer-term, committed facilities. DJS Behavioral Health Services also provides oversight of contracted vendors providing these services. Services include: suicide prevention; crisis intervention and stabilization; medication evaluation and monitoring; brief individual,

Youth from Savage Mountain Youth Center enjoyed a day fishing and canoeing on the Savage River Dam.

group, and family therapy; and crisis counseling. As members of the facility team, behavioral health staff and contractors provide support, technical assistance, and education services to the other members of the team on topics related to mental health concerns and medication. In the detention centers, psychiatrists, social workers, and psychologists provide evaluations in order to assist the case management staff in identifying appropriate residential placements or community-based services. These decisions are based on the needs of the youth with public safety in mind.

Mental Health Services in the Community: Mental health treatment services received by youth on probation and aftercare are provided by local community and DJS providers. DJS Behavioral Health Services have licensed social workers and licensed professional counselors as members of the regional resource staff and on the DJS MAST teams to provide consultation and assistance to the DJS community staff. The behavioral health clinicians conduct psychosocial assessments for youth who are likely to be placed out-of-home and they also complete Determination of Need certificates for youth who will be placed in community-based placements including foster care, therapeutic foster care, group homes, and independent living. Behavioral health clinicians provide a link with the local mental health Core Service Agencies (the local mental health authority) to ensure that appropriate community and residential services are available to DJS youth.

Substance Abuse Services in Facilities: DJS Behavioral Health Services provides screening, assessment, and treatment services to youth with substance abuse problems in each of the seven facilities. Certified addiction counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment needed by youth. These assessments assist case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Counselors work closely with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction. Each facility, with the exception of J. DeWeese Carter Center and Victor Cullen, has a substance abuse treatment component certified by the Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH), Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ) under the authority of the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA). All DJS committed facilities offer *Seven Challenges* substance abuse treatment, an evidence-based program implemented in 2009. A description of the services provided by each DJS facility is provided below:

- Backbone Mountain Youth Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)
- Green Ridge Youth Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)
- J. DeWeese Carter Center: Early intervention and outpatient levels of treatment (Not Certified)
- Meadow Mountain Youth Center: Intensive outpatient level of treatment (Certified)
- Savage Mountain Youth Center: Early intervention and outpatient levels of treatment (Certified)
- Victor Cullen Center: Early intervention, outpatient, and intensive outpatient levels of treatment (Not Certified)
- William Donald Schaefer House: Long-term (4 months), residential, medium intensity program (Certified)

Substance Abuse Services in the Community: The majority of substance abuse services received by youth on probation and aftercare are provided by local community providers. DJS provides linkages to these services through Drug Court and regular probation services. DJS Behavioral Health does provide funding for some of these services. However, the majority of funding comes through the DHMH/ADAA.

Behavioral Health: The Director of Behavioral Health has responsibility for establishing and implementing policies governing the delivery of mental health, substance abuse, evidenced-based services, and for hiring licensed, certified staff and contractors. In addition, the Director is responsible for working with other state agencies, including DHMH and the Governor's Office for Children (GOC), to ensure that DJS youth have access to services governed by and/or funded by these agencies. Finally, the Department's headquarters staff provide

In partnership with Cumberland farmer Lou Simmons, youth from all four youth centers participated in an apple picking event. Simmons donates all of the fresh fruit to people in need.

technical assistance as well as direct intervention to resolve interagency issues for the benefit of DJS youth.

The Department offers assistance, support, and information to all victims of juvenile crime and understands that the judicial process can seem overwhelming and complicated at times. In order to help victims proceed through the judicial system, DJS provides information and understanding of the juvenile court system, assures that victims are informed and aware of the rights afforded them under Maryland law, and advocates for their rights. The Department provides assistance with the preparation of victim impact statements and the enforcement of court orders for restitution via the restitution collection process. DJS also informs victims on how to initiate civil action on delinquent restitution accounts.

Referral to appropriate services is also available for all victims of juvenile crime who request assistance. Services may include, but are not limited to, referral for crisis intervention, emergency services, information regarding financial assistance, and information on case status and outcomes. DJS is committed to ensuring that all victims of juvenile crime are treated with dignity, respect, and sensitivity throughout the juvenile justice process.

Somatic Health

The Division of Somatic Health Services provides comprehensive, quality health care and nutritional services to youth residing at DJS residential facilities. Its mission is to protect, promote, and advance the health of all youth in the care of the Department. Medical, dental, and dietary services are provided in an inter-disciplinary fashion that is developmentally appropriate for youth, and are delivered in accordance with standards set forth by the National Commission on Correctional Health Care, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Centers for Disease Control, and the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Science.

Youth admitted to DJS residential facilities require on-going, routine preventative health care similar to other children their age. In addition, they may have unmet or chronic health care needs upon admission, or may develop an acute health condition that needs to be addressed. DJS ensures that each program has licensed nurses, physicians, dieticians, dental providers, and laboratory and pharmacy services to meet the health care needs of the youth. For specialty, surgical, emergency, or in-patient care that may be required, youth are transported to community providers as needed.

Each youth must have a current nursing assessment, history and physical examination, vision screening, and dental examination upon or soon after admission, as well as screening for tuberculosis, anemia, and sexually transmitted infections. Girls are provided comprehensive gynecological care either on-site or in the community in order to meet individual needs. Vaccine records are requested on all youth, and DJS makes every effort to fully immunize youth who are not up to date on recommended and required vaccinations. Youth are seen at regularly scheduled clinic times and as needed for "sick call" requests. In addition, they are seen at least monthly by nursing staff to check on their overall health status and weight.

In order to prepare youth for success upon re-entry into the community, nurses and other DJS staff provide youth with individual and/or group health education and coordinate discharge planning to ensure that youth have their medications or required follow-up medical appointments with community providers.

DJS Youth Centers - Career & Technology and the Garrett College Programs

Youth Career and Technology programming at the DJS Youth Centers includes traditional building trades and aquaculture (an innovative green employment opportunity in Maryland). The Youth Centers developed an Aquaculture Technician Certificate that outlines necessary employment competencies and drives instruction. The aquaculture program is offered at the Meadow Mountain Youth Center. Successful youth earn an Aquaculture Technician I certificate. The other three Youth Centers also offer vocational programming on-site. Green Ridge and Backbone Mountain Youth Centers offer a 60-hour carpentry program. Students earn a certificate to document successful completion of the carpentry program and achievement of the program's competencies.

At Backbone Mountain Youth Center, there is an innovative program that provides students with the unique opportunity to be simultaneously enrolled in high school classes and introductory college courses through Garrett College of Maryland. Students are screened through a referral, record review, and interview process for acceptance into the program. The Garrett College initiative has been operational since September 2006.

The Career and Technology programming has two goals for the youth – high school completion and job skill development. All students have

opportunities to participate in multiple community projects in order to put into practice the skills learned in the classroom.

Classification

All DJS-operated facilities utilize an objective internal classification system to assess a youth's potential vulnerability and supervision needs. The results of the classification assessment are used to guide appropriate housing decisions and room assignments. The classification assessment is implemented for all youth upon admission to the facility, and allows for reclassification in response to circumstances or special needs that may require modification of housing or room assignment.

The Housing Classification Assessment considers the following factors when making housing and room assignments: (a) the severity of the current charge or adjudication; (b) the severity of the most serious prior adjudication; (c) the number of prior serious incidents in custody (youth-on-youth or youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes); (d) age, size, and offense history of youth, especially when assigning two or more youth to a room; and (e) special needs including suicide risk, mental health, or other concerns that may merit higher or special supervision.

A Note to Readers:

It is strongly recommended that readers review the following distinction as it will assist in understanding data presented in this section:

Placement versus Admission: A placement is based on a decision made by the Department or a judge to place a youth into a committed program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions and these counts will not match.

DJS State-Operated Committed Programs by Region



Statewide Total

At disposition, the juvenile court may commit a youth to the care of DJS. Legal custody of the youth is thereby transferred to the Department. A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operates seven facilities in Maryland and contracts with others both in-state and out-of-state.

Note: Though Community-Based Family Therapy Programs are presented within this section (pages 166-167), these data are not included on the statewide tables as these programs are not solely for committed youth. Data on the statewide pages only include youth in committed placements.

Committed Placements, FY 2013-2015



- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
  - Committed placements decreased 35.0% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 22.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2015
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2015):*
  - Of the 1,156 committed placements 38.9% were first time placements.
- *Juveniles Placed (FY 2015):*
  - 27.3% were from Metro Region, 20.4% were from Baltimore City and 18.8% were from Southern Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 11 were from Washington D.C., 1 was from New York, and 1 was from West Virginia.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Second Degree Assault (18.8%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (31.4%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (24.5%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP for all committed placements in FY 2015 was 711.1.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS for all committed placements was 229.2 days.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 53.5% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 28.6% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 17.9% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.

Committed Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.6%	70.6%	70.3%
White	25.4%	24.3%	23.3%
Hispanic/Other	6.0%	5.0%	6.4%
Sex			
Male	84.4%	83.4%	83.0%
Female	15.6%	16.6%	17.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
12	0.6%	0.7%	1.2%
13	3.0%	3.1%	3.4%
14	8.4%	9.6%	6.9%
15	18.0%	19.9%	16.9%
16	24.5%	26.8%	27.9%
17	31.1%	26.6%	29.3%
18-20	14.0%	13.2%	14.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*1,779*	*1,495*	*1,156*

Committed Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	20.3%	19.1%	20.4%
Reg-II - Central	14.0%	13.6%	13.7%
Reg-III - Western	8.0%	7.8%	10.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	11.5%	9.9%	8.7%
Reg-V - Southern	17.6%	18.7%	18.8%
Reg-VI - Metro	26.8%	29.2%	27.3%
Out-of-State	1.7%	1.6%	1.1%
Total Placements	*1,779*	*1,495*	*1,156*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Committed Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	1.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.7%
Carjacking	0.8%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%
Disturbing the Peace	4.8%
First Degree Assault	1.6%
Handgun Violation	1.6%
Malicious Destruction	5.5%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.6%
Murder	0.3%
Narcotics Distribution	1.7%
Narcotics Possession	7.4%
Other/Missing[1]	2.9%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%
Robbery	11.1%
Second Degree Assault	18.8%
Sex Offense	3.4%
Theft Felony	1.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.2%
Trespassing	0.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.6%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**19.6%**
Felony	**11.5%**
- Person-to-Person	1.8%
- Property	4.9%
- Drugs	1.7%
- Unspecified	3.0%
Misdemeanor	**67.8%**
- Person-to-Person	31.4%
- Property	24.5%
- Drugs	7.2%
- Unspecified	4.8%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.3%**
Citations	**0.5%**
CINS	**0.2%**
Total Placements	*1,156*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Committed Placement Locations+ for FY 2013-2015, ADP and ALOS, FY 2015

	Placements			FY2015	
	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	119	118	72	75.6	312.9
Group Home	456	397	307	179.7	211.5
Indep. Living	44	35	15	13.3	243.1
ICFA	241	163	116	16.2	61.4
RTC	302	286	237	126.5	194.8
State Operated	630	572	422	157.6	148.9
Staff Secure	*495*	*438*	*324*	*110.5*	*140.6*
Hardware Sec.	*135*	*134*	*98*	*47.1*	*172.4*
Silver Oak	67	82	94	60.8	256.2
Out-of-State	128	108	90	81.4	291.3
RTC	*14*	*23*	*10*	*10.8*	*260.6*
Staff Secure	*69*	*50*	*50*	*43.7*	*285.0*
Hardware Sec.	*45*	*35*	*30*	*26.9*	*316.7*
*Total**	*1,779*	*1,495*	*1,156*	*711.1***	*229.2*

+ Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category (For example: "Total Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care).

* Statewide total placement counts excludes transfers within and between program/program types, therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.

** Data in this section include some probation and pre-disposition cases placed in hospital or diagnostic residential placements ordered by juvenile court.

Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Statewide Females Only

Female youth represent approximately 17% of the population of youth committed to the care of the Department. Generally, girls are less likely than boys to be committed by the court for the commission of a felony offense and are more likely to report physical abuse, sexual abuse, and higher levels of family dysfunction. With the exception of staff secure behavioral facilities such as the DJS-operated Youth Centers, the same continuum of placement options is available to both girls and boys. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), and the J. DeWeese Carter Center (a hardware secure, DJS-operated facility for girls). Out-of-state contracted programs are also available, however, girls are most likely to be placed in a group home or RTC. Placement in an out-of-state program is uncommon.

Committed Placements, FY 2013-2015



- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
  - Committed placements decreased 29.5% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 21% between FY 2014 and FY 2015
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2015):*
  - Of the 196 committed placements 40.8% were first time placements.
- *Juveniles Placed (FY 2015):*
  - 25.0% were from Southern Region, 20.4% were from Metro Region and 18.9% were from Baltimore City.
  - There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Second Degree Assault (27.6%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (44.4%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (24.0%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP for all committed placements in FY 2015 was 126.4.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS for all committed placements was 220.1 days.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 49.6% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 31.8% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 18.6% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.

Committed Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	56.8%	60.1%	66.3%
White	39.2%	34.3%	28.1%
Hispanic/Other	4.0%	5.6%	5.6%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%
12	0.4%	0.8%	1.5%
13	5.4%	5.6%	5.6%
14	9.0%	10.9%	7.7%
15	22.7%	22.2%	15.8%
16	25.9%	25.8%	26.0%
17	26.6%	24.6%	29.6%
18-20	9.0%	9.7%	13.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*278*	*248*	*196*

Committed Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	11.9%	12.5%	18.9%
Reg-II - Central	16.2%	16.5%	12.2%
Reg-III - Western	12.9%	10.1%	12.8%
Reg-IV - Eastern	14.4%	12.1%	10.7%
Reg-V - Southern	20.9%	28.2%	25.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	21.2%	19.8%	20.4%
Out-of-State	2.5%	0.8%	0.0%
Total Placements	*278*	*248*	*196*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Committed Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	1.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	1.5%
Carjacking	0.5%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.5%
Deadly Weapon	3.1%
Disturbing the Peace	6.6%
First Degree Assault	2.6%
Handgun Violation	2.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.5%
Murder	1.0%
Narcotics Distribution	1.0%
Narcotics Possession	10.2%
Other/Missing[1]	4.1%
Resisting Arrest	1.5%
Robbery	6.1%
Second Degree Assault	27.6%
Sex Offense	1.0%
Theft Felony	0.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	17.9%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.1%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**10.7%**
Felony	**5.6%**
- Person-to-Person	0.5%
- Property	3.1%
- Drugs	1.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%
Misdemeanor	**83.2%**
- Person-to-Person	44.4%
- Property	24.0%
- Drugs	10.2%
- Unspecified	4.6%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.5%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*196*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Female Committed Placement Locations+ for FY 2013-2015, ADP and ALOS, FY 2015

	Placements			FY2015	
	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	29	45	22	28.8	252.8
Group Home	116	106	77	38.2	164.7
Indep. Living	9	8	6	5.5	283.7
ICFA	43	39	31	4.3	52.6
RTC	95	83	69	32.7	176.6
State Operated	24	23	20	10.0	201.0
Hardware Sec.	*24*	*23*	*20*	*10.0*	*201.0*
Out-of-State	6	8	8	6.9	296.2
RTC	*1*	*6*	*1*	*1.9*	*315.6*
Staff Secure	*5*	*2*	*4*	*3.0*	*250.4*
Hardware Sec.	*0*	*0*	*3*	*2.0*	*290.8*
*Total**	*278*	*248*	*196*	*126.4***	*220.1*

+ Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category (For example: "Total Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care).

*Total placement count excludes transfers within and between program and/or program types; therefore may not add up to the total program type provided in this table.

**Data in this section include some probation and pre-disposition cases placed in hospital or diagnostic residential placements ordered by juvenile court.

Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Statewide Under Age 13 Only

Youth who are under 13 and committed to the care of DJS may be placed in a range of residential facilities. State owned and operated committed facilities are designed for youth age 13 years and older. Because of the needs of youth under age 13, they are most frequently placed in treatment foster care, group homes, therapeutic group homes, diagnostic units, or Residential Treatment Centers (RTC). Wherever placed, youth under age 13 receive all the same services that other committed youth receive.

Committed Placements, FY 2013-2015



Committed Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.8%	46.2%	72.2%
White	31.2%	53.8%	27.8%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	75.0%	76.9%	83.3%
Female	25.0%	23.1%	16.7%
Age			
11 and under	37.5%	23.1%	22.2%
12	62.5%	76.9%	77.8%
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A
17	N/A	N/A	N/A
18-20	N/A	N/A	N/A
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*16*	*13*	*18*

- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
  - Committed placements increased 12.5% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 38.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2015):*
  - Of the 18 committed placements, 50.0% were first-time placements.
- *Juveniles Placed (FY 2015):*
  - 38.9% were from Baltimore City, 27.8% were from Southern Region, and 16.7% were each from Eastern Region, and Western Region.
  - There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Second Degree Assault (27.8%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses and Misdemeanor Property offenses (33.3%) each.
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP for all committed placements in FY 2015 was 14.9.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS for all committed placements was 332.1 days.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 31.8% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 13.6% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 54.5% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.

Committed Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	0.0%	15.4%	38.9%
Reg-II - Central	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-III - Western	12.5%	38.5%	16.7%
Reg-IV - Eastern	25.0%	30.8%	16.7%
Reg-V - Southern	12.5%	0.0%	27.8%
Reg-VI - Metro	31.2%	15.4%	0.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*16*	*13*	*18*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Committed Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	11.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.6%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.6%
First Degree Assault	5.6%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	11.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing[1]	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	5.6%
Second Degree Assault	27.8%
Sex Offense	5.6%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	22.2%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**16.7%**
Felony	**16.7%**
- Person-to-Person	5.6%
- Property	11.1%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**66.7%**
- Person-to-Person	33.3%
- Property	33.3%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*18*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Under 13 Committed Placement Locations+ for FY 2013-2015, ADP and ALOS, FY 2015*

	Placements			FY2015	
	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	3	4	3	2.8	495.8
Group Home	3	4	3	2.5	323.3
Indep. Living	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
ICFA	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
RTC	10	6	13	9.6	251.1
State Operated	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Staff Secure	*0*	*0*	*0*	*0.0*	*0.0*
Hardware Sec.	*0*	*0*	*0*	*0.0*	*0.0*
Silver Oak	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Out-of-State	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
RTC	*0*	*0*	*0*	*0.0*	*0.0*
Staff Secure	*0*	*0*	*0*	*0.0*	*0.0*
Hardware Sec.	*0*	*0*	*0*	*0.0*	*0.0*
*Total***	*16*	*13*	*18*	*14.9*	*332.1*

+ Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category (For example: "Total Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care).

* ADP and ALOS reflect youth who were released in FY 2015 and were 13 years of age at the time of admission.

** Total placement count excludes transfers within and between program and/or program types; therefore may not add up to the total program type provided in this table.

Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Foster Care

Traditional Foster Care is continuous 24-hour care and supportive services provided to a youth in a DJS-approved family home. The foster family serves low-risk youth who cannot be managed in their own homes. Youth served may be experiencing behavioral problems and need a respite from family/neighborhood issues. Foster Care also serves youth who need long-term placement, primarily because homes may not be appropriate for youth to return. Treatment Foster Care (TFC) is continuous 24-hour care and intensive support services operated by a licensed child placement agency or local Department of Social Services in a family setting for children with serious emotional, behavioral, medical, and/or psychological conditions. The behaviors of the youth served are not so severe that removal from the community is necessary.

Admissions and ADP by Program, FY 2015

Treatment Foster Care	County	Adm.	ADP
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries	Balt. Co.	3	2.9
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.	1	0.5
Children's Home[+]	Balt. Co.	0	0.4
Greenleaf	Mont.	3	2.3
Hearts & Homes - Family Ties	Mont.	3	2.6
Ment. MD-Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.	12	15.2
Ment. MD - Lanham	Balt. Co.	1	0.4
Ment. MD - Salisbury Teens	Wicomico	24	29.7
Multi-Dimen. Com. Solutions	Balt. Co.	2	1.2
New Pathways[1]	Balt. Co.	0	0.0
Pressley Ridge	Allegany	10	8.2
PSI Services III	Balt. Co.	2	4.6
San Mar	Washington	2	0.8
Woodbourne Center	Balt. City	6	3.7
Traditional Foster Care			
Individual Families	N/A	5	2.9
Total Admissions	*All*	*74*	*75.6*

[+]Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2015, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

[1]Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2015, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.

Woodbourne Center's Main Building

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, overall placements to foster care decreased 39.5%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2015, Mentor Maryland - Salisbury Teens had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 25.0% were from Eastern Region, 20.8% were from Southern Region and 18.1% were from Baltimore City.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 1 was from West Virginia, and 1 was from Washington D.C..
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Second Degree Assault (33.3%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (40.3%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (30.6%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP increased 12.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 13.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 21.0% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 29.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 33.7% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 42.4% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 23.9% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	26.9%	28.8%	18.1%
Reg-II - Central	10.9%	10.2%	13.9%
Reg-III - Western	12.6%	21.2%	13.9%
Reg-IV - Eastern	21.0%	18.6%	25.0%
Reg-V - Southern	16.8%	7.6%	20.8%
Reg-VI - Metro	10.1%	9.3%	5.6%
Out-of-State	1.7%	4.2%	2.8%
Total Placements	*119*	*118*	*72*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	1.4%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.2%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	4.2%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	4.2%
First Degree Assault	1.4%
Handgun Violation	1.4%
Malicious Destruction	9.7%
Manslaughter	1.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	8.3%
Other/Missing[1]	1.4%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	33.3%
Sex Offense	2.8%
Theft Felony	1.4%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.9%
Trespassing	1.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.9%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**2.8%**
Felony	**8.3%**
- Person-to-Person	2.8%
- Property	2.8%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.8%
Misdemeanor	**87.5%**
- Person-to-Person	40.3%
- Property	30.6%
- Drugs	8.3%
- Unspecified	8.3%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**1.4%**
Total Placements	*72*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.2%	72.0%	72.2%
White	32.8%	25.4%	23.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.0%	2.5%	4.2%
Sex			
Male	75.6%	61.9%	69.4%
Female	24.4%	38.1%	30.6%
Age			
11 and under	0.8%	0.8%	1.4%
12	1.7%	2.5%	2.8%
13	4.2%	3.4%	5.6%
14	3.4%	10.2%	5.6%
15	9.2%	5.9%	11.1%
16	12.6%	16.9%	16.7%
17	23.5%	23.7%	29.2%
18-20	44.5%	36.4%	27.8%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*119*	*118*	*72*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Group Homes

General group homes are non-profit residential programs for youth in out-of-home placement licensed by DHR, DJS, or the Behavioral Health Administration, which is part of DHMH. They provide out-of-home care for four or more youth who are moderate- to high-risk, and need more structure and supervision than a relative, foster parent, or treatment foster parent could offer. General group homes also provide a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services. Therapeutic group homes (TGH) are residential programs for youth in out-of-home care that are licensed by MHA and must be non-profit organizations. TGHs provide access to a range of diagnostic and therapeutic mental health services to youth who are moderate- to high-risk and have an emotional or developmental disability. Those TGHs that provide educational programs on their grounds are considered to be staff secure programs.

Admissions and ADP by Program, FY 2015

Group Homes	County	Adm.	ADP
ARC of Washington Co.	Washington	6	5.1
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.	3	1.3
Catocin Summit Adol. Prog	Frederick	27	10.5
Cedar Ridge	Washington	17	8.7
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	Balt. Co.	12	6.0
Greentree Adolescent	Mont.	28	11.8
Hearts and Homes	Mont/Pr. G	43	23.0
Karma Academy for Boys	Balt. Co.	2	1.8
Kent Youth Boys	Kent	1	0.9
MAGIC	Balt. Co.	3	1.1
MD Sheriff's Youth Ranch, Inc.	Frederick	4	3.3
Morning Star Youth Academy	Dorchester	3	2.9
Oak Hill House	Washington	20	11.5
One Love	Balt. City	6	4.6
Our House	Mont.	20	11.3
Salem Trust	Garrett	23	14.4
San Mar - Jone Bowman	Washington	6	4.1
Shining Tree	Washington	9	7.8
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Pr.	Pr. George's	9	4.1
Therapeutic Group Homes			
Board of Child Care	Charl/Calv	22	15.4
Cedar Ridge	Washington	21	12.5
Mary's Mount Manor*	An. Arund	14	4.4
Redl House*	Mont.	18	6.2
San Mar - Allegany Girls	Allegany	9	5.9
San Mar - Jack E. Barr+	Washington	0	1.1
Total Admissions	*All*	*326*	*179.7*

+Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2015, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.
* Hearts and Homes

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, overall placements to group homes decreased 32.7%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2015, Hearts and Homes had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 27.7% were from Metro Region, 23.8% were from Baltimore City and 15.0% were from Southern Region.
  - Of those from Out-of-State, 3 were from Washington D.C., and 1 was from New York.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Second Degree Assault (22.5%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (35.5%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (26.1%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 31.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 25.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 0.8% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 3.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 42.0% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 34.0% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 23.9% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	15.1%	16.6%	23.8%
Reg-II - Central	12.5%	13.9%	12.4%
Reg-III - Western	10.5%	8.1%	9.8%
Reg-IV - Eastern	14.9%	10.3%	10.1%
Reg-V - Southern	20.0%	21.2%	15.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	25.7%	27.7%	27.7%
Out-of-State	1.3%	2.3%	1.3%
Total Placements	*456*	*397*	*307*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	1.3%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	6.8%
Carjacking	1.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.6%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%
Disturbing the Peace	5.9%
First Degree Assault	1.0%
Handgun Violation	1.6%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%
Murder	0.3%
Narcotics Distribution	2.0%
Narcotics Possession	5.5%
Other/Missing[1]	2.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.3%
Robbery	8.8%
Second Degree Assault	22.5%
Sex Offense	6.2%
Theft Felony	1.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.3%
Trespassing	1.6%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**16.6%**
Felony	**13.4%**
- Person-to-Person	3.3%
- Property	4.9%
- Drugs	2.0%
- Unspecified	3.3%
Misdemeanor	**69.7%**
- Person-to-Person	35.5%
- Property	26.1%
- Drugs	5.5%
- Unspecified	2.6%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.3%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*307*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.7%	66.2%	67.4%
White	31.8%	29.5%	26.1%
Hispanic/Other	5.5%	4.3%	6.5%
Sex			
Male	74.6%	73.3%	74.9%
Female	25.4%	26.7%	25.1%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.7%	1.0%	1.0%
13	5.5%	5.3%	4.9%
14	11.0%	10.1%	6.8%
15	18.9%	19.9%	18.2%
16	24.8%	22.7%	20.8%
17	30.0%	26.2%	30.3%
18-20	9.2%	14.9%	17.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*456*	*397*	*307*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Independent Living Programs (Including Alternative Living Units and Respite Care)

Independent Living Programs are implemented by a child placement agency licensed by DHR for youth 15 to 21 years of age who need to become self-sufficient and learn responsible living skills as they are unlikely to return home. Youth reside in either group homes or supervised apartment units, and must be enrolled in high school, college, vocational training, or be gainfully employed. During the program, youth learn about interpersonal skills, money management, job readiness, conflict management, positive leisure opportunities, and communication skills. Alternative Living Units are residences owned, leased, or operated by a licensee that: (a) provides residential services for children who, because of a developmental disability, require specialized living arrangements; (b) admits not more than three children; and (c) provides 24 hours of supervision per unit, per day.

Admissions and ADP by Program, FY 2015

Independent Living	County	Adm.	ADP
Damamli	Balt. Co.	3	1.8
Future Bound	Mont.	2	1.6
Ment. MD - Baltimore	Balt. Co.	3	4.4
Ment. MD - Salisbury	Wicomico	2	1.8
New Pathways - Independ.	Balt. City	3	1.2
Transition Age Yth Prog. (TAY)[+]	Balt. Co.	0	0.2
Alternative Living Units			
Arrow Child & Family Ministri.	Balt. Co.	2	2.2
Respite Care			
Sheppard Pratt Towson Respite[1]	Balt. Co.	0	0.0
Total Admissions	*All*	*15*	*13.3*

[+]Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2015, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

[1] Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2015, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.

Transition Age Youth Program (TAY)

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, overall placements to independent living programs/alternative living programs decreased 65.9%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2015, all programs had a similar number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 46.7% were from Baltimore City, 13.3% were each from Central Region, Eastern Region, and Southern Region.
  - There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Second Degree Assault (33.3%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (46.7%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (20.0%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 53.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 49.8% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 14.9% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 17.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 42.1% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 36.8% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 21.1% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	45.5%	22.9%	46.7%
Reg-II - Central	11.4%	14.3%	13.3%
Reg-III - Western	2.3%	0.0%	6.7%
Reg-IV - Eastern	6.8%	5.7%	13.3%
Reg-V - Southern	9.1%	22.9%	13.3%
Reg-VI - Metro	25.0%	20.0%	6.7%
Out-of-State	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Total Placements	*44*	*35*	*15*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.7%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.7%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	13.3%
Other/Missing[1]	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	13.3%
Second Degree Assault	33.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	6.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.3%
Trespassing	6.7%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**13.3%**
Felony	**6.7%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	6.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**80.0%**
- Person-to-Person	46.7%
- Property	20.0%
- Drugs	13.3%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*15*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.7%	74.3%	66.7%
White	20.5%	14.3%	20.0%
Hispanic/Other	6.8%	11.4%	13.3%
Sex			
Male	79.5%	77.1%	60.0%
Female	20.5%	22.9%	40.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%
16	6.8%	11.4%	0.0%
17	25.0%	17.1%	13.3%
18-20	68.2%	65.7%	86.7%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*44*	*35*	*15*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFAs)

An ICFA is the most intensive level for residential substance abuse services, providing drug and alcohol abuse assessment, treatment, and/or education for moderate- to high-risk youth. Youth served may have problems in other aspects of their lives, i.e., mental health, school, family, peer group, and/or community. These programs are intense, closed programs able to serve not only substance abusing youth, but also dually diagnosed youth, that is, youth who have both a psychiatric diagnosis and an assessed substance abuse problem. These programs are intended to stabilize youth, initiate drug treatment and/or counseling services, and develop recommendations for services upon discharge.

Admissions and ADP by Program, FY 2015

ICFA	County	Adm.	ADP
Lois E. Jackson Unit	Allegany	80	12.4
MTC - Mountain Manor	Balt. City	37	3.8
Total Admissions	*All*	*117*	*16.2*

Mountain Manor Drug Treatment Center

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, overall placements to ICFAs decreased 51.9%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2015, Lois E. Jackson Unit had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 26.7% were from Southern Region, 25.0% were from Central Region and 18.1% were from Western Region.
  - There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense types for juveniles placed in FY 2015 were Theft Misdemeanor, and Narcotics Possession, (19.0%) each.
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (31.0%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (26.7%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 50.8% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 31.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 29.0% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 23.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 67.2% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 7.4% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 25.4% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	17.0%	12.9%	9.5%
Reg-II - Central	20.7%	20.9%	25.0%
Reg-III - Western	7.1%	7.4%	18.1%
Reg-IV - Eastern	15.8%	6.7%	8.6%
Reg-V - Southern	27.0%	41.1%	26.7%
Reg-VI - Metro	12.0%	11.0%	12.1%
Out-of-State	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*241*	*163*	*116*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.2%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	1.7%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.9%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.9%
Malicious Destruction	4.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.6%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.4%
Narcotics Possession	19.0%
Other/Missing[1]	4.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.9%
Robbery	3.4%
Second Degree Assault	16.4%
Sex Offense	0.9%
Theft Felony	4.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	19.0%
Trespassing	0.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.4%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**6.0%**
Felony	**11.2%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	6.9%
- Drugs	3.4%
- Unspecified	0.9%
Misdemeanor	**81.0%**
- Person-to-Person	31.0%
- Property	26.7%
- Drugs	18.1%
- Unspecified	5.2%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**1.7%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*116*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	42.7%	35.6%	37.9%
White	55.2%	60.7%	56.9%
Hispanic/Other	2.1%	3.7%	5.2%
Sex			
Male	82.2%	76.1%	73.3%
Female	17.8%	23.9%	26.7%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
14	2.9%	3.7%	6.9%
15	15.4%	15.3%	6.9%
16	23.7%	27.0%	15.5%
17	38.6%	32.5%	44.0%
18-20	19.5%	21.5%	25.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*241*	*163*	*116*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Residential Treatment Centers (Includes Psychiatric Hospitals & Diagnostic Units/CEUs)

Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) provide more intensive psychiatric and psychological treatment services. They are required to have psychiatrists, psychologists, and psychiatric nurses on staff to lead treatment. Maryland RTCs are approved for operation through the State Certificate of Need (CON) process and are licensed through the Mental Health Administration. Some RTCs concentrate on specific populations of youth. For example, locked RTCs focus on youth with behavioral problems and/or who are considered to be potentially harmful to self or others. The RTCs are intended to stabilize the youth's emotional condition; to provide services that increase the youth's ability to manage his/her mental illness as a potentially life-long challenge; to help the youth develop social skills for coping with both daily and difficult situations and interpersonal relationships; and to transition the youth to a less restrictive environment or home.

Admissions and ADP by Program, FY 2015

Residential Treatment Ctr.	County	Adm.	ADP
Behav. Health - Eastern Shore*	Dorchester	4	4.6
Good Shepherd Ctr Female Prg	Balt. Co.	25	14.3
Good Shepherd Ctr Male Prg	Balt. Co.	6	4.5
Jefferson School	Frederick	12	8.7
New Dir. Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.	14	17.2
Potomac Ridge	Mont.	12	10.3
RICA Baltimore RTC	Balt. City	6	4.8
RICA Rockville RTC+	Mont.	0	0.6
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	Balt. Co.	17	8.4
Villa Maria	Balt. Co.	6	3.4
Woodbourne	Balt. City	31	28.6
Psychiatric Hospitals[1]			
Behav. Health Hosp. Rockville	Mont.	2	0.1
Brook Lane Hospital	Washington	1	0.0
Eastern Shore Acute Unit*	Dorchester	3	0.7
Shep. Pratt Hosp. Ell. City &Towson	Balt. Co.	4	0.1
Spring Grove Hospital Center	Balt. Co.	53	4.9
Springfield Adult Hospital Ctr.	Carroll	5	1.3
Thomas Finan Center	Allegany	3	0.3
Diagnostic Unit/Clinical Evaluation Unit (CEU)[1]			
Arrow Child & Fam Ministries	Balt. Co.	45	10.6
Children's Home Diag Center+	Balt. Co.	0	0.2
RICA Rockville	Mont.	3	0.9
Woodbourne	Balt. City	10	1.8
Total Admissions	*All*	*262*	*126.5*

* Potomac Ridge

+ Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2015, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs.

[1] Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Unit/CEUs are included on the RTC table because similar services are provided at these facilities.



Good Shepherd

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, overall placements to residential treatment centers decreased 21.5%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2015, Spring Grove Hospital Center had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 30.0% were from Southern Region, and 15.6% were each from Metro Region and Baltimore City.
  - The 3 placements from Out-of-State were from Washington D.C.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Second Degree Assault (26.6%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (38.8%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (28.3%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 20.8% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 17% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS decreased 7.5% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 1.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 48.1% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 14.4% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 37.5% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	9.3%	11.5%	15.6%
Reg-II - Central	15.6%	11.9%	15.2%
Reg-III - Western	9.6%	12.6%	9.7%
Reg-IV - Eastern	19.2%	16.4%	12.7%
Reg-V - Southern	27.2%	19.9%	30.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	18.2%	26.2%	15.6%
Out-of-State	1.0%	1.4%	1.3%
Total Placements	*302*	*286*	*237*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	1.3%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	1.7%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.6%
Carjacking	0.8%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%
Disturbing the Peace	5.5%
First Degree Assault	0.8%
Handgun Violation	0.4%
Malicious Destruction	9.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	5.9%
Other/Missing[1]	4.6%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%
Robbery	5.9%
Second Degree Assault	26.6%
Sex Offense	7.2%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.3%
Trespassing	1.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**14.3%**
Felony	**8.0%**
- Person-to-Person	4.2%
- Property	1.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.1%
Misdemeanor	**76.8%**
- Person-to-Person	38.8%
- Property	28.3%
- Drugs	5.9%
- Unspecified	3.8%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.4%**
Citations	**0.4%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*237*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	59.3%	57.3%	67.9%
White	37.1%	36.0%	30.4%
Hispanic/Other	3.6%	6.6%	1.7%
Sex			
Male	68.5%	71.0%	70.9%
Female	31.5%	29.0%	29.1%
Age			
11 and under	1.7%	0.7%	1.3%
12	1.7%	1.4%	4.2%
13	7.6%	7.3%	8.0%
14	14.2%	16.8%	9.7%
15	22.5%	22.7%	20.3%
16	23.8%	26.9%	32.5%
17	22.2%	17.8%	18.6%
18-20	6.3%	6.3%	5.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*302*	*286*	*237*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Out-of-State (OOS) Programs

DJS policy states that youth may not be placed out-of-state without the approval of the Secretary or designee. The Department adheres to Interstate Compact requirements and agreements with other states regarding requests for permission and notifications when youth are placed in another state. Maryland Law includes specific criteria for out-of-state placement including the condition that a youth's individualized needs cannot be met through in-state resources. Youth placed in out-of-state facilities are visited by DJS staff at least quarterly and parents/guardians are provided with opportunities to visit youth at least once per quarter. *Note: Although MD Law specifies numerous OOS program types (i.e. group homes, RTCs, hospitals, etc.), data will be presented on this page only for programs not already captured on a previous page in the Data Resource Guide.*

Admissions and ADP by Program, FY 2015

Residential Treatment Center	State	Adm.	ADP
Boys' Town	NE	1	1.2
Cottonwood Treatment Center	UT	3	1.8
Devereux (Florida)	FL	2	0.3
Devereux (Georgia)	GA	1	0.7
Devereux (Pennsylvania)[1]	PA	0	0.0
Kid Link-Coastal Harbor Treat Ctr	GA	1	1.5
New Hope Carolinas[+]	SC	0	2.8
Three Rivers[+]	SC	0	0.4
UHS of Del-Gulf Coast Treat Ctr[+]	FL	0	1.1
UHS of Del-Laurel Oaks Behav. Hlth.	AL	3	1.0
Staff Secure			
Canyon State Academy	AZ	7	6.0
Clarinda Academy	IA	4	3.8
Cornell Abraxas	PA	1	0.8
Glen Mills School	PA	16	13.0
KidLink Netw-Found. for Living	OH	2	0.4
Natchez Trace Youth Academy*	TN	9	9.3
New Outlook Academy[1]	PA	0	0.0
Summit Academy	PA	6	5.8
Woodward Academy**	IA	6	4.5
Hardware Secure			
Acadia Health Care- Capstone Acad	MI	6	4.5
CCS - Turning Point	MI	4	4.1
Cornell Abraxas Academy	PA	11	10.6
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	PA	6	3.9
Mid-Atlantic West. PA	PA	4	2.9
NHS Yth Services, Inc - NWAcad.	PA	1	0.8
Total Admissions	*All*	*94*	*81.4*

[+] Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2015, ADP reflects a balance from prior FYs
[1] Although there were no admissions and 0.0 ADP for this program in FY 2015, it remains on the table since DJS has an active contract for services.
* Keystone Continuum LLC
** Woodward Youth Corporation DBA

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
  - Between FY 2013 and FY 2015, overall placements to out-of-state programs decreased 29.7%.
- *Program Admissions:*
  - In FY 2015, Glen Mills School had the highest number of admissions.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 46.7% were from Metro Region, 27.8% were from Baltimore City and 11.1% were from Southern Region.
  - The 3 placements from Out-of-State were from Washington D.C.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Second Degree Assault (20.0%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (33.3%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (27.8%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 32.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 14.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 0.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 8.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 63.2% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 21.7% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 15.1% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	38.3%	31.5%	27.8%
Reg-II - Central	10.9%	8.3%	4.4%
Reg-III - Western	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%
Reg-IV - Eastern	2.3%	4.6%	1.1%
Reg-V - Southern	10.2%	12.0%	11.1%
Reg-VI - Metro	28.9%	38.0%	46.7%
Out-of-State	9.4%	5.6%	3.3%
Total Placements	*128*	*108*	*90*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	6.7%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	5.6%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	4.4%
Carjacking	2.2%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	5.6%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%
Disturbing the Peace	3.3%
First Degree Assault	5.6%
Handgun Violation	2.2%
Malicious Destruction	5.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	1.1%
Narcotics Distribution	3.3%
Narcotics Possession	4.4%
Other/Missing[1]	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%
Robbery	16.7%
Second Degree Assault	20.0%
Sex Offense	2.2%
Theft Felony	2.2%
Theft Misdemeanor	5.6%
Trespassing	1.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**33.3%**
Felony	**18.9%**
- Person-to-Person	2.2%
- Property	8.9%
- Drugs	3.3%
- Unspecified	4.4%
Misdemeanor	**47.8%**
- Person-to-Person	27.8%
- Property	14.4%
- Drugs	4.4%
- Unspecified	1.1%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*90*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	88.3%	88.0%	82.2%
White	7.0%	7.4%	10.0%
Hispanic/Other	4.7%	4.6%	7.8%
Sex			
Male	95.3%	92.6%	91.1%
Female	4.7%	7.4%	8.9%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	2.3%	0.9%	0.0%
14	4.7%	6.5%	2.2%
15	10.2%	13.9%	17.8%
16	22.7%	25.0%	32.2%
17	29.7%	27.8%	26.7%
18-20	30.5%	25.9%	21.1%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*128*	*108*	*90*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Backbone Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

Superintendent: Danjuma Gaskins
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

Rte 1, 124 Camp 4 Road
Swanton, MD 21562
301-359-9190

The Youth Center opened in 1966 as a 35-bed forestry camp on Backbone Mountain in Garrett County with funds appropriated by the General Assembly and became a youth center in 1977. In 2013, the Maryland State Department of Education assumed responsibility for the educational program and began providing academic and vocational services. Previously, DJS had provided the educational program. The school offers a 12-month schedule with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, and individualized programs to prepare students for the GED exam. The College Program is offered in conjunction with Garrett College of Maryland. Students are screened through a referral, record review, and interview for acceptance into the program. Selected students are admitted for one college semester while in the DJS's care and earn a Maryland High School Diploma as well as up to 15 college credits. Youth receive individual and group substance abuse interventions, individual therapy/counseling, and psychiatric services.

Placements, FY 2013-2015



Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.3%	80.3%	73.5%
White	13.4%	17.1%	14.7%
Hispanic/Other	9.2%	2.6%	11.8%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	9.2%	10.3%	7.4%
15	16.8%	24.8%	14.7%
16	23.5%	28.2%	22.1%
17	39.5%	23.9%	32.4%
18-20	10.9%	12.8%	23.5%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*119*	*117*	*68*

- *Placement Trends:*
  - Placements decreased 42.9% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 41.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 35.3% were from Metro Region, 23.5% were from Southern Region and 19.1% were from Baltimore City.
  - There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense types for juveniles placed in FY 2015 were Burglary/Breaking & Entering, Theft Misdemeanor, and Robbery, (14.7%) each.
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (27.9%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (22.1%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 41.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 29.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS decreased 5.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 2.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 61.4% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 10.2% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 28.4% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.
- *Utilization Rate:*
  - Backbone Mountain's utilization rate for FY 2015 was 51.7% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.9%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	14.7%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.9%
Deadly Weapon	2.9%
Disturbing the Peace	1.5%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.9%
Malicious Destruction	4.4%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	4.4%
Narcotics Possession	11.8%
Other/Missing[1]	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.9%
Robbery	14.7%
Second Degree Assault	10.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	1.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.7%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.9%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**27.9%**
Felony	**10.3%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	4.4%
- Drugs	4.4%
- Unspecified	1.5%
Misdemeanor	**61.8%**
- Person-to-Person	22.1%
- Property	20.6%
- Drugs	11.8%
- Unspecified	7.4%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*68*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	22.7%	18.8%	19.1%
Reg-II - Central	13.4%	12.8%	7.4%
Reg-III - Western	5.9%	1.7%	2.9%
Reg-IV - Eastern	6.7%	8.5%	11.8%
Reg-V - Southern	17.6%	21.4%	23.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	31.1%	35.9%	35.3%
Out-of-State	2.5%	0.9%	0.0%
Total Placements	*119*	*117*	*68*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Green Ridge Mountain Quest (State-Operated)

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

Superintendent: Judy Hodel
Rated Capacity: 10 Males

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road
Flintstone, MD 21530
301-478-2930

Mountain Quest is a 90-day program for committed males age 13-18 located at Green Ridge Youth Center. Mountain Quest is a first-time commitment program that provides youth time away from their families and communities giving them the opportunity to change their behavior while learning responsibility for their actions. The Mountain Quest program gives youth the opportunity to work on thought patterns and behaviors that will help them become successful in treatment when they return to the community. Family work and interventions are critical at this time to better assess needs and provide an open line of communication. Mental health counseling is provided on an as-needed basis.

Mountain Quest provides six hours of MSDE instruction per day along with social skills, team building, and communication skills. Social skills are taught five hours per week to give youth the skills needed for conflict resolution, victim awareness, improved family dynamics, and positive self-esteem. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling.

Placements, FY 2013-2015



Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.9%	75.0%	73.0%
White	22.6%	20.0%	16.2%
Hispanic/Other	9.4%	5.0%	10.8%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	1.9%	7.5%	8.1%
14	13.2%	10.0%	18.9%
15	26.4%	25.0%	24.3%
16	34.0%	30.0%	32.4%
17	17.0%	22.5%	10.8%
18-20	7.5%	5.0%	5.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*53*	*40*	*37*

- *Placement Trends:*
  - Placements decreased 30.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 7.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 45.9% were from Metro Region, 35.1% were from Western Region and 10.8% were from Central Region.
  - There were no placements from Out-of-State at this facility.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Theft Misdemeanor (18.9%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (35.1%) and Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (27.0%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP did not change between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 2.2% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 44.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 16.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 81.8% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 3.0% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 15.2% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.
- *Utilization Rate:*
  - Green Ridge Mountain Quest's utilization rate for FY 2015 was 91.0% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

For the second year, Green Ridge Youth Center and Green Ridge Mountain Quest have been presented separately, therefore, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2014.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	10.8%
Carjacking	2.7%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	5.4%
Disturbing the Peace	8.1%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.7%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing[1]	8.1%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	13.5%
Second Degree Assault	16.2%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	18.9%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.7%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**27.0%**
Felony	**10.8%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	5.4%
- Drugs	2.7%
- Unspecified	2.7%
Misdemeanor	**62.2%**
- Person-to-Person	35.1%
- Property	24.3%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.7%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*37*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Reg-II - Central	7.5%	5.0%	10.8%
Reg-III - Western	34.0%	20.0%	35.1%
Reg-IV - Eastern	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Reg-V - Southern	0.0%	7.5%	8.1%
Reg-VI - Metro	56.6%	65.0%	45.9%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*53*	*40*	*37*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Green Ridge Youth Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Judy Hodel
Rated Capacity: 30 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road
Flintstone, MD 21530
301-478-2930

Built originally as a Civilian Conservation Corps Camp, Green Ridge Youth Center has had a variety of uses in its 90-year history. Currently, Green Ridge is a treatment center for males age 13-18. The facility offers programming that includes the Seven Challenges drug treatment program and CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral modification program. Green Ridge offers intensive outpatient counseling as well as outpatient and early intervention substance abuse treatment. Individual and family counseling are also offered for every youth. In 2013, the Maryland State Department of Education assumed responsibility for educational programing and began providing academic and vocational services. Previously, DJS provided the educational program. The school offers a 12-month schedule including six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, OSHA and ServSafe Certification, and individualized programs to prepare students for the GED exam.

Placements, FY 2013-2015



Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	65.7%	67.1%	69.8%
White	15.7%	19.2%	25.6%
Hispanic/Other	18.6%	13.7%	4.7%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%
14	4.3%	5.5%	2.3%
15	15.7%	24.7%	14.0%
16	27.1%	27.4%	30.2%
17	31.4%	31.5%	32.6%
18-20	21.4%	9.6%	20.9%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*70*	*73*	*43*

- *Placement Trends:*
  - Placements decreased 38.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 41.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 30.2% were from Metro Region, 18.6% were from Southern Region and 16.3% were from Baltimore City.
  - There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense types for juveniles placed in FY 2015 were Theft Misdemeanor, and Robbery, (23.3%) each.
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (30.2%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (27.9%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 48.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 40.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS decreased 17.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 4.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 67.8% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 13.6% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 18.6% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.
- *Utilization Rate:*
  - Green Ridge Youth Center's utilization rate for FY 2015 was 50.7% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

For the second year, Green Ridge Youth Center and Green Ridge Mountain Quest have been presented separately, therefore, data may not be comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2014.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.3%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	7.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%
Disturbing the Peace	2.3%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.7%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	11.6%
Other/Missing[1]	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	23.3%
Second Degree Assault	9.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	23.3%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.7%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**30.2%**
Felony	**9.3%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	4.7%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	4.7%
Misdemeanor	**60.5%**
- Person-to-Person	14.0%
- Property	27.9%
- Drugs	11.6%
- Unspecified	7.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*43*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	10.0%	8.2%	16.3%
Reg-II - Central	8.6%	17.8%	11.6%
Reg-III - Western	18.6%	16.4%	11.6%
Reg-IV - Eastern	5.7%	4.1%	11.6%
Reg-V - Southern	8.6%	11.0%	18.6%
Reg-VI - Metro	48.6%	42.5%	30.2%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*70*	*73*	*43*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

J. DeWeese Carter Center

Superintendent: Annette Miller
Rated Capacity: 14 Females

Hardware Secure - Region IV - Eastern

300 Scheeler Road
Chestertown, MD 21620
410-778-6444

J. DeWeese Carter Center opened as a secure residential facility in November 2011 to serve females age 14-18 who are committed to DJS. The program provides gender responsive, trauma-informed rehabilitation and treatment services. The Center implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation. The program's goals for the girls include accepting responsibility for behavior, learning problem solving strategies and peer leadership skills, and developing/improving pro-social skills. Services include individual and group therapy, substance abuse education and treatment, health education, medication assessment and monitoring, and family therapy. The Maryland State Department of Education provides academic and vocational services. The school provides a 12-month schedule that includes six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, life skills, as well as individualized GED programs that prepare students for successful completion of a high school diploma examination. MSDE offers vocational programming in computer literacy, Introduction to telecommunications, and the ServSafe Program, all of which allow girls to earn certificates to help them secure employment at discharge.

Placements, FY 2013-2015



Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	79.2%	73.9%	65.0%
White	12.5%	21.7%	20.0%
Hispanic/Other	8.3%	4.3%	15.0%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	4.2%	13.0%	5.0%
15	25.0%	21.7%	15.0%
16	25.0%	39.1%	35.0%
17	29.2%	17.4%	40.0%
18-20	16.7%	8.7%	5.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*24*	*23*	*20*

- *Placement Trends:*
  - Placements decreased 16.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 13% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 30.0% were from Baltimore City, 25.0% were from Metro Region, and 15.0% were each from Southern Region, and Western Region.
  - There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Robbery (20.0%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (35.0%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (30.0%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 17.4% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 8.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 4.5% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 24.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 86.4% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 0.0% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 13.6% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.
- *Utilization Rate:*
  - J. DeWeese Carter Center's utilization rate for FY 2015 was 71.4% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	5.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	5.0%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	10.0%
Disturbing the Peace	5.0%
First Degree Assault	10.0%
Handgun Violation	5.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	5.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%
Other/Missing[1]	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	20.0%
Second Degree Assault	10.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.0%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.0%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**35.0%**
Felony	**5.0%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	5.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%
Misdemeanor	**60.0%**
- Person-to-Person	30.0%
- Property	25.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	5.0%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*20*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	20.8%	17.4%	30.0%
Reg-II - Central	12.5%	21.7%	5.0%
Reg-III - Western	4.2%	0.0%	15.0%
Reg-IV - Eastern	12.5%	4.3%	10.0%
Reg-V - Southern	8.3%	21.7%	15.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	37.5%	34.8%	25.0%
Out-of-State	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*24*	*23*	*20*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Meadow Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Leslie Wilhelm
Rated Capacity: 40 Males

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

234 Recovery Road
Grantsville, MD 21535
301-895-5669

Meadow Mountain Youth Center opened as a forestry camp for boys in June, 1958 and reopened as Meadow Mountain Youth Center in October, 1984. The Center offers an intensive out-patient program providing youth with at least nine hours of drug treatment weekly, which includes Seven Challenges group therapy. The facility also provides CHALLENGE, DJS' behavioral modification program. Additionally, Meadow Mountain houses the Reflections Program which includes a high and low ropes challenge course, an aquatic challenge, and different outdoor activities. In 2013, the Maryland State Department of Education assumed responsibility for the educational program and began providing academic and vocational services. Previously, DJS had provided the educational program including an aquaculture vocational program in which students earned a 60 hour certificate with competencies needed to become an Aquaculture Technician I. The school offers a 12-month schedule that includes six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, as well as individualized programs to prepare students for the GED exam. Youth also receive individual counseling/therapy and psychiatric services if needed.

Placements, FY 2013-2015



Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	70.1%	69.0%	62.3%
White	24.7%	27.6%	27.3%
Hispanic/Other	5.2%	3.4%	10.4%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	6.2%	9.2%	5.2%
15	14.4%	13.8%	15.6%
16	24.7%	28.7%	23.4%
17	44.3%	29.9%	40.3%
18-20	10.3%	18.4%	15.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*97*	*87*	*77*

- *Placement Trends:*
  - Placements decreased 20.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 11.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 31.2% were from Metro Region, and 18.2% were each from Southern Region and Baltimore City.
  - There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Second Degree Assault (18.2%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (28.6%) and Misdemeanor Property offenses (18.2%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 28.5% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 27.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS decreased 6.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 10.4% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 63.4% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 1.2% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 35.4% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.
- *Utilization Rate:*
  - Meadow Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate for FY 2015 was 69.0% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.8%
Carjacking	1.3%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	9.1%
Deadly Weapon	2.6%
Disturbing the Peace	1.3%
First Degree Assault	1.3%
Handgun Violation	2.6%
Malicious Destruction	2.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.6%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.9%
Narcotics Possession	11.7%
Other/Missing[1]	5.2%
Resisting Arrest	1.3%
Robbery	6.5%
Second Degree Assault	18.2%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	2.6%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.7%
Trespassing	1.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	6.5%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**14.3%**
Felony	**13.0%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	2.6%
- Drugs	3.9%
- Unspecified	6.5%
Misdemeanor	**67.5%**
- Person-to-Person	28.6%
- Property	18.2%
- Drugs	11.7%
- Unspecified	9.1%
Ordinance Offenses	**2.6%**
Citations	**1.3%**
CINS	**1.3%**
Total Placements	77

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	21.6%	14.9%	18.2%
Reg-II - Central	20.6%	16.1%	14.3%
Reg-III - Western	0.0%	1.1%	1.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	10.3%	9.2%	16.9%
Reg-V - Southern	17.5%	26.4%	18.2%
Reg-VI - Metro	29.9%	32.2%	31.2%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*97*	*87*	*77*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Savage Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Staff Secure - Region III - Western

164 Freedom Lane
Lonaconing, MD 21539
301-463-2244

Superintendent: Todd Foote
Rated Capacity: 36 Males

The success of the first forestry camp for boys at Green Ridge prompted the General Assembly to appropriate funds for additional facilities in 1956 (Chapter 98, Acts of 1956). Lonaconing Forestry Camp for Boys opened in December 1957 and was charged with the rehabilitation of adjudicated males 14-18 years of age. The Camp was renamed Savage Mountain Youth Center in 1977. In December 1999, the Center closed but was reopened in April 2001. Until June 2013, DJS operated the Savage Mountain School that provided regular classroom instruction, GED preparation, and an automotive vocational program in which students earned a 60-hour certificate listing the automotive competencies learned. As of June 2013, the Maryland State Department of Education assumed responsibility for the educational program and began providing academic and vocational services. The school offers a 12-month schedule that includes six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills, as well as individualized programs that prepare students for successful completion of the GED.

Placements, FY 2013-2015



Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	86.7%	83.5%	75.4%
White	4.8%	9.4%	15.4%
Hispanic/Other	8.6%	7.1%	9.2%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	7.6%	10.6%	6.2%
15	25.7%	17.6%	16.9%
16	26.7%	34.1%	36.9%
17	29.5%	29.4%	27.7%
18-20	10.5%	8.2%	12.3%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*105*	*85*	*65*

- *Placement Trends:*
  - Placements decreased 38.1% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 23.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 47.7% were from Metro Region, 21.5% were from Southern Region and 20.0% were from Baltimore City.
  - There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Robbery (18.5%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (26.2%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (24.6%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 38.5% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 31.5% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 0.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 7.1% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 73.0% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 0.0% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 27.0% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.
- *Utilization Rate:*
  - Savage Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate for FY 2015 was 60.3% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	3.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	7.7%
Carjacking	1.5%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	9.2%
Deadly Weapon	4.6%
Disturbing the Peace	1.5%
First Degree Assault	0.0%
Handgun Violation	3.1%
Malicious Destruction	4.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	6.2%
Narcotics Possession	4.6%
Other/Missing[1]	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	18.5%
Second Degree Assault	15.4%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.4%
Trespassing	1.5%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.1%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**26.2%**
Felony	**15.4%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	3.1%
- Drugs	6.2%
- Unspecified	6.2%
Misdemeanor	**58.5%**
- Person-to-Person	24.6%
- Property	23.1%
- Drugs	4.6%
- Unspecified	6.2%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*65*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	24.8%	25.9%	20.0%
Reg-II - Central	9.5%	14.1%	7.7%
Reg-III - Western	1.9%	1.2%	1.5%
Reg-IV - Eastern	8.6%	14.1%	1.5%
Reg-V - Southern	19.0%	11.8%	21.5%
Reg-VI - Metro	35.2%	32.9%	47.7%
Out-of-State	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*105*	*85*	*65*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Victor Cullen Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: James Washington
Rated Capacity: 48 Males

Hardware Secure - Region III - Western

6000 Cullen Drive
Sabillasville, MD 21780
301-739-7543

The Victor Cullen Center (VCC) was originally a sanatorium for tuberculosis patients named after the physician in charge of the institution, Dr. Victor F. Cullen. In July 2007, VCC reopened under state administration as a regional committed treatment center. VCC is a secure facility for delinquent males primarily serving youth ages 15-18 in a six- to nine-month treatment program for mental health issues and/or substance abuse. Presently, DJS oversees the treatment/residential programs while the Maryland State Board of Education oversees the education program. The Center implements CHALLENGE, a behavioral management program that establishes structure for an environment of respect and fairness conducive to treatment and rehabilitation. This includes reinforcing appropriate coping behaviors, providing group interventions in community problem-solving and pro-social skills, and offering opportunities to expand vocational and educational competencies. Behavioral health services include individual, group and family psychotherapy, psychiatric services including medication assessment and management, and emergency psychiatric/crisis intervention. Substance abuse services are also provided on multiple intensity levels dependent upon the youth's assessed need.

Placements, FY 2013-2015



Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	86.5%	91.0%	80.8%
White	3.6%	6.3%	9.0%
Hispanic/Other	9.9%	2.7%	10.3%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
14	5.4%	3.6%	0.0%
15	15.3%	21.6%	21.8%
16	20.7%	26.1%	34.6%
17	39.6%	27.9%	34.6%
18-20	18.9%	19.8%	9.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*111*	*111*	*78*

- *Placement Trends:*
  - Placements decreased 29.7% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 29.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 37.2% were from Metro Region, 28.2% were from Baltimore City and 12.8% were from Central Region.
  - The 1 placement from Out-of-State was from Washington D.C.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Robbery (23.1%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Crimes of Violence Felony offenses (35.9%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (21.8%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 21.2% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 18.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 9.8% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 16.0% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 69.6% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 18.5% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 12.0% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.
- *Utilization Rate:*
  - Victor Cullen Center's utilization rate for FY 2015 was 77.3% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	5.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	9.0%
Carjacking	2.6%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	2.6%
Deadly Weapon	2.6%
Disturbing the Peace	1.3%
First Degree Assault	2.6%
Handgun Violation	1.3%
Malicious Destruction	2.6%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	6.4%
Other/Missing[1]	1.3%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	23.1%
Second Degree Assault	14.1%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.7%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.8%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**35.9%**
Felony	**11.5%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	9.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.6%
Misdemeanor	**51.3%**
- Person-to-Person	21.8%
- Property	20.5%
- Drugs	5.1%
- Unspecified	3.8%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**1.3%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*78*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	30.6%	27.9%	28.2%
Reg-II - Central	12.6%	9.0%	12.8%
Reg-III - Western	1.8%	4.5%	1.3%
Reg-IV - Eastern	2.7%	5.4%	10.3%
Reg-V - Southern	12.6%	10.8%	9.0%
Reg-VI - Metro	39.6%	40.5%	37.2%
Out-of-State	0.0%	1.8%	1.3%
Total Placements	*111*	*111*	*78*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

William Donald Schaefer House (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Johnitha McNair
Rated Capacity: 19 Males

Staff Secure - Region I - Baltimore City

907 Druid Park Lake Drive
Baltimore, MD 21217
410-230-3193

Opened in 1992, William Donald Schaefer House is situated across from Baltimore City's Druid Hill Park. It provides services to males ages 14-17 and is accredited by the Maryland Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration and certified by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. Substance abuse treatment using the evidence-based *Seven Challenges* program is provided in a small, nurturing environment with intensive individual and group counseling daily. Youth attend alcohol and drug education groups five days a week, and health education classes given by the program's registered nurse. They also attend school five days per week, six hours per day, overseen by the Maryland State Board of Education. Youth may earn credits to be transferred to their home schools upon release or prepare for the GED exam. They participate in community service projects and work with the teachers on skill-building and job preparation. Once a youth has completed residential treatment, he graduates to an intensive community aftercare program to maintain the support network necessary for a drug and alcohol free life.

Placements, FY 2013-2015



Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	52.9%	75.0%	67.6%
White	41.2%	19.4%	23.5%
Hispanic/Other	5.9%	5.6%	8.8%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	9.8%	5.6%	5.9%
15	19.6%	19.4%	14.7%
16	33.3%	38.9%	58.8%
17	37.3%	36.1%	20.6%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*51*	*36*	*34*

- *Placement Trends:*
  - Placements decreased 33.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 5.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 38.2% were from Metro Region, 26.5% were from Eastern Region and 14.7% were from Baltimore City.
  - There were no juveniles from Out-of-State.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Theft Misdemeanor (14.7%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Property offenses (29.4%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (23.5%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP decreased 20.5% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 14.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS increased 28.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 6.9% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 84.8% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 9.1% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 6.1% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.
- *Utilization Rate:*
  - William Donald Schaefer House's utilization rate for FY 2015 was 63.2% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	0.0%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.8%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	8.8%
Deadly Weapon	2.9%
Disturbing the Peace	2.9%
First Degree Assault	2.9%
Handgun Violation	2.9%
Malicious Destruction	5.9%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.9%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.9%
Narcotics Possession	11.8%
Other/Missing[1]	2.9%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	11.8%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	5.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	14.7%
Trespassing	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	11.8%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**2.9%**
Felony	**14.7%**
- Person-to-Person	0.0%
- Property	8.8%
- Drugs	2.9%
- Unspecified	2.9%
Misdemeanor	**82.4%**
- Person-to-Person	23.5%
- Property	29.4%
- Drugs	11.8%
- Unspecified	17.6%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**0.0%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*34*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	15.7%	19.4%	14.7%
Reg-II - Central	13.7%	11.1%	0.0%
Reg-III - Western	5.9%	8.3%	8.8%
Reg-IV - Eastern	27.5%	13.9%	26.5%
Reg-V - Southern	7.8%	13.9%	11.8%
Reg-VI - Metro	25.5%	33.3%	38.2%
Out-of-State	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*51*	*36*	*34*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Silver Oak Academy (Private Provider)

Program Director: Kevin McLeod
Rated Capacity: 96 Males

Staff Secure

999 Crouse Mill Road
Keymar, Maryland 21757
410-775-1745

Silver Oak Academy (SOA) is a private residential program owned and operated by Rite of Passage, Inc. SOA is an achievement-based program designed to highlight and develop positive pro-social skills and activities to assist students in transitioning to the community and being successful. The basis of achievement is measured through nine separate program elements. Silver Oak is privately owned and provides a residential placement option for youth referred by DJS. These students range in age from 14-18. Vocational training services include: food service & hospitality management, carpentry/electrical, construction/masonry, cosmetology/barbering, and electronics. SOA has a large campus that includes a year-round high school approved by Maryland State Department of Education, a competition gym (with wrestling and weight rooms), outdoor competition fields, and a running track. During FY 2014, the capacity at Silver Oak increased from 48 to 96.

Placements, FY 2013-2015



Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	89.6%	90.2%	85.1%
White	6.0%	6.1%	9.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.5%	3.7%	5.3%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	4.5%	2.4%	3.2%
15	19.4%	28.0%	13.8%
16	29.9%	39.0%	24.5%
17	44.8%	29.3%	47.9%
18-20	1.5%	1.2%	10.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*67*	*82*	*94*

- *Placement Trends:*
  - Placements increased 40.3% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 14.6% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Region of Residence (FY 2015):*
  - 29.8% were from Metro Region, 23.4% were from Central Region and 20.2% were from Baltimore City.
  - The 1 placement from Out-of-State was from Washington D.C.
- *Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - The most common offense type for juveniles placed in FY 2015 was Robbery (18.1%).
- *Offense Category (FY 2015):*
  - The two most common offense categories were Misdemeanor Property offenses (28.7%) and Misdemeanor Person-to-Person offenses (27.7%).
  - *See the Terms and Concepts section for an explanation of felony and misdemeanor as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.*
- *Average Daily Population:*
  - ADP increased 29.9% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and decreased 0.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Average Length of Stay:*
  - The average LOS decreased 3.8% between FY 2013 and FY 2015 and increased 4.3% between FY 2014 and FY 2015.
- *Completion Status:*
  - 55.7% of releases in FY 2015 were considered successful.
  - 37.5% of FY 2015 releases were considered unsuccessful.
  - 6.8% of releases in FY 2015 were transferred to another program.
- *Utilization Rate:*
  - Silver Oak's utilization rate for FY 2015 was 63.3% (based on the facility's rated capacity).

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Adjudicated Offense	FY 2015
Offense Type	
Arson	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.1%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	8.5%
Carjacking	0.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	3.2%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	6.4%
First Degree Assault	2.1%
Handgun Violation	1.1%
Malicious Destruction	3.2%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.3%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.1%
Other/Missing[1]	3.2%
Resisting Arrest	4.3%
Robbery	18.1%
Second Degree Assault	11.7%
Sex Offense	0.0%
Theft Felony	4.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	17.0%
Trespassing	3.2%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.3%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	**25.5%**
Felony	**10.6%**
- Person-to-Person	1.1%
- Property	6.4%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.2%
Misdemeanor	**62.8%**
- Person-to-Person	27.7%
- Property	28.7%
- Drugs	2.1%
- Unspecified	4.3%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.0%**
Citations	**1.1%**
CINS	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*94*

[1] Includes: Alcohol Beverage Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Bomb Threat, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals (misd.), Destructive Devices, Escape (2nd degree), False Report, Fraud (misd.), Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Unspecified Felony, and Verbal Threat Extortion.

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2013-2015

Region	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Reg-I - Baltimore City	32.8%	23.2%	20.2%
Reg-II - Central	9.0%	17.1%	23.4%
Reg-III - Western	6.0%	1.2%	8.5%
Reg-IV - Eastern	6.0%	8.5%	4.3%
Reg-V - Southern	11.9%	24.4%	12.8%
Reg-VI - Metro	31.3%	24.4%	29.8%
Out-of-State	3.0%	1.2%	1.1%
Total Placements	*67*	*82*	*94*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2013-2015



Releases by Completion Status[1], FY 2015



[1] Cases labeled as 'other' were manually checked including case worker notes. Release completion status is based on case worker determination.

* Juveniles transferred to another program

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Community-Based Family Therapy Programs for Committed & Probation Youth

DJS uses research-supported, community-based family therapy programs to address the needs of youth, thereby reducing the likelihood of recidivism, and to reduce out-of-home placements. Evidence-Based Services (EBS) constitute those designated as "model" programs by Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development (Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence at the University of Colorado Boulder) and currently include Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Multidimensional Treatment Foster Care - Adolescent (MTFC-A). These services are family-based therapeutic models shown to be effective with youth involved with the juvenile justice system. DJS primarily targets FFT and MST for juveniles on probation or aftercare supervision in the community and utilizes MTFC-A for committed youth. In addition, Family Centered Treatment (FCT), provided by the Institute for Family Centered Services, Inc., is a family preservation model of in-home treatment, which helps families to learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns. FCT is also utilized with committed and probation youth.

Placements and ADP by Program, FY 2015

	EBS Providers	County / Region	Place.	ADP
FFT	Center for Children, Inc.	An. Arund.	93	22.6
		Calvert	12	3.9
		Charles	51	13.7
		St. Mary's	38	10.7
	VisionQuest National	Balt. City	141	40.2
		Balt. Co.	7	1.4
		Carroll	14	3.9
		Harford	13	2.7
		Howard	19	6.0
		East. Shore	50	13.0
		Mont.	32	11.0
		Pr. George's	63	16.7
MST	Community Counseling and Mentoring Service, Inc.	Mont.	10	2.8
		Pr. George's	40	11.8
	Community Solutions, Inc.	Balt. Co.	28	13.2
	Way Station, Inc.	Frederick	14	4.1
		Washington	5	1.3
MTFC	Community Solutions, Inc.	Balt. Co.	2	0.9
FCT	Institute for Family Centered Services (IFCS), Inc.	Balt. City	58	23.1
		Balt. Co.	26	13.2
		Carroll	18	6.9
		Harford	6	1.7
		Howard	8	4.0
		Allegany	11	3.8
		Frederick	20	7.2
		Washington	28	8.8
		Cecil	5	1.4
		Dorchester	2	0.7
		Queen	2	0.8
		Talbot	1	0.2
		Wicomico	25	5.4
		An. Arund.	7	4.0
		Calvert	16	9.0
		Charles	17	6.2
		St. Mary's	16	9.2
		Mont.	31	14.6
		Pr. George's	55	29.4
	Total Placements	*All shown*	*984*	*329.4*

- *Community-Based Family Therapy Program Placements (FY 2015):*
  - 54.2% of placements were to FFT.
  - 9.9% of placements were to MST.
  - 0.2% of placements were to MTFC.
  - 35.8% of placements were to FCT.
- *Juveniles Placed (FY 2015):*
  - At the time of placement to the Community-Based Family Therapy Programs, 53.9% of juveniles were under probation, 39.0% were on aftercare supervision, 3.5% were under pre-court supervision, 2.0% were under administrative, community detention, interstate compact, intake, and investigation combined. The remaining 1.6% were diversion cases without supervision.
- *Most Common Offense Type (FY 2015):*
  - FFT was Second Degree Assault (24.0%).
  - MST was Second Degree Assault (32.0%).
  - MTFC was Narcotics Possession (50.0%) and Second Degree Assault (50.0%).
  - FCT was Second Degree Assault (26.4%).
- *Average Length of Stay (FY 2015):*
  - ALOS was highest for MTFC and lowest for FFT.
- *Average Daily Population (FY 2015):*
  - ADP was similar for FFT and FCT and lowest for MTFC.
- *Completion Status According to Program Specific Criteria (FY 2015):*
  - 72.6% of juveniles discharged from FFT* completed the program.
  - 79.0% of juveniles discharged from MST* completed the program.
  - One of the two juveniles discharged from MTFC* completed the program.
  - 60.7% of juveniles discharged from FCT* completed the program.

**Note that these percentages reflect cases discharged within therapist control.*

Placements by Region of Residence, FY 2015

	FFT	MST	MTFC	FCT
Reg I-Balt. City	26.5%	0.0%	0.0%	16.5%
Reg II-Central	9.9%	28.9%	100.0%	16.5%
Reg III-Western	0.0%	19.6%	0.0%	16.8%
Reg IV-E. Shore	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	9.9%
Reg V-Southern	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	15.9%
Reg VI-Metro	17.8%	51.5%	0.0%	24.4%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*533*	*97*	*2*	*352*

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Placements by Offense, FY 2015

Most Serious Offense	FFT	MST	MTFC	FCT
Offense Type				
Arson	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.9%	6.2%	0.0%	4.0%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.4%	5.2%	0.0%	2.8%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	2.1%	0.0%	2.6%
Disturbing the Peace	5.3%	2.1%	0.0%	4.8%
First Degree Assault	1.3%	2.1%	0.0%	0.9%
Handgun Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%	4.1%	0.0%	7.4%
Manslaughter	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.6%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
Narcotics Possession	7.3%	5.2%	50.0%	6.0%
Other/Missing[1]	4.9%	4.1%	0.0%	3.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Robbery	7.3%	8.2%	0.0%	7.4%
Second Degree Assault	24.0%	32.0%	50.0%	26.4%
Sex Offense	3.2%	2.1%	0.0%	3.4%
Theft Felony	1.3%	3.1%	0.0%	1.1%
Theft Misdemeanor	17.1%	10.3%	0.0%	16.5%
Trespassing	0.9%	6.2%	0.0%	1.4%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	6.0%	2.1%	0.0%	5.1%
Offense Category				
Crime of Violence[2]	**13.3%**	**15.5%**	**0.0%**	**11.1%**
Felony	**10.1%**	**11.3%**	**0.0%**	**8.5%**
- Person-to-Person	1.7%	2.1%	0.0%	1.4%
- Property	3.6%	3.1%	0.0%	2.0%
- Drugs	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
- Unspecified	2.4%	6.2%	0.0%	3.1%
Misdemeanor	**74.9%**	**72.2%**	**100.0%**	**79.0%**
- Person-to-Person	35.3%	40.2%	50.0%	39.8%
- Property	25.5%	24.7%	0.0%	27.8%
- Drugs	7.1%	5.2%	50.0%	6.0%
- Unspecified	6.9%	2.1%	0.0%	5.4%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.2%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**1.4%**
Citations	**0.2%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
CINS	**1.3%**	**1.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Interstate Compact Missing	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Total Placements	*533*	*97*	*2*	*352*

[1] Includes: BB Gun/Pellet Gun, False Report, Fraud Misdemeanor, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Status Offenses, Tampering, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony

[2] See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence

Note: EBS data comes from the Institute for Innovation and Implementation's EBS Database, except for offense data that comes from ASSIST. The source for all FCT data is ASSIST.

Placements by Demographics, FY 2015

Demographics	FFT	MST	MTFC	FCT
Race/Ethnicity				
Black	71.1%	68.0%	100.0%	71.0%
White	19.3%	15.5%	0.0%	23.6%
Hispanic/Other	9.6%	16.5%	0.0%	5.4%
Sex				
Female	25.0%	21.6%	50.0%	19.0%
Male	75.0%	78.4%	50.0%	81.0%
Age				
11 and under	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
12	1.5%	1.0%	0.0%	2.0%
13	3.8%	11.3%	0.0%	3.7%
14	10.9%	11.3%	0.0%	8.5%
15	21.0%	26.8%	0.0%	21.0%
16	25.1%	32.0%	50.0%	23.9%
17	28.1%	17.5%	50.0%	30.7%
18-20	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*533*	*97*	*2*	*352*

Average Daily Population and Average Length of Stay, FY 2015



Successful Completions at Discharge*, FY 2015



* Each program has its own measure of completion.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to this data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Section V:
Recidivism Rates

Artwork created by DJS youth on display at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center's new art studio. A Mother's Love Foundation in conjunction with the Department of Juvenile Services and The Circuit Court of Baltimore City Juvenile Division made the opening of this studio possible.

Measuring Recidivism Rates

Measuring recidivism for juvenile offenders is a vital part of program evaluation, and is an essential part of understanding the effectiveness of interventions to address delinquency. Though other outcomes are also important to study, including education, employment, substance abuse, and other non-offense-related outcomes, recidivism remains a key measure of success for juvenile justice programs.

Post-Commitment Recidivism

DJS has undertaken and published annual recidivism reports for many years, which focused on youth returning from committed out-of-home placements. These studies have been refined and expanded over the years to encompass all out-of-home committed programs (from foster placements to secure confinement), to include information on both juvenile and adult reoffending, and to show three levels of recidivating: a new alleged offense, whether that new offense resulted in conviction, and whether a new out-of-home placement resulted. Youth are followed for three years from release, and results are shown by year, level of recidivism, demographics, county, program type, and by individual program. It is important to note that although rates are presented by committed program, recidivism is affected by more than just the quality of the program. The quality of aftercare supervision after a youth is released, the community and/or family to which youth return, local economic opportunities, and other factors beyond the Department's control can all affect outcomes.

Revised Recidivism Methodology

Beginning with this Guide, recidivism methodology has been refined in two ways: 1. The date used to report the event is now based on the date of offense (for juvenile offenses) or arrest (for adult charges), rather than the date of any resulting court decision or placement. For example: a new offense in the first year after release, where the charge was not adjudicated until the second year, is counted as a first-year adjudication. In prior editions, the date of the court action or placement was used for reporting recidivism beyond the offense level. 2. Only misdemeanor and felony offenses are now counted for recidivism. Technical violations, citations or other non-delinquent referrals are not counted. This Guide includes a five-year chart using the revised methodology for all years to show the long-term trend.

Probation Recidivism

Committed out-of-home placements make up a relatively small portion of the cases managed by the Department, so in recent years, DJS expanded the annual recidivism study to capture youth on probation. This cohort includes all youth newly placed under DJS supervision by a juvenile court, most often under a probation order, though occasionally youth are placed under DJS in-home supervision under a commitment order. These cases are included in the study. Recidivism events are tracked from the date of the start of supervision for up to three years, regardless of how long a youth remains under supervision. Cases supervised in-home under the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) program are included in this study group.

Recidivism Definition

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with one measure. Therefore, consistent with other studies, DJS focuses on several measures, including subsequent juvenile and/or criminal involvement of youth. Because some youth may age out of juvenile court jurisdiction during the recidivism follow-up period, it is important to include information from the adult criminal justice system, and to report both juvenile and adult recidivism rates. For purposes of these recidivism studies, a new arrest includes all new delinquent or criminal offenses. This would be either a felony or a misdemeanor offense. Status offenses, traffic citations, ordinance, and violations of probation not including a new delinquent offense are not counted in recidivism rates. It is important to note that only those new adjudications, convictions, commitments, and incarcerations that stem from a new arrest are included. Those stemming from offenses that occurred prior to the probation or commitment episode studied would not be counted. All recidivism rates are calculated at the youth level, rather than the case level. If a youth is found to have recidivated in both the juvenile and adult systems, the recidivism event is counted once. It is, however, possible for a youth to show up in both the probation and committed study cohorts. The following chart includes data definitions used to analyze recidivism rates.

Cohort	Definition	Clock Starts	Assigned to	Further Breakdown/ Summary
Probation	Youth with a first-time probation disposition in FY, and youth committed to in-home supervision in FY, who had not previously been placed on probation or committed to DJS	Date of Probation Disposition or Probation Start Date	Region of Jurisdiction of Case Manager	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, VPI, Assessed Risk
Committed	Youth released from committed program in FY	Date of final release, excluding transfers	Final program from which youth was released	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Program Type, Program, Assessed Risk

Recidivism	Definition	Date Used	Includes	Excludes	Data Source
Juvenile Arrest	Subsequent offense referred to DJS	Offense date within follow-up period	Felony or misdemeanor referred to DJS	Excludes violations of probation or aftercare not involving a new delinquent offense. Excludes CINS referrals, citations, local ordinance violations, arrests in other states, arrests for offenses occurring prior to release, and arrests diverted by police and not referred to DJS.	DJS ASSIST
Adult Arrest	Adult arrest	Arrest date within follow-up period	All Maryland arrests under adult court jurisdiction	Excludes violations of probation or parole, alcohol citation, civil citations, arrests outside of Maryland, and Federal holds.	CJIS
Juvenile Adjudication	Sustained delinquent adjudication included in reoffense	Offense date within follow-up period	Sustained and adjudicated offenses	Excludes continued cases	DJS ASSIST
Adult Conviction	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count	Arrest date within follow-up period	Guilty verdict	Same as adult arrest	CJIS
Juvenile Commitment	Commitment stemming from offense included in reoffense and readjudication	Offense date within follow-up period	New committed admission stemming from reoffense and readjudication, even if commitment order already existed from a prior commitment	Excludes commitments not resulting in out of home placement	DJS ASSIST
Adult Incarceration	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest resulting in a sentence of commitment	Arrest date within follow-up period	Guilty verdict resulting in a sentence of confinement	Same as adult arrest	CJIS

For purposes of this *Data Resource Guide*, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using the below mentioned Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism measures and labeled as:
1. Rearrest 2. Reconviction 3. Reincarceration

Data Sources

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involved the following procedures:

- A list of all youths newly assigned to probation, or released from the DJS committed residential programs is obtained from the Department's ASSIST management information system.
- Master cohort files are created containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, and region of residence. For committed youth, the last program name and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort is also included. Risk level is added using the MCASP assessment completed closest to the committed release date for committed youth and disposition date for probation youth.
- For both committed and probation cohort files, juvenile recidivism events are added from the ASSIST database, including subsequent arrests, adjudications, and commitments. Dates of offense are used to code recidivism events as occurring one, two, and three years after release.
- Adult arrest and court disposition information is obtained from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), using DJS youth name, date of birth, race, and gender as identification or index fields. These records are added to a text file and returned to DJS with the rest of the response data. Name match is as follows: Smith, Gregory will match with Smith, Gregory and Smith Greg. Smith, Greg will match with Smith, Greg and Smith, Gr, but not with Smith, Gregory. DJS youth names are given in full and checked for any abbreviation as Gr or Greg for example.
- Youth who recidivate in both systems are counted only once by the first offense or arrest date during the follow-up period.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases

6-, 12-, 24-, and 36-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2012-2014 Releases, Tracked Through FY 2015

Follow-up Period	FY2012			FY2013			FY2014		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
6 Months	33.8%	12.4%	9.4%	29.9%	12.3%	9.2%	31.3%	12.0%	9.3%
12 Months	47.8%	21.0%	16.3%	46.9%	21.5%	16.8%	45.8%	19.1%	14.7%
24 Months	64.1%	33.9%	28.1%	62.2%	31.9%	25.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	71.4%	40.4%	34.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2014 releases at 12-months were:
  - Rearrest: 45.8%
  - Reconviction: 19.1%
  - Reincarceration: 14.7%
- When comparing the reoffending pattern at 12-months, rates were lowest for FY 2014 releases for all three recidivism measures.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates by Demographics, FY 2014 Releases

Demographics	FY2014 Releases						
	Total	*Rearrests*		*Reconvictions*		*Reincarcerations*	
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	921	462	50.2%	194	21.1%	152	16.5%
White	345	126	36.5%	52	15.1%	37	10.7%
Hispanic/Other	78	27	34.6%	11	14.1%	8	10.3%
Sex							
Male	1,128	544	48.2%	241	21.4%	188	16.7%
Female	216	71	32.9%	16	7.4%	9	4.2%
Age at Release							
11 and Under	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
12	6	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%
13	15	9	60.0%	3	20.0%	2	13.3%
14	63	33	52.4%	16	25.4%	14	22.2%
15	181	102	56.4%	47	26.0%	34	18.8%
16	306	155	50.7%	71	23.2%	41	13.4%
17	361	152	42.1%	64	17.7%	55	15.2%
18 or older	412	160	38.8%	55	13.3%	51	12.4%
Total	***1,344***	***615***	***45.8%***	***257***	***19.1%***	***197***	***14.7%***

Note to Readers:
Throughout this recidivism section, analysis of trends information appearing in bullets is based on the actual data and not the rounded figures presented in the graphs/tables.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - Black youth had the highest rates for all recidivism measures.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2012-2014 Releases, by Region and County

Region/ County	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
R-I - Balt.City	**353**	**56.4%**	**24.1%**	**19.8%**	**325**	**60.6%**	**25.5%**	**23.1%**	**258**	**63.6%**	**26.0%**	**20.2%**
Baltimore City	353	56.4%	24.1%	19.8%	325	60.6%	25.5%	23.1%	258	63.6%	26.0%	20.2%
R-II - Central	**258**	**50.0%**	**24.0%**	**16.7%**	**212**	**51.9%**	**26.4%**	**15.6%**	**192**	**51.6%**	**24.5%**	**16.7%**
Baltimore Co.	160	51.9%	23.1%	15.6%	120	60.8%	30.0%	15.0%	100	57.0%	25.0%	19.0%
Carroll	41	48.8%	31.7%	24.4%	44	34.1%	25.0%	13.6%	26	50.0%	26.9%	19.2%
Harford	35	31.4%	11.4%	11.4%	29	51.7%	24.1%	24.1%	43	37.2%	20.9%	9.3%
Howard	22	68.2%	36.4%	18.2%	19	36.8%	10.5%	10.5%	23	56.5%	26.1%	17.4%
R-III - Western	**132**	**44.7%**	**21.2%**	**16.7%**	**124**	**47.6%**	**23.4%**	**15.3%**	**116**	**42.2%**	**19.0%**	**11.2%**
Allegany	28	57.1%	21.4%	14.3%	21	33.3%	23.8%	23.8%	26	42.3%	19.2%	15.4%
Frederick	44	47.7%	25.0%	18.2%	42	57.1%	21.4%	11.9%	35	28.6%	5.7%	2.9%
Garrett	4	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%	12	66.7%	33.3%	25.0%
Washington	56	37.5%	19.6%	17.9%	48	50.0%	22.9%	12.5%	43	46.5%	25.6%	11.6%
R-IV - Eastern	**159**	**40.9%**	**15.1%**	**13.2%**	**171**	**39.8%**	**16.4%**	**15.8%**	**141**	**45.4%**	**23.4%**	**20.6%**
Caroline	9	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	7	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%
Cecil	24	50.0%	20.8%	20.8%	13	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%	20	50.0%	30.0%	25.0%
Dorchester	6	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	18	27.8%	16.7%	16.7%	14	57.1%	42.9%	35.7%
Kent	5	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	3	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	6	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%
Queen Anne's	9	66.7%	22.2%	22.2%	9	55.6%	11.1%	11.1%	9	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%
Somerset	7	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	14	42.9%	14.3%	7.1%	7	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%
Talbot	6	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10	70.0%	30.0%	30.0%	3	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Wicomico	78	43.6%	12.8%	10.3%	85	35.3%	16.5%	16.5%	56	51.8%	23.2%	21.4%
Worcester	15	33.3%	13.3%	6.7%	14	42.9%	21.4%	21.4%	19	36.8%	10.5%	10.5%
R-V - Southern	**237**	**41.4%**	**17.3%**	**13.1%**	**247**	**46.2%**	**22.3%**	**17.0%**	**244**	**39.3%**	**14.3%**	**10.7%**
Anne Arundel	136	43.4%	18.4%	11.8%	162	46.9%	22.2%	18.5%	150	38.7%	14.0%	8.7%
Calvert	27	33.3%	14.8%	14.8%	17	35.3%	11.8%	0.0%	15	40.0%	13.3%	6.7%
Charles	42	45.2%	16.7%	16.7%	33	51.5%	30.3%	21.2%	41	36.6%	12.2%	12.2%
St. Mary's	32	34.4%	15.6%	12.5%	35	42.9%	20.0%	14.3%	38	44.7%	18.4%	18.4%
R-VI - Metro	**391**	**48.6%**	**21.7%**	**16.4%**	**420**	**39.3%**	**17.9%**	**14.3%**	**369**	**37.1%**	**13.8%**	**11.9%**
Montgomery	144	46.5%	31.3%	23.6%	135	41.5%	23.7%	16.3%	103	50.5%	25.2%	20.4%
Prince George's	247	49.8%	16.2%	12.1%	285	38.2%	15.1%	13.3%	266	32.0%	9.4%	8.6%
Out-of-State	**40**	**27.5%**	**12.5%**	**12.5%**	**29**	**13.8%**	**6.9%**	**3.4%**	**24**	**25.0%**	**8.3%**	**4.2%**
Statewide Total	***1,570***	***47.8%***	***21.0%***	***16.3%***	***1,528***	***46.9%***	***21.5%***	***16.8%***	***1,344***	***45.8%***	***19.1%***	***14.7%***

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore, if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates by Risk Level[1], FY 2014 Releases



[1]There were 17 youth who did not have MCASP Risk Levels.

- Youth with a high risk level had higher recidivism rates for all three measures.

12-month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2010-2014 Releases, Tracked Through FY 2015



- For all three measures, recidivism rates were lowest in FY 2014.

Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases by Program Type

12-month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for Releases[1] by Program Type Summary, FY 2012 - 2014

Total for Each Program Type	FY 2012				FY 2013				FY 2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Foster Care	78	43.6%	16.7%	14.1%	78	44.9%	21.8%	17.9%	79	36.7%	12.7%	8.9%
Group Home	359	45.7%	19.2%	14.5%	331	41.1%	16.6%	12.4%	334	38.0%	19.2%	15.6%
ICFA	183	45.9%	17.5%	13.7%	175	48.0%	21.7%	16.0%	107	40.2%	14.0%	8.4%
Independent Living	38	39.5%	18.4%	15.8%	45	42.2%	22.2%	22.2%	33	33.3%	6.1%	3.0%
RTC	220	42.7%	15.0%	10.9%	200	39.0%	18.5%	16.0%	191	48.7%	18.3%	15.7%
Out-of-State	140	51.4%	26.4%	23.6%	129	56.6%	31.0%	24.0%	112	49.1%	20.5%	18.8%
State-Operated	552	52.2%	25.2%	19.0%	570	51.2%	23.0%	17.7%	488	52.7%	22.1%	15.8%

[1]Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. For example: "Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as In-Home Foster Care. State-Operated includes Silver Oak Academy (a privately-operated facility in Maryland).

- Analyses of trends are presented on the following pages for each specific program type.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Foster Care Releases, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

Treatment Foster Care	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	5	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Board of Child Care	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Children's Home	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Concern	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Foundations for Home & Community	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Greenleaf	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family Ties	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Maple Shade Youth and Family Services	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD - Baltimore	32	19	8	7	24	13	7	7	29	11	3	3
Mentor MD - Comm. Based Services	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Lanham	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD - Salisbury Teens	15	4	1	1	31	14	7	7	23	9	3	3
Multi-Dimensional TFC (Baltimore County)	3	2	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Multi-Dimensional TFC (Montgomery Co.)	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Presley Ridge	9	3	2	1	8	3	1	0	13	3	1	0
PSI Services III	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	5	2	0	0
San Mar	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
Woodbourne Center	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	2	1	1
Treatment Foster Care Total	***75***	***45.3%***	***17.3%***	***14.7%***	***75***	***46.7%***	***22.7%***	***18.7%***	***75***	***36.0%***	***10.7%***	***9.3%***
In-Home Foster Care												
In-Home Foster Care	*3*	*0.0%*	*0.0%*	*0.0%*	*3*	*0.0%*	*0.0%*	*0.0%*	*4*	*50.0%*	*50.0%*	*0.0%*
Foster Care Total	***78***	***43.6%***	***16.7%***	***14.1%***	***78***	***44.9%***	***21.8%***	***17.9%***	***79***	***36.7%***	***12.7%***	***8.9%***

- For total Foster Care releases (including Treatment and In-Home Foster Care) between FY 2012 and FY 2014, rearrest rates decreased by 6.9%, reconviction rates decreased by 4.0%, and reincarceration rates decreased by 5.2%.

Note: Throughout this section, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Group Home Releases, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

Group Home	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
ARC of Washington	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	1	1	1	3	1	1	1
Balt. Adoles. Treatment Guidance Organization	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Board of Child Care	7	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Catoctin Summit Adolescent Program	24	5	1	1	21	5	1	0	30	10	6	6
Cedar Ridge Ministries	18	10	5	2	19	11	3	2	15	7	5	5
Children's Home (GH & Tran.)	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	4	1	1	10	7	6	6
Greentree Adolescent	15	8	2	2	22	12	2	2	13	8	4	3
Hearts and Homes	37	16	8	8	41	11	7	6	51	15	8	5
Karma Academy for Boys	11	4	1	0	9	4	2	0	7	3	3	3
Kent Youth Boys	11	6	4	4	12	5	4	3	11	3	3	2
KEYS	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
MAGIC	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
MD Sheriff's Youth Ranch	9	6	2	0	5	4	2	2	4	2	1	1
Morning Star Youth	49	24	11	7	40	19	9	7	35	13	7	6
Oak Hill House	17	12	6	6	13	3	1	1	12	2	1	1
One Love Group	4	1	1	0	6	1	1	1	7	3	1	1
Our House Youth	22	6	3	3	16	9	4	4	14	5	2	2
Potomac Ridge Crownsville	21	9	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Salem Trust	9	4	1	1	13	6	2	1	17	7	3	2
San Mar - Graff Acad. for Life Readiness	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
San Mar- Jone Bowman	14	3	1	1	4	1	0	0	9	4	0	0
Shining Tree	10	2	0	0	7	4	2	1	7	2	1	1
St Ann's Infant & Maternity Program	1	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	5	1	0	0
TuTTie's Place	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
Way Home - Mt Manor	11	6	3	2	16	5	0	0	14	3	1	1
Group Home Total	***291***	***43.0%***	***18.2%***	***13.7%***	***271***	***39.9%***	***15.5%***	***11.8%***	***266***	***36.8%***	***19.9%***	***17.3%***

- The Group Home Releases table is continued on the next page and trend analyses are presented there.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Group Home Releases, FY 2012 - FY 2014* (Continued)

Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Board of Child Care	13	9	3	3	20	12	4	3	33	14	4	2
Cedar Ridge	7	5	2	2	5	1	0	0	8	3	3	2
Guide	11	7	4	3	10	4	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maple Shade	1	0	0	0	3	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mary's Mount Manor*	14	8	4	2	9	2	1	1	10	4	0	0
Redl House	10	7	2	1	9	5	3	1	8	6	4	2
San Mar - Allegany Girls	9	3	1	1	4	2	1	0	8	2	0	0
San Mar Jack E. Barr	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Therapeutic Group	***68***	***57.4%***	***23.5%***	***17.6%***	***60***	***46.7%***	***21.7%***	***15.0%***	***68***	***42.6%***	***16.2%***	***8.8%***
Total Group Home and TGH	***359***	***45.7%***	***19.2%***	***14.5%***	***331***	***41.1%***	***16.6%***	***12.4%***	***334***	***38.0%***	***19.2%***	***15.6%***

*Hearts and Homes

For total Group Home (including Therapeutic Group Home) releases:

- Between FY 2012 and FY 2014:
  - Rearrest rates decreased 7.7% and reconviction rates remained relatively stable (decreased 0.1%).
  - Reincarceration rates increased 1.1%.
- Between FY 2013 and FY 2014:
  - Rearrest rates decreased 3.1%.
  - Reconviction rates increased 2.5% and reincarceration rates increased 3.2%.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Intermediate Care for Addictions Facility (ICFA) Releases, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

ICFA	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Lois E. Jackson Unit	89	41	16	12	91	37	14	8	78	28	9	7
MTC - Mountain Manor	94	43	16	13	84	47	24	20	29	15	6	2
Total ICFA	***183***	***45.9%***	***17.5%***	***13.7%***	***175***	***48.0%***	***21.7%***	***16.0%***	***107***	***40.2%***	***14.0%***	***8.4%***

For total ICFA releases:

- Between FY 2012 and FY 2014:
  - All measures of recidivism decreased.
  - Rearrest rates decreased 5.7%, reconviction rates decreased 3.5%, and reincarceration rates decreased 5.2%.
- Between FY 2013 and FY 2014:
  - All measures of recidivism decreased.
  - Rearrest rates decreased 7.8%, reconviction rates decreased 7.7%, and reincarceration rates decreased 7.6%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Independent Living Releases, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

Independent Living	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Bay Shore Services, Inc	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Board of Child Care	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Damamli Independent	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Future Bound	2	1	0	0	5	2	2	2	2	0	0	0
Jumoke	1	0	0	0	11	6	3	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD Baltimore	6	2	1	1	8	3	1	1	3	0	0	0
Mentor MD Salisbury	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	1	0	0	4	2	0	0
New Pathways-Independ.	2	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
San Mar - Anderson	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	0	0
Independent Living Total	***15***	***20.0%***	***6.7%***	***6.7%***	***32***	***37.5%***	***18.8%***	***18.8%***	***12***	***16.7%***	***0.0%***	***0.0%***
Alternative Living Units												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	3	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
NCIA -Youth in Transition Program	20	11	6	5	10	7	4	4	21	9	2	1
Alternative Living Units Total	***23***	***52.2%***	***26.1%***	***21.7%***	***13***	***53.8%***	***30.8%***	***30.8%***	***21***	***42.9%***	***9.5%***	***4.8%***
Total Independent Living & Alternative Living Units	***38***	***39.5%***	***18.4%***	***15.8%***	***45***	***42.2%***	***22.2%***	***22.2%***	***33***	***33.3%***	***6.1%***	***3.0%***

For total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units:

- Between FY 2012 and FY 2014, all measures decreased:
  - Rearrest rates decreased 6.1%.
  - Reconviction rates decreased 12.4%.
  - Reincarceration rates decreased 12.8%.
- Between FY 2013 and FY 2014, all measures decreased:
  - Rearrest rates decreased 8.9%.
  - Reconviction rates decreased 16.2%.
  - Reincarceration rates decreased 19.2%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for RTC Releases, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

Residential Treatment Centers (RTC)	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Behav. Hlth-Anne Arundel	3	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Behav. Hlth-East. Shore*	23	10	5	4	14	11	4	3	9	5	4	4
Good Shepherd Center Females	30	11	7	4	22	4	2	2	10	4	1	0
Good Shepherd Center Males	4	1	0	0	6	5	3	3	4	4	1	1
Jefferson School	13	5	1	0	14	8	5	4	5	3	1	1
New Directions Chesapeake	10	2	1	1	6	3	2	2	14	4	2	2
Potomac Ridge	10	4	0	0	9	3	1	0	14	8	3	2
RICA Baltimore	9	3	1	1	7	0	0	0	5	2	1	0
RICA Rockville	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	9	6	3	3	9	2	1	0	11	5	1	1
Villa Maria	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	2	1	0
Woodbourne	19	9	3	3	15	5	1	1	18	7	5	4
Residential Treat. Total	***134***	***41.0%***	***16.4%***	***12.7%***	***103***	***40.8%***	***18.4%***	***14.6%***	***93***	***47.3%***	***21.5%***	***16.1%***
Psychiatric Hospitals												
Behavioral Health Hospital Rockville	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
East. Shore Acute Unit*	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Shepherd Pratt Ellicott City-Adolescent Prog.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Southern MD Hospital Ctr	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spring Grove Hospital Ctr	44	20	5	3	50	18	9	9	39	18	7	7
Springfield Adult	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0	3	1	0	0
Thomas Finan Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Psychiatric Hosp. Total	***48***	***45.8%***	***12.5%***	***8.3%***	***56***	***35.7%***	***17.9%***	***17.9%***	***45***	***46.7%***	***17.8%***	***17.8%***
Diagnostic Units / CEU												
Arrow Child & Family Ministries	19	8	1	0	18	8	5	4	21	13	4	4
Children's Home (Females)	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
RICA Rockville	8	3	1	1	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Woodbourne	11	6	3	2	20	7	3	3	29	15	3	3
Diag. Units/CEU Total	***38***	***44.7%***	***13.2%***	***7.9%***	***41***	***39.0%***	***19.5%***	***17.1%***	***53***	***52.8%***	***13.2%***	***13.2%***
Total RTC	***220***	***42.7%***	***15.0%***	***10.9%***	***200***	***39.0%***	***18.5%***	***16.0%***	***191***	***48.7%***	***18.3%***	***15.7%***

*Potomac Ridge

For RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU between FY 2012 and FY 2014:

- Rearrest rates increased 6.0%, reconviction rates increased 3.3%, and reincarceration rates increased 4.8%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Out-of-State (OOS) Facility Releases, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

Residential Treatment Facility OOS	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
AdvoServ Programs	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Boys Town	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	0	4	3	2	1
Cottonwood Treatment Center	5	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Devereux - Florida	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Devereux - Georgia	4	3	1	1	5	2	2	2	2	0	0	0
Devereux - Pennsylvania	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Keystone Newport News	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Hope Carolinas	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	3	2	2
Palmetto Behav. Health	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Three Rivers - Midland Campus	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
UHS of DE, Inc - Laurel	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3	2	2	4	1	1	1
Residential Treatment Facility	*14*	*42.9%*	*21.4%*	*21.4%*	*16*	*56.3%*	*37.5%*	*31.3%*	*17*	*41.2%*	*29.4%*	*23.5%*
Staff Secure - OOS												
Bennington School	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Canyon State Academy	10	5	1	1	6	3	1	1	4	1	0	0
Clarinda Academy	18	7	4	4	13	7	5	4	12	7	2	2
Cornell Abraxas	3	2	1	1	5	4	1	1	1	1	0	0
Glen Mills Schools	12	5	4	4	11	7	2	0	9	2	0	0
Kid Link - Coastal Harbor Treatment	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Kid Link Network - Foundations for Living	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0
Lakeside Academy	2	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lakeview Neuro Rehabilitation Center	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Natchez Trace Yth Acad.*	12	8	5	4	14	7	4	3	11	7	2	2
Summit Academy	6	4	3	3	9	5	2	1	4	1	0	0
Woodward Academy**	14	10	7	5	14	7	4	4	8	7	5	5
Staff Secure OOS	*80*	*52.5%*	*31.3%*	*27.5%*	*77*	*58.4%*	*29.9%*	*22.1%*	*52*	*51.9%*	*17.3%*	*17.3%*

*Keystone Continuum LLC
**Woodward Youth Corporation DBA

- The Out-of-State Facility Releases table is continued on the next page and trend analyses are presented there.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Out-of-State (OOS) Facility Releases, FY 2012 - FY 2014* (continued)

Hardware Secure - OOS	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
CCS -Turning Point	12	7	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cornell Abraxas	8	2	0	0	16	8	4	2	16	8	5	4
Mid-Atlantic-Luzerne	14	7	4	4	4	1	1	1	12	7	2	2
Mid-Atlantic West.PA	12	8	4	4	10	8	6	6	8	5	2	2
NHS Yth Services, Inc Northwestern Acad	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	2	0	0	7	1	0	0
Hardware Secure OOS Total	*46*	*52.2%*	*19.6%*	*17.4%*	*36*	*52.8%*	*30.6%*	*25.0%*	*43*	*48.8%*	*20.9%*	*18.6%*
Out-of-State Total	*140*	*51.4%*	*26.4%*	*23.6%*	*129*	*56.6%*	*31.0%*	*24.0%*	*112*	*49.1%*	*20.5%*	*18.8%*

Between FY 2012 and FY 2014, for Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, and Hardware Secure:

- Rearrest rates decreased by 2.3%, reconviction rates decreased 5.9% , and reincarceration rates decreased 4.8%.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for State-Operated and Privately Operated Facility Releases, FY 2012 - FY 2014*

State-Operated Facilities	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr.	97	54	29	18	102	54	24	20	70	32	9	4
Green Ridge Yth Ctr.	53	30	21	18	52	26	17	10	48	21	15	12
Green Ridge - Mtn Quest	42	17	7	5	43	24	10	7	36	19	11	8
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr.[1]	12	4	1	0	16	7	2	2	18	7	1	0
Meadow Mountain Yth Ctr.	74	38	18	14	74	39	24	16	66	32	16	11
Savage Mountain Yth Ctr.	76	36	15	15	78	38	19	17	59	32	11	7
Victor Cullen Center	83	51	18	15	100	51	23	21	99	68	26	24
William Donald Schaefer House	52	28	15	11	46	26	8	6	32	19	7	4
Total State-Operated	*489*	*52.8%*	*25.4%*	*19.6%*	*511*	*51.9%*	*24.9%*	*19.4%*	*428*	*53.7%*	*22.4%*	*16.4%*
Privately Operated Facility												
Silver Oak Academy	63	30	15	9	59	27	4	2	60	27	12	7
Total Privately Operated	*63*	*47.6%*	*23.8%*	*14.3%*	*59*	*45.8%*	*6.8%*	*3.4%*	*60*	*45.0%*	*20.0%*	*11.7%*
Total State- and Privately Operated	*552*	*52.2%*	*25.2%*	*19.0%*	*570*	*51.2%*	*23.0%*	*17.7%*	*488*	*52.7%*	*22.1%*	*15.8%*

[1]Includes youth in the committed programs at Waxter and Carter Center

Between FY 2012 and FY 2014, for State-Operated Facility releases including Silver Oak:

- Rearrest rates remained relatively stable (increased 0.5%).
- Reconviction rates decreased 3.1% and reincarceration rates decreased 3.2%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

One, Two, and Three Year Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2012 Releases by Program Type

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest			Reconviction			Reincarceration		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
Foster Care Total	***78***	***43.6%***	***59.0%***	***60.3%***	***16.7%***	***25.6%***	***30.8%***	***14.1%***	***23.1%***	***28.2%***
Treatment Foster Care	75	45.3%	58.7%	60.0%	17.3%	25.3%	30.7%	14.7%	22.7%	28.0%
In-Home Foster Care	3	0.0%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%
Group Home Total	***359***	***45.7%***	***63.8%***	***70.5%***	***19.2%***	***29.8%***	***37.0%***	***14.5%***	***23.4%***	***29.5%***
Group Home	291	43.0%	61.9%	68.7%	18.2%	28.9%	35.7%	13.7%	22.0%	28.2%
Therapeutic Group Home	68	57.4%	72.1%	77.9%	23.5%	33.8%	42.6%	17.6%	29.4%	35.3%
Independent Living Total	***38***	***39.5%***	***50.0%***	***60.5%***	***18.4%***	***28.9%***	***34.2%***	***15.8%***	***28.9%***	***34.2%***
Independent Living	15	20.0%	20.0%	33.3%	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%
Alternative Living Units	23	52.2%	69.6%	78.3%	26.1%	39.1%	43.5%	21.7%	39.1%	43.5%
IFCA Total	***183***	***45.9%***	***60.7%***	***67.2%***	***17.5%***	***29.5%***	***35.0%***	***13.7%***	***23.5%***	***29.0%***
RTC Total	***220***	***42.7%***	***63.2%***	***72.3%***	***15.0%***	***30.0%***	***37.7%***	***10.9%***	***23.6%***	***31.8%***
Residential Treatment Facility	134	41.0%	61.2%	70.9%	16.4%	30.6%	37.3%	12.7%	24.6%	32.1%
Psychiatric Hospital	48	45.8%	64.6%	75.0%	12.5%	31.3%	41.7%	8.3%	25.0%	33.3%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	38	44.7%	68.4%	73.7%	13.2%	26.3%	34.2%	7.9%	18.4%	28.9%
Out-of-State Total	***140***	***51.4%***	***70.0%***	***75.7%***	***26.4%***	***37.9%***	***43.6%***	***23.6%***	***35.0%***	***40.0%***
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	14	42.9%	50.0%	64.3%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%
Staff Secure - OOS	80	52.5%	76.3%	80.0%	31.3%	43.8%	48.8%	27.5%	40.0%	45.0%
Hardware Secure - OOS	46	52.2%	65.2%	71.7%	19.6%	28.3%	37.0%	17.4%	26.1%	32.6%
State-Operated Total	***489***	***52.8%***	***66.5%***	***73.4%***	***25.4%***	***40.3%***	***45.8%***	***19.6%***	***33.3%***	***39.5%***
Backbone Mountain Youth Center	97	55.7%	73.2%	77.3%	29.9%	43.3%	50.5%	18.6%	36.1%	47.4%
Green Ridge Youth Center	53	56.6%	64.2%	71.7%	39.6%	50.9%	52.8%	34.0%	47.2%	50.9%
Green Ridge Mountain Quest	42	40.5%	59.5%	66.7%	16.7%	35.7%	40.5%	11.9%	23.8%	28.6%
J. DeWeese Carter Center[1]	12	33.3%	50.0%	50.0%	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	8.3%	16.7%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	74	51.4%	63.5%	78.4%	24.3%	40.5%	45.9%	18.9%	33.8%	39.2%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	76	47.4%	63.2%	68.4%	19.7%	35.5%	43.4%	19.7%	31.6%	35.5%
Victor Cullen Center	83	61.4%	71.1%	74.7%	21.7%	37.3%	44.6%	18.1%	31.3%	38.6%
William Donald Schaefer House	52	53.8%	67.3%	76.9%	28.8%	42.3%	44.2%	21.2%	32.7%	34.6%
Silver Oak (Privately Operated DJS Youth Only)	***63***	***47.6%***	***63.5%***	***81.0%***	***23.8%***	***39.7%***	***50.8%***	***14.3%***	***33.3%***	***44.4%***
Statewide Total	***1,570***	***47.8%***	***64.1%***	***71.4%***	***21.0%***	***33.9%***	***40.4%***	***16.3%***	***28.1%***	***34.5%***

[1]Includes youth in the committed programs at Waxter and Carter Center

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2012 releases at 1 year and 3 years post release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 47.8% and within 3 years it was 71.4%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 21.0% and within 3 years it was 40.4%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 16.3% and within 3 years it was 34.5%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

One and Two Year Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2013 Releases by Program Type

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest		Reconviction		Reincarceration	
		1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
Foster Care Total	***78***	***44.9%***	***51.3%***	***21.8%***	***25.6%***	***17.9%***	***20.5%***
Treatment Foster Care	75	46.7%	52.0%	22.7%	25.3%	18.7%	20.0%
In-Home Foster Care	3	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%
Group Home Total	***331***	***41.1%***	***56.5%***	***16.6%***	***28.7%***	***12.4%***	***21.8%***
Group Home	271	39.9%	54.6%	15.5%	26.6%	11.8%	21.0%
Therapeutic Group Home	60	46.7%	65.0%	21.7%	38.3%	15.0%	25.0%
Independent Living Total	***45***	***42.2%***	***57.8%***	***22.2%***	***24.4%***	***22.2%***	***24.4%***
Independent Living	32	37.5%	56.3%	18.8%	21.9%	18.8%	21.9%
Alternative Living Units	13	53.8%	61.5%	30.8%	30.8%	30.8%	30.8%
IFCA Total	***175***	***48.0%***	***61.1%***	***21.7%***	***34.3%***	***16.0%***	***26.9%***
RTC Total	***200***	***39.0%***	***58.0%***	***18.5%***	***31.5%***	***16.0%***	***26.5%***
Residential Treatment Facility	103	40.8%	58.3%	18.4%	30.1%	14.6%	23.3%
Psychiatric Hospital	56	35.7%	53.6%	17.9%	25.0%	17.9%	25.0%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	41	39.0%	63.4%	19.5%	43.9%	17.1%	36.6%
Out-of-State Total	***129***	***56.6%***	***69.0%***	***31.0%***	***37.2%***	***24.0%***	***29.5%***
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	16	56.3%	62.5%	37.5%	37.5%	31.3%	31.3%
Staff Secure - OOS	77	58.4%	72.7%	29.9%	36.4%	22.1%	27.3%
Hardware Secure - OOS	36	52.8%	63.9%	30.6%	38.9%	25.0%	33.3%
State-Operated Total	***511***	***51.9%***	***67.3%***	***24.9%***	***34.8%***	***19.4%***	***28.4%***
Backbone Mountain Youth Center	102	52.9%	73.5%	23.5%	32.4%	19.6%	26.5%
Green Ridge Youth Center	52	50.0%	57.7%	32.7%	38.5%	19.2%	25.0%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	43	55.8%	69.8%	23.3%	25.6%	16.3%	18.6%
J. DeWeese Carter Youth Center[1]	16	43.8%	43.8%	12.5%	18.8%	12.5%	12.5%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	74	52.7%	68.9%	32.4%	40.5%	21.6%	31.1%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	78	48.7%	69.2%	24.4%	38.5%	21.8%	34.6%
Victor Cullen Center	100	51.0%	68.0%	23.0%	41.0%	21.0%	38.0%
William Donald Schaefer House	46	56.5%	63.0%	17.4%	21.7%	13.0%	15.2%
Silver Oak (Privately Operated DJS Youth Only)	***59***	***45.8%***	***69.5%***	***6.8%***	***22.0%***	***3.4%***	***15.3%***
Statewide Total	***1,528***	***46.9%***	***62.2%***	***21.5%***	***31.9%***	***16.8%***	***25.6%***

[1]Includes youth in the committed programs at Waxter and Carter Center

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2013 releases at 1-year with 2-years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 46.9% and within 2 years it was 62.2%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 21.5% and within 2 years it was 31.9%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 16.8% and within 2 years it was 25.6%.

Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Youth with First-Time Probation Dispositions

6-, 12-, 24-, and 36-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2012-2014 New Probation Youth, Tracked Through FY 2015*

Follow-up Period	FY 2012			FY 2013			FY 2014		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*
6 Months	25.7%	9.6%	4.4%	27.0%	12.1%	5.1%	28.6%	11.8%	4.2%
12 Months	38.1%	16.0%	7.8%	39.3%	18.5%	8.1%	41.2%	18.3%	7.1%
24 Months	52.2%	25.8%	14.6%	52.2%	25.6%	13.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	59.3%	30.9%	18.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2014 youth at 12-months were:
  - Rearrest: 41.2%
  - Reconviction: 18.3%
  - Incarceration: 7.1%
- Comparing the 12-month rates for FY 2012 and FY 2014, rearrest rates increased 3.1%, reconviction rates increased 2.3%, and incarceration rates remained relatively stable (decreased 0.7%).

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates by Demographics, FY 2014 New Probation Youth*

Demographics	FY 2014						
	Total	*Rearrest*		*Reconviction*		*Incarceration**	
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	1,546	735	47.5%	339	21.9%	122	7.9%
White	666	194	29.1%	78	11.7%	36	5.4%
Hispanic/Other	127	34	26.8%	12	9.4%	7	5.5%
Sex							
Male	1,812	791	43.7%	361	19.9%	144	7.9%
Female	527	172	32.6%	68	12.9%	21	4.0%
Age at Disposition							
11 and Under	26	10	38.5%	5	19.2%	2	7.7%
12	61	31	50.8%	15	24.6%	7	11.5%
13	169	76	45.0%	35	20.7%	13	7.7%
14	301	139	46.2%	69	22.9%	24	8.0%
15	501	243	48.5%	115	23.0%	42	8.4%
16	559	249	44.5%	110	19.7%	38	6.8%
17	550	184	33.5%	74	13.5%	33	6.0%
18 or older	172	31	18.0%	6	3.5%	6	3.5%
Total	*2,339*	*963*	*41.2%*	*429*	*18.3%*	*165*	*7.1%*

Note: The probation cohort includes youth placed on probation (not youth released from probation) and committed community youth. Therefore, recidivism is measured starting at the disposition date.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above.
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - Black youth had the highest rates for all measures.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2012-2014 New Probation Youth, by Region and County*

Region/ County	FY 2012				FY 2013				FY 2014			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incar-ceration*	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incar-ceration*	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incar-ceration*
R-I - Balt.City	**562**	**51.4%**	**25.1%**	**11.9%**	**526**	**52.5%**	**26.6%**	**11.2%**	**554**	**56.9%**	**26.5%**	**9.7%**
Baltimore City	562	51.4%	25.1%	11.9%	526	52.5%	26.6%	11.2%	554	56.9%	26.5%	9.7%
R-II - Central	**735**	**37.0%**	**14.4%**	**4.5%**	**652**	**38.0%**	**20.9%**	**7.1%**	**614**	**40.1%**	**19.4%**	**5.9%**
Baltimore County	415	39.8%	14.5%	2.9%	346	40.5%	21.4%	6.6%	367	42.5%	18.5%	4.4%
Carroll	56	32.1%	10.7%	7.1%	71	25.4%	12.7%	7.0%	59	22.0%	16.9%	8.5%
Harford	150	30.7%	14.7%	6.7%	120	34.2%	20.0%	5.8%	75	40.0%	16.0%	4.0%
Howard	114	37.7%	15.8%	6.1%	115	42.6%	25.2%	9.6%	113	41.6%	25.7%	10.6%
R-III - Western	**260**	**33.8%**	**15.4%**	**7.3%**	**194**	**39.2%**	**16.5%**	**7.7%**	**192**	**40.1%**	**17.7%**	**7.3%**
Allegany	44	50.0%	15.9%	13.6%	30	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%	38	44.7%	26.3%	13.2%
Frederick	60	40.0%	20.0%	6.7%	60	43.3%	20.0%	11.7%	55	30.9%	14.5%	7.3%
Garrett	29	17.2%	6.9%	6.9%	25	24.0%	8.0%	4.0%	10	40.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Washington	127	29.1%	15.0%	5.5%	79	40.5%	15.2%	5.1%	89	43.8%	14.6%	2.2%
R-IV - Eastern	**310**	**32.9%**	**8.7%**	**7.4%**	**299**	**32.1%**	**10.4%**	**6.0%**	**199**	**37.2%**	**13.1%**	**5.0%**
Caroline	13	46.2%	0.0%	0.0%	13	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%	24	41.7%	8.3%	0.0%
Cecil	76	32.9%	5.3%	5.3%	70	22.9%	8.6%	4.3%	44	27.3%	18.2%	4.5%
Dorchester	34	41.2%	17.6%	14.7%	19	42.1%	15.8%	10.5%	13	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%
Kent	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	11	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	5	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Queen Anne's	21	47.6%	14.3%	4.8%	14	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Somerset	7	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	6	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	12	58.3%	25.0%	8.3%
Talbot	16	31.3%	12.5%	12.5%	17	41.2%	23.5%	11.8%	12	33.3%	16.7%	8.3%
Wicomico	75	37.3%	10.7%	9.3%	97	34.0%	7.2%	4.1%	49	46.9%	16.3%	8.2%
Worcester	64	14.1%	3.1%	3.1%	52	21.2%	7.7%	3.8%	33	24.2%	0.0%	0.0%
R-V - Southern	**474**	**39.0%**	**17.9%**	**8.9%**	**397**	**38.8%**	**16.6%**	**8.3%**	**390**	**36.9%**	**15.4%**	**6.2%**
Anne Arundel	254	44.5%	21.3%	10.6%	193	45.6%	20.2%	10.4%	169	36.7%	16.0%	6.5%
Calvert	53	26.4%	13.2%	9.4%	42	35.7%	14.3%	4.8%	38	50.0%	13.2%	7.9%
Charles	97	36.1%	14.4%	5.2%	83	36.1%	19.3%	10.8%	106	38.7%	19.8%	7.5%
St. Mary's	70	32.9%	14.3%	7.1%	79	26.6%	6.3%	2.5%	77	28.6%	9.1%	2.6%
R-VI - Metro	**621**	**31.1%**	**12.1%**	**7.4%**	**499**	**32.1%**	**13.8%**	**7.6%**	**390**	**27.4%**	**11.0%**	**6.9%**
Montgomery	222	33.3%	17.1%	10.4%	170	37.1%	18.2%	8.8%	108	30.6%	17.6%	12.0%
Prince George's	399	29.8%	9.3%	5.8%	329	29.5%	11.6%	7.0%	282	26.2%	8.5%	5.0%
Statewide Total	***2,962***	***38.1%***	***16.0%***	***7.8%***	***2,567***	***39.3%***	***18.5%***	***8.1%***	***2,339***	***41.2%***	***18.3%***	***7.1%***

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates by Risk Level[1], FY 2014 New Probation Youth*



[1]There were 49 youth out of 2,339 who did not have MCASP risk levels. Percentages are calculated excluding these missing values.

- Youth with a high risk level had higher rearrest rates, though consideration needs to be given to the smaller number of youth with a high risk. At reconviction and incarceration, youth with moderate risk levels had the highest recidivism rates.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2010-2014 New Probation Youth, Tracked Through FY 2015*



- Rearrest rates and reconviction rates were lowest in FY 2012 and incarceration rates were lowest in FY 2014.

*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

New Probation Youth - VPI Recidivism

The table below shows recidivism outcomes for a subset of the new probation youth cohort who were assigned to VPI community supervision during their probation term. These data should not be used to generalize the VPI program as a whole. The analysis excludes VPI participants in placement, under aftercare supervision as well as probation youth with a prior probation disposition. As in the overall probation cohort analysis, the follow-up period begins on the disposition date.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2012-2014 for New Probation Youth on VPI*

Region	FY2012				FY2013				FY2014			
	Probation Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incarc-eration*	Probation Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incarc-eration*	Probation Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incarc-eration*
Region I - Balt. City	52	57.7%	28.8%	13.5%	65	52.3%	21.5%	6.2%	85	52.9%	25.9%	15.3%
Region II - Central	56	46.4%	21.4%	12.5%	35	51.4%	22.9%	11.4%	50	40.0%	16.0%	8.0%
Region III - Western	7	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	2	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Region IV - Eastern	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6	66.7%	33.3%	16.7%
Region V - Southern	23	47.8%	13.0%	8.7%	34	52.9%	20.6%	14.7%	29	27.6%	10.3%	6.9%
Region VI - Metro	41	31.7%	14.6%	12.2%	58	36.2%	19.0%	8.6%	45	37.8%	13.3%	13.3%
Statewide Total	*180*	*46.7%*	*20.6%*	*12.2%*	*203*	*46.8%*	*20.2%*	*9.4%*	*217*	*43.8%*	*19.4%*	*12.0%*

- Some regions have a small number of youth in the analysis group. Therefore the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, it is not advisable to compare data across regions.
- Between FY 2012 and FY 2014, statewide:
  - Rearrest rates decreased 2.9%.
  - Reconviction rates decreased 1.2%.
  - Incarceration rates remained relatively stable (decreased by 0.2%).
- Between FY 2013 and FY 2014, statewide:
  - Rearrest rates decreased 3.0%.
  - Reconviction rates remained relatively stable (decreased by 0.8%).
  - Incarceration rates increased 2.6%.

*Since youth in VPI are part of the probation cohort that includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system. Due to methodological changes, data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides.

Appendices

Youth from Backbone Mountain Youth Center working with the Garrett County Habitat for Humanity.

Appendix A

Community Services Staffing Distribution as of June 30, 2015

	Facilities (By Region)	CMS+		Mgmt+	Admn	RA/CD		Res. Spec.		Services+	Int & Secur	Educ	Othr+	Total
		Spec	Sup			Offr	Sup	Spec	Sup					
R-I-Baltimore City	CD - BCJJC[1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	CD - MYRC	0	0	1	1	44	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	52
	Evening Rep. Ctr Office	3	1	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	16
	Gay Street Office	58	14	4	14	4	0	1	1	0	6	0	0	102
	Hilton St. Office	7	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Plaza Office	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
R-II-Central	Arbutus Office	10	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Bel Air Office	7	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Ellicott City Office	10	2	0	1	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
	Essex Office	14	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	Garrison Office	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Hunt Valley Office	12	5	2	6	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	**30**
	Westminster Office	7	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**9**
R-III-Western	Allegany Co Office	4	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	**10**
	Frederick Office	6	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	**12**
	Hagerstown Office	9	2	0	2	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	**19**
	Oakland Office	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**5**
R-IV-Eastern	Cambridge Office	3	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	**8**
	CD - LESCC	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
	Centreville Office	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**4**
	Chestertown Office	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**4**
	Denton Office	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	**5**
	Easton Office	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	**7**
	Elkton Office	4	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	**9**
	Ocean City Office*	2	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**7**
	Princess Anne Office	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	**5**
	Salisbury Office	14	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**18**
	Snow Hill Office	4	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**8**
R-V-Southern	Annapolis Office	20	4	2	6	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	**37**
	Glen Burnie Office	8	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**11**
	LaPlata Office	11	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	**17**
	Leonardtown Office	7	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**13**
	Prince Frederick Office	5	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**10**
R-VI-Metro	CD - Cheltenham[1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
	Largo Office	34	6	1	5	9	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	**59**
	Rockville Office	16	4	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**26**
	Silver Spring Office	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**8**
	Upper Marlboro Office	18	3	2	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**29**
	Total Filled	***325***	***77***	***18***	***74***	***95***	***13***	***12***	***5***	***5***	***6***	***4***	***2***	***636***
	Total Vacancies	25	4	0	6	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	***39***

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.
*Ocean City Office is a seasonal office, staffed by contractual employees.
+ "CMS" means Case Management Specialist; "Mgmt" includes management and assistant area directors; "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Othr" includes transportation, recreation, and program specialists.
[1] Positions that were reported previously have been moved to other locations.

Appendix B

Detention Center Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2015

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services+	Educ[1]	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Othr+	*Total*
	Advis	Sup											
R-I-Baltimore City													
BCJJC**	101	7	8	10	4	0	5	20	20	12	3	2	*192*
R-II-Central													
Hickey School**	96	3	5	6	3	0	3	8	13	5	2	4	*148*
R-III-Western													
WMCC	27	3	2	2	2	0	1	2	5	6	1	1	*52*
R-IV-Eastern													
LESCC	23	4	2	2	1	0	1	3	6	4	1	1	*48*
R-V-Southern													
Waxter Center	36	6	3	3	5	0	2	2	7	4	1	1	*70*
R-VI-Metro													
Cheltenham**	86	8	8	10	4	0	3	4	7	5	3	2	*140*
Noyes Children's Ctr.	43	6	4	4	4	0	0	2	0	3	2	0	*68*
Total Filled	*412*	*37*	*32*	*37*	*23*	*0*	*15*	*41*	*58*	*39*	*13*	*11*	*718*
Vacancies Available	23	2	3	3	6	0	1	4	2	7	3	1	*55*

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.
* "GLM" represents Group Life Manager; "CMS" represents Case Management Specialists; "Facil" represents Facility Maintenance.
+ "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Othr" includes transportation and recreation.
**Direct clinical services are provided by contracted vendors. For the MAST initiative, DJS added full-time, non-contractual psychologist and social work positions.
[1]MSDE assumed responsibility for all education positions that were previously under the auspices of DJS.

Appendix C

Committed Program Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2015

Facility (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services+	Educ[1]	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Othr+	*Total*
	Advis	Sup											
R-I-Baltimore City													
Schaefer House	17	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	*24*
R-III-Western													
Backbone Mt. Yth Ctr	31	1	3	5	3	0	1	1	4	0	1	1	*51*
Green Ridge Yth Ctr	16	1	2	4	3	0	1	2	5	0	2	1	*37*
Meadow Mt. Yth Ctr	26	2	2	4	4	0	2	2	4	0	2	2	*50*
Savage Mt. Yth Ctr	28	2	4	4	2	0	1	2	3	0	2	1	*49*
Victor Cullen	42	7	4	5	6	0	2	6	7	7	3	4	*93*
Yth Ctrs Central Office	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	1	0	1	0	*8*
R-IV-Eastern													
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr	13	2	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	2	1	*26*
Total Filled	*173*	*15*	*18*	*25*	*21*	*0*	*10*	*18*	*24*	*9*	*14*	*11*	*338*
Vacancies Available	33	3	4	1	4	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	*48*

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.
* "GLM" represents Group Life Manager; "CMS" represents Case Management Specialists; "Facil" represents Facility Maintenance.
+ "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Other" includes transportation and recreation.
[1]MSDE assumed responsibility for all education positions that were previously under the auspices of DJS.

Appendix D
State-Operated Facility Expenditures, FY 2015

	General Admin	Mainten.	Education Services*	Somatic Health	Direct Care	Dietary Services	Mental Health Services	Juvenile Incent.	*Grand Total*
Baltimore City	$4,606,047	$530,259	$486,819	$2,092,376	$10,633,224	$2,039,600	$1,915,348	$3,575	$22,307,247
Carter	$436,134	$212,138	$29,571	$492,214	$1,385,668	$160,748	$282,149	$3,502	$3,002,124
Cheltenham	$2,192,493	$1,031,541	$283,414	$1,734,834	$9,735,348	$920,509	$2,274,207	$216	$18,172,561
Green Ridge	$356,808	$378,168	$0	$90	$2,536,429	$380,875	$213,231	$1,682	$3,867,284
Hickey	$1,184,032	$2,782,666	$220,454	$1,251,441	$8,529,218	$1,208,580	$1,423,974	$6,357	$16,606,721
Lower East. Shore	$388,710	$223,055	$75,275	$617,942	$2,784,977	$417,575	$288,363	$931	$4,796,830
Noyes	$792,814	$526,946	$131,976	$729,020	$4,357,284	$564,991	$606,596	$165	$7,709,793
Schaefer	$265,521	$94,647	$89,769	$232,539	$1,415,810	$18,673	$206,892	$9,487	$2,333,338
State-Wide Youth Centers*	$1,182,245	$3,259,615	$557,501	$1.304,912	$9,403,950	$1,154,460	$1,061,763	$9,067	$17,933,514
Victor Cullen	$1,206,560	$1,794,463	$148,906	$904,896	$5,521,979	$630,346	$709,437	$0	$10,916,588
Waxter	$1,326,958	$532,551	$126,021	$939,810	$3,358,190	$554,611	$624,832	$516	$7,463,488
Western Maryland	$539,437	$425,168	$70,948	$699,310	$2,112,056	$420,356	$254,508	$0	$4,521,783
Grand Total	$14,477,759	$11,791,217	$2,220,654	$10,999,384	$61,774,133	$8,471,324	$9,861,300	$35,498	$119,631,271

*Education costs reflect the amounts collected from Local Education Agencies. These fund are transferred to MSDE who is responsible for the operation of the Education programs in DJS facilities.

Appendix E
State-Operated Facility Per Diems and Average Annual Costs, FY15

	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
Baltimore City	$635	$231,688
Carter	$814	$297,255
Cheltenham	$709	$258,888
Green Ridge	$441	$161,137
Hickey	$1,049	$382,857
L. Eastern Shore	$719	$262,309
Noyes	$731	$266,825
Schaefer	$512	$186,964
State-Wide Youth Centers*	$643	$242,345
Victor Cullen	$797	$291,018
Waxter	$795	$290,018
Western Maryland	$748	$273,057

*Does not include Green Ridge Youth Center which is budgeted separately as a regionalized Youth Center.

FY15 Committed Program Per Diem Rates

	Program Type	FY15 Per Diem Range*, ***	Avg. FY15 Per Diem Rate**
In-State	Treatment Foster Care	$109.15 - $276.08	$162.03
	Group Home	$173.93 - $293.16	$212.25
	Therapeutic Group Home	$223.73 - $269.54	$255.29
	Independent Living	$96.97 - $179.07	$126.43
	Alternative Living	$254.11	$254.11
	Psychiatric Respite Care	$434.29	$434.29
	Residential Treatment Center	$321.59 - $515.63	$423.56
	Diagnostic Unit/CEU	$296.41 - $312.83	$304.00
Out-of-State	Residential Treatment Center	$273.77 - $458.00	$362.83
	Staff Secure	$128.65 - $335.00	$219.96
	Hardware Secure	$330.00 - $378.00	$356.63

*"Per Diem Rates" are presented for privately-operated programs that DJS reimburses for service using a single rate. Rates are not presented for programs that are funded in whole or in part by other sources such as private health care insurance or Medicaid. IFCA and Psychiatric Hospitals are excluded as a class for this reason, and rates for Residential Treatment Centers are based on 6 of 11 programs utilized by DJS.
**Average per diem rate should not be used to calculate the total cost by program type given the range of per diem rates. The average per diem rate does not take into account individual program utilization and the total cost may vary substantially based on the number of youth who actually participate in each program.
***Educational costs are not included in per diem rates. Educational costs may vary within a program depending on the combination of services provided (e.g., regular education vs. special education) and the educational needs of youth placed.

MARYLAND Department of Juvenile Services

Successful Youth • Strong Leaders • Safer Communities

Appendix F

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI), Page 1

1 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/6/13; Revised 7/1/14, 7/1/15

Youth Name: ASSIST ID:
Date of Birth: Race:
Gender: Complaint ID/ Complaint Date:
Worker Name: ASSIST ID:
Date D-RAI Completed: Date of Decision:

☐ Completed Pre-Detention ☐ Completed Post-Detention

1. Most Serious Pending Offense (Pending Adjudication or Pending at Intake) (specify):
   - Category I 15
   - Category II 12
   - Category III ..... 7 =
   - Category IV 5
   - Category V 3
   - NO Pending Offense 0

2. Additional Alleged Offenses: Include Charges Pending Adjudication and Charges Pending at Intake (Including Current Complaint)
   - Two or more additional Category I or II Offenses 10
   - One additional Category I or II Offense 7
   - One or more additional Category III Offense ..... 5 =
   - One or more additional Category IV or V Offense 1
   - NO additional current or pending charges 0

3. Current Supervision Status
   - VPI Supervision 8
   - Aftercare Supervision 4
   - Probation Supervision ..... 3 =
   - Pre-Court or ATD Supervision 2
   - NO current supervision 0

4. Prior Sustained Adjudications
   - One or more prior sustained adjudication(s) for a Category I or II Offense 8
   - Two or more prior sustained adjudications for Category III or IV Offenses 6
   - One prior sustained adjudication for a Category III or IV Offense ..... 4 =
   - One or more prior sustained adjudication(s) for a Category V Offense 1
   - NO prior sustained adjudication 0

5. History of Failure to Appear for a Court Hearing (**within past 12 months**)
   - Two or more writs/warrants for failure to appear in past 12 months 3
   - One writ/warrant for failure to appear in past 12 months ..... 1 =
   - NO warrants for failure to appear in past 12 months 0

6. History of Escape/AWOL (**within past 12 months**)
   - One or more escapes from secure confinement or custody 4
   - One or more instances of AWOL from non-secure, court-ordered placement ..... 3 =
   - NO escapes/ AWOLs in past 12 months 0

Risk Category = **Risk Score =**

Detain = 15+

ATD = 10 - 14

Release = 0 - 9

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI), Page 2

2 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/6/13; Revised 7/1/14, 7/1/15

Rationale (Check ALL that Apply)

☐	New Complaint
☐	Violation of Probation / Violation of Commitment
☐	Pre-Adjudicated ATD Violation
☐	VPI GPS Violation
☐	Post-Disposition ATD Violation (No New Offense)
☐	ATD Violation with Detention Language in Court Order (Detain at First Infraction)
☐	Writ or Warrant
☐	Adult Court Involvement
☐	Court-Ordered Detention at Hearing (include Committed Youth Held for Court Hearing) (Drop-Down with Hearing type: Arraignment, Adjudication, Disposition, Review, Review - Drug Court)
☐	Interstate Hold
☐	Firearm use / possession (Exclude BB Guns)
☐	Escape – Secure Facility
☐	Ejected – Committed Placement

Indicated DRAI Decision: ☐

Mandatory Hold Reasons: ☐

Actual Decision:

Release ☐ **Detention Alternative (ATD)** ☐ **Secure Detention** ☐

Appendix F (cont.)

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI), Page 3

3 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/6/13; Revised 7/1/14, 7/1/15

Please check **ALL** aggravating and mitigating factors below that impact your decision to override.

Override UP to ATD or Secure Detention REASON	
☐	Parent refusal
☐	Parent unavailable
☐	Juvenile has a history of violence in the home or victim resides in the home.
☐	ATD or Shelter refusal
☐	Shelter unavailable
☐	Other (please specify, **required**): _____
	_____

Override DOWN to ATD or Release REASON	
☐	Lesser offenses included in the score
☐	Age of youth
☐	Parent willing/able to provide supervision.
☐	Juvenile has no prior record.
☐	Offense less serious than indicated by charge.
☐	New charge referred is not recent.
☐	Other (please specify, **required**): _____
	_____

***Discretionary* Override with Supervisory Approval Only:**

Discretionary Overrides – SUPERVISOR APPROVAL OBTAINED ☐ Yes ☐ No

Intake Worker Signature:

Authorizing Supervisor:

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI), Page 4

4 Maryland DJS Detention Risk Assessment Instrument – Implemented 7/6/13; Revised 7/1/14, 7/1/15

First Court Appearance:

Current Detention Status (prior to Court action)

☐ Detained
☐ Detention Alternative: ☐ CD ☐ Shelter ☐ DRAP ☐ ERC ☐ Other: _____
☐ Released

DJS Recommendation to Court

☐ Detain
☐ Detention Alternative: ☐ CD ☐ Shelter ☐ DRAP ☐ ERC ☐ Other: _____
☐ Release to: ☐ Parent ☐ Sibling ☐ Grandparent ☐ Aunt/Uncle ☐ Other: _____

Court Detention Decision

☐ Detain
☐ Detention Alternative: ☐ CD ☐ Shelter ☐ DRAP ☐ ERC ☐ Other: _____
☐ Release to: ☐ Parent ☐ Sibling ☐ Grandparent ☐ Aunt/Uncle ☐ Other: _____

Special Circumstances Affecting Detention Decision:

Appendix G
DJS Housing Classification Assessment for Youth in Detention

DJS Housing Classification Assessment for Youth in Detention

Name of Youth _____ _____ _____ **Youth PID:** _____
first *last* *MI* **Youth DOB:** _____

Date of Admission: _____ **Staff Name:** _____

1. Severity of Current Charge (for detained youth), or Adjudication (for pending placement youth):
Category I = 4 points ***Category III = 2 points***
Category II = 3 points ***Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point*** **Points:** _____

2. Most Serious Past Adjudicated Delinquent Offense: _____
Category I = 4 points ***Category II = 3 points*** ***Category III = 2 points***
Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point ***None = 0 points*** **Points:** _____

3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody* *(See summary on Face Sheet)*
**Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.*
6 or more = 6 points ***2 or 3 = 2 points***
4 or 5 = 4 points ***0 or 1 = 0 points*** **Points:** _____

4. Current Age of Youth: _____ **Points:** _____
Under 14 = 4 Points, ***15-17 = 2 Points,*** ***18 and Over = 0 Points.***

Total Score: _____

Recommended Classification: ***Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above*** _____

Special Housing Issues. *Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infimiry.*

Y/N	Issue:	Details
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
	Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:	_____
		Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth

Double Bunking Concerns. *Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions*

Y/N	Issue:
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.
_____	Other. ***Details:*** _____

Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____
If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve

Unit Assignment: _____

Room Number: _____ **Single Room Only? (Y/N)** _____

DJS Housing Classification Re-Assessment for Youth in Detention

Housing Classification Re-Assessment for Youth in Detention

Current Supervision Level: _____

Current Pod/Unit Assignment: _____

1. Current Score - From Last Classification Assessment **Current Score:** _____

2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment
1 or more = 6 Points, ***None = 0 points.*** **Points:** _____

3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment*
**Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.*

5 or more incidents	=	***4 Points***
2 - 4 incidents	=	***2 Points***
0 - 1 incidents	=	***- 4 Points***

Points: _____

Total Score: _____

Recommended Supervision Level: *Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above* _____

Special Housing Issues. *Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions*

Y/N	Issue:	Details
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____
	Behavioral Health review of Special Issues:	_____
		Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth

Double Bunking Concerns. *Check all that apply that will require special bunking decisions*

Y/N	Issue:
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk with youth over 16 years old.
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not bunk small and extra large youth together
_____	Sex Offense History: Single room only.
_____	Other. ***Details:*** _____

Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____

Unit Assignment: _____ *If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve*

Room Number: _____ **Single Room Only? (Y/N)** _____

Appendix H
DJS Housing Classification Assessment for Committed Youth

DJS Housing Classification Assessment For Committed Youth

Name of Youth _____ _____ _____ **Youth PID:** _____
first *last* *MI* **Youth DOB:** _____

Date of Admission: _____ **Staff Name:** _____

1. Severity of Current Committing Offense:

Category I = 4 points ***Category III = 2 points***

Category II = 3 points ***Category IV, V or VOP = 1 point*** **Points:** _____

2. Most Serious Past Adjudicated Delinquent Offense: _____

Category I = 4 points ***Category III = 2 points***

Category II = 3 points ***Category IV, V, or VOP = 1 point*** **Points:** _____

3. Number of Prior Serious Incidents in Custody* *(See summary on Face Sheet)*

**Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, restraints, and escapes or attempted escapes.*

6 or more = 6 points ***2 or 3 = 2 points***

4 or 5 = 4 points ***0 or 1 = 0 points*** **Points:** _____

4. Current Age of Youth: _____ **Points:** _____

Under 14 = 4 Points, 15-17 = 2 Points, 18 and Over = 0 Points.

Total Score: _____

Recommended Classification: ***Low= 0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above*** _____

Special Housing Issues. *Check all that apply. These issues may indicate the need for higher supervision status, or placement into a special unit such as an infimiry.*

Y/N	Issue:	Details
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____

Behavioral Health review of Special Issues: _____
Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth

Special Concerns. *Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.*

Y/N	Issue:
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other
_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth
_____	Other. ***Details:*** _____

Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____

Unit Assignment: _____ *If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve*

Room Number: _____

DJS Housing Classification Re-Assessment for Committed Youth

Housing Classification Re-Assessment For Committed Youth

Current Supervision Level: _____

Current Unit Assignment: _____

1. Current Score - From last Classification Assessment **Current Score:** _____

2. Escapes/Attempts since last Classification Assessment
1 or more = 6 Points, ***None = 0 points.*** **Points:** _____

3. Number of Serious Incidents since last Classification Assessment*

**Youth-on-youth (including sexual contact) assaults, youth-on-staff assaults, group disturbances, or restraints.*

5 or more incidents	=	***4 Points***
2 - 4 incidents	=	***2 Points***
0 - 1 incidents	=	***- 4 Points***

Points: _____

Total Score: _____

Recommended Supervision Level: *Low=0 to 5, Medium= 6 to 10, High= 11 or above* _____

Special Housing Issues. *Check all that apply that will require special housing decisions*

Y/N	Issue:	*Details*
_____	Medical Condition/Injury	_____
_____	Protective Custody	_____
_____	Mental Health/Low Funct./Suicide Risk:	_____

Behavioral Health review of Special Issues: _____
Beh. Health Staff Signature required for all youth

Special Concerns. *Check all that apply that will require special housing consideration.*

Y/N	Issue:
_____	Age is under 13: Do not bunk near youth over 16 years old
_____	Small or X-Large Body Size: Do not place small and extra large youth near each other
_____	Sex Offense History: Do not place near smaller youth
_____	Other. ***Details:*** _____

Final Housing Classification (Low, Med, High): _____

Unit Assignment: _____ *If different from recommended level, supervisor must approve*

Room Number: _____

Appendix I

Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen, Page 1

MCASP Intake Risk Screen

Part I: DELINQUENT HISTORY

ITEM	Scoring
1. Age at First Offense: The age at the time of the offense for which the youth was referred to DJS for the first time on a misdemeanor or felony.	☐ Over 16 ☐ 16 ☐ 15 ☐ 13 to 14 ☐ Under 13
2. Misdemeanor Referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.	☐ One or fewer ☐ Two ☐ Three or four ☐ Five or more
3. Felony Referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a felony.	☐ None ☐ One ☐ Two ☐ Three or more
4. Weapon Referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense includes the possession or use of a firearm or explosive.	☐ None ☐ One or more
5. Against-person misdemeanor referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was an against-person misdemeanor. An against-person misdemeanor involves threats, force, or physical harm to another person such as assault, sex, coercion, harassment, obscene phone call, etc.	☐ None ☐ One ☐ Two or more
6. Against-person felony referrals: Total number of referrals for an against-person felony. An against-person felony involves force or physical harm to another person such as homicide, murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, sex, robbery, kidnapping, domestic violence, harassment, criminal mistreatment, intimidation, coercion, obscene harassing phone call, etc.	☐ None ☐ One or two ☐ Three or more
7. Sexual misconduct misdemeanor referrals: Total number of referrals for which the most serious offense was a sexual misconduct 4th degree misdemeanor.	
8. Felony sex offense referrals: Total number of referrals for a felony sex offense – first, second, or third degree.	
9. Detention: Number of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.	☐ None ☐ One ☐ Two ☐ Three or more
10. Placement: Number of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).	☐ None ☐ One ☐ Two or more
11. Escapes: Total number of referrals for escape.	☐ None ☐ One ☐ Two or more
12. Failure to appear in court warrants: Total number of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-criminal matters.	☐ None ☐ One ☐ Two or more

MARYLAND COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT AND SERVICE PLANNING (MCASP) INTAKE RISK SCREEN, PAGE 2

Part II - SOCIAL HISTORY

ITEM	Responses
1a. Youth's <u>current</u> school enrollment status, regardless of attendance. If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check *Expelled* or *Dropped out*; otherwise check *Enrolled full* or *part-time*.	☐ Graduated/GED ☐ Enrolled full-time ☐ Enrolled part-time ☐ Suspended ☐ Dropped out ☐ Expelled ☐ Unknown
1b. Youth's school conduct, last 3 months. *Check all that apply.* Conduct problems include things like fighting or threatening students/teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; crimes (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying, cheating.	☐ Not applicable ☐ No school conduct problems ☐ Conduct problems reported by teachers ☐ Calls to parents about conduct ☐ Calls to police about conduct ☐ Unknown
1c. Youth's attendance in last 3 months. Partial-day absence means missing less than half the school day. Full-day absence means missing all or most of school day.	☐ Not applicable ☐ No full-day unexcused absences ☐ Some full-day unexcused absences ☐ Chronic full-day unexcused absences or youth is currently dropped out ☐ Unknown
1d. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.	☐ Not applicable ☐ A average ☐ B average ☐ C average, no F's ☐ C or D average, with one or more F's ☐ Mostly D's and F's ☐ Unknown
2. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with—last 3 months. *Check all that apply.* *Anti-social*: Friends that are hostile to the social order, disdain authority, engage in criminal/delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc.	☐ No consistent friends or companions ☐ Pro-social friends ☐ Anti-social friends ☐ Gang member/associate ☐ Unknown
3. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime). Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJS commitments.	☐ No placements ever ☐ 1 or more placements ☐ Unknown
4. Number of times youth has run away or gotten kicked out of home (youth's lifetime). Include <u>all</u> incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.	☐ No incidents ☐ 1 incident ☐ 2 or more incidents ☐ Unknown
5. Current household members with history of jail/prison/detention. *Check all members that apply or check "None".* Mother and father refer to current parent, legal guardian or youth's primary female and male caretakers residing in household.	☐ Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) ☐ None ☐ Mother/female caretaker ☐ Father/male caretaker ☐ Older sibling ☐ Younger sibling ☐ Other member ☐ Unknown
6. Youth's current compliance with guardian's/caretaker's rules—last 3 months.	☐ Not applicable (Living w/out adult supervision last 3 months.) ☐ Youth usually obeys rules ☐ Youth sometimes obeys rules ☐ Youth consistently disobeys, is hostile to parental authority ☐ Unknown

Appendix I (cont.)

Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) Intake Risk Screen, Page 3

7. Current alcohol/drug use. First assess whether the youth has used alcohol or drugs in the last 3 months. If there is an indication of recent use, then examine (1) whether drug use contributes or is related in some way to the youth's recent criminal behavior, and (2) whether the current/recent use is serious enough to disrupt the youth's life, causing problems related to education, family life, social life or health.	
7a. Current alcohol use—last 3 months.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
7b. Current drug use—last 3 months.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
7c. Alcohol or drug use contributes to current/recent criminal behavior. Substance use either motivates criminal behavior (e.g. stealing to support a habit) or is related to criminal behavior in some other way (e.g., substance use is connected with violent, risky or impulsive behaviors that get the youth into trouble).	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
7d. Alcohol or drug use disrupts other areas of youth's life. Substance use creates problems with any of the following: • **School** (e.g., problems with school attendance, conduct or grades); • **Family** (e.g., stealing at home to support use, withdrawing, arguing over use); • **Peers** (e.g., loss of pro-social friends, inability to form pro-social relationships); • **Health** (e.g., trips to emergency room, medical problems related to use).	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
8. Victim of physical or sexual abuse during lifetime. ***Check all that apply.*** Include any history of suspected abuse, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of abuse proven to be false. If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.	☐ No abuse ☐ Physical abuse ☐ Sexual abuse ☐ Unknown
9. Victim of neglect during lifetime. Include any history of suspected neglect, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of neglect proven to be false. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision. If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
10. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever during lifetime). Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, depression, personality and other diagnosed disorders. Exclude substance abuse, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, ADD/ADHD and special education needs. Confirm by a professional in the social service/healthcare field that the youth was diagnosed, prescribed medicine or treated for a mental health problem.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

Appendix J

Questions from the DJS MCASP Needs Assessment

[Domain I, the first section of the assessment is a copy of the auto-calculated delinquent history.]

Domain 2: SCHOOL (HISTORY)
1. Youth is/was a special education student or has had a formal diagnosis of a special education need (in any grade).
2. Total number of times expelled or suspended for conduct problems. A. Since first grade B. In last 3 months
3. Age at first expulsion or suspension.
Domain 2: SCHOOL (CURRENT)
4. Youth has been enrolled in school during the last 3 months, regardless of attendance.
5. Youth's current school enrollment status, regardless of attendance.
6. Youth believes there is value in getting an education.
7. Youth believes school environment is encouraging.
8. Number of teachers or other school staff the youth likes/ feels comfortable with.
9. Youth's involvement in school activities, last 3 months.
10. Youth's school conduct, last 3 months.
11. Youth's attendance in last 3 months.
12. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.
13. Interviewer's assessment of likelihood the youth will stay in and graduate from high school or an equivalent vocational school.
Domain 3: USE OF FREE TIME
1. Current interest and involvement in structured recreational activities.
2. Current interest and involvement in unstructured recreational activities.
Domain 4: EMPLOYMENT (HISTORY AND CURRENT)
1. History of employment.
2. Youth's history of success on the job.
3. Current employment status.
4. Current interest in employment.
5. Current positive personal relationship(s) with employer(s) or adult coworker(s).
Domain 5: RELATIONSHIPS IN THE COMMUNITY (HISTORY AND CURRENT)
1. Current positive relationships with adults in the community.
2. History of anti-social friends/companions—last few years.
3. Current friends/companions youth actually spends time with, last 3 months.
4. Currently in a "romantic" or sexual relationship.
5. Currently admires/emulates anti-social peers.
6. Current resistance to anti-social peer influence.
Domain 6: FAMILY (HISTORY)
1. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime).
2. Number of times youth has either run away or gotten kicked out of home: A. Incidents across lifetime: B. Any incidents in last 3 months? C. Currently a runaway or kicked out?
3. History of non-delinquency citations/petitions (lifetime).
4. Household members who ever lived with youth (at least 3 months) and had a history of jail/prison/detention.
Domain 6: FAMILY (CURRENT HOUSEHOLD)
5. Youth has been living under "adult supervision" during last three months.
6. Parents/parent figures currently living with youth.
7. Annual combined income of youth and family.
8. Current household members with history of jail/prison/detention.
9. Problem history of parents/caretakers who currently live with youth.
10. Problem history of siblings who currently live with youth.
11. Current support network for youth's family.
12. Current level of parental emotional support (affection, caring).
13. Parents provide opportunities to participate in family activities and decisions affecting the youth.
14. Family member(s) youth currently feels close to or has good relationship with.
15. Current level of conflict in youth's household between any members, last 3 months (most serious level).
16. Current parental supervision, last 3 months.

Appendix J (cont.)
Questions from the DJS MCASP Needs Assessment

17. Youth's current compliance with parent's rules.
18. Current parental approach to discipline.
19. Current parental approach to rewarding youth.
20. Current parental view of youth's anti-social behavior.
Domain 7: ALCOHOL & DRUGS
1. History of alcohol use prior to the last 3 months. A. Past alcohol use B. Past alcohol use disrupted functioning.
2. History of drug use prior to the last 3 months. A. Past drug use B. Past drug use disrupted functioning.
3. History of alcohol/drug assessment/diagnosis.
4. History of attending alcohol/drug education classes.
5. History of participating in alcohol/drug treatment program.
6. Any drug or alcohol use within last 3 months.
7. Current alcohol use (within last 3 months). A. Any current alcohol use. B. Alcohol use disrupts current functioning.
8. Current drug use (within last 3 months). A. Any current drug use. B. Drug use disrupts current functioning.
9. Type of drugs currently used.
10. Current participation in alcohol/drug treatment, last 3 months.
Domain 8: MENTAL HEALTH (HISTORY)
1. Victim of physical abuse during lifetime.
2. Victim of sexual abuse during lifetime.
3. Victim of neglect during lifetime.
4. History of ADD/ADHD. Confirm by a professional.
5. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever in lifetime).
Domain 8: MENTAL HEALTH (CURRENT)
6. Current health insurance.
7. Current mental health status, last 3 months.
8. ADD/ADHD medication currently prescribed. Confirm by a professional.
9. Mental health treatment currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD treatment. Confirm by a professional.
10. Mental health medication currently prescribed, excluding ADD/ADHD medication. Confirm by a professional.
11. Mental health problem(s) currently interferes in working with the youth.
Domain 9: ATTITUDES & AGGRESSION
PART A: ATTITUDES
1. Primary emotion when committing delinquent act(s) within the last 3 months.
2. Optimism about future.
3. Impulsive; acts before thinking.
4. Youth's belief in control over his/her own anti-social behavior.
5. Youth's empathy, remorse, or sympathy for the victim(s).
6. Respect for authority figures.
7. Youth's attitude toward laws/social norms.
8. Youth's view of his/her anti-social behavior.
9. Youth's belief in successfully meeting conditions of court supervision.
PART B: AGGRESSION
10. Tolerance for frustration.
11. Interpretation of actions and intentions of others in common, non-confrontational settings.
12. Belief in yelling and verbal aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
13. Belief in fighting and physical aggression to resolve a disagreement or conflict.
Domain 10: NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY
1. How safe is the youth's neighborhood?
2. Which of the following applies to youth's neighborhood?
3. Youth feels safe in the neighborhood.
4. Youth would like to move because of concerns about own or family's safety.
5. How safe is the youth's neighborhood school?

Appendix K
Crimes of Violence

The Maryland Sentencing Commission utilizes the definition of "crime of violence" found in the Md. Code, Correctional Services Article, Sect. 7-101(m), which states violent crime is a crime of violence as defined in section 14-101 of the Criminal Law Article or burglary in the 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree. Md. Code, Criminal Law, Sect. 14-101 lists the crime of violence as:
(1) abduction; (2) arson in the first degree; (3) kidnapping; (4) manslaughter, except involuntary manslaughter; (5) mayhem; (6) maiming, as previously proscribed under former Article 27, §§385 and 386 of the Code; (7) murder; (8) rape; (9) robbery under §3-402 or §3-403 of this article; (10) carjacking; (11) armed carjacking; (12) sexual offense in the first degree; (13) sexual offense in the second degree; (14) use of a handgun in the commission of a felony or other crime of violence; (15) child abuse in the first degree under § 3-601 of this article; (16) sexual abuse of a minor under §3-602 of this article if:

(i) the victim is under the age of 13 years and the offender is an adult at the time of the offense; and
(ii) the offense involved:
1. vaginal intercourse, as defined in §3-301 of this article;
2. a sexual act, as defined in §3-301 of this article;
3. an act in which a part of the offender's body penetrates, however slightly, into the victim's genital opening or anus; or
4. the intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the victim's or the offender's genital, anal, or other intimate area for sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse;

(17) an attempt to commit any of the crimes described in items (1) through (16) of this subsection; (18) continuing course of conduct with a child under §3-315 of this article; (19) assault in the first degree; (20) assault with intent to murder; (21) assault with intent to rape; (22) assault with intent to rob; (23) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the first degree; and (24) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the second degree.

Appendix L
Maryland Citations Pertaining to DJS

Human Services Article

- ***Title 9 - Juvenile Services***
  - Establishes the functions of the Department of Juvenile Services; enumerates the authority of the Secretary; details the organization and administration of DJS; establishes the operation of state facilities, advisory boards, juvenile care facilities, and regional services; and specifies the procedures for Interstate Compact and the Juvenile Services facility capital program.

Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article

- ***Title 3 - Courts of General Jurisdiction***
  - Establishes the jurisdiction and functions of the juvenile court.
- ***Subtitle 8 – Juvenile Causes – Children in Need of Assistance (CINA)***
  - **§3-823. Permanency plan for out-of-home placement:** Requires the court to hold a permanency planning hearing to determine the permanency plan for a child no later than 11 months after a child is committed.
- ***Subtitle 8A - Juvenile Causes – Children Other than CINAs and Adults***
  - **§3-8A-01. Definitions:** Provides the meanings of words commonly used in juvenile justice (e.g. adjudicatory hearing, community detention, detention, disposition, petition, violation.)
  - **§3-8A-02. Purposes and construction of subtitle:** Establishes the goals of the Juvenile Justice System.
  - **§3-8A-03. Jurisdiction of court:** Specifies the jurisdiction of the court over delinquent, child in need of supervision (CINS), Peace Order, and Interstate Compact juveniles and details exceptions to this jurisdiction.
  - **§3-8A-05. Determination of Jurisdiction:** Specifies the age of the person at the time the alleged delinquent act was committed and controls the determination of jurisdiction under this subtitle.
  - **§3-8A-06. Waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes how the juvenile court may waive jurisdiction of a youth to the adult court.
  - **§3-8A-07. Retention, termination or waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes when the court may terminate jurisdiction.
  - **§3-8A-08. Venue:** Establishes the venue for a CINS petition, a petition concerning delinquency, a Peace Order Request, and certain offenses.
  - **§3-8A-09. Transfer of Proceedings:** Describes when the court may transfer certain proceedings to the youth's county of residence or domicile.
  - **§3-8A-10. Complaint; preliminary procedures:** Procedural requirements when an intake office receives a complaint from a person or agency or certain citations issued by a police officer.
  - **§3-8A-10.1. Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program:** Describes the CINS pilot program.

Appendix L (cont.)
Maryland Citations Pertaining to DJS (cont.)

- **§3-8A-12. Certain information inadmissible in subsequent proceedings:** Describes when certain statements or information are inadmissible in certain proceedings.
- **§3-8A-13. Petition; general procedures:** Describes the general procedures for filing a petition that alleges delinquency or CINS and for filing peace order requests.
- **§3-8A-14. Taking child into custody:** Defines methods by which youth may be taken into custody (e.g. by court order/law enforcement officer.)
- **§3-8A-15. Detention and shelter care prior to hearing:** Provides the authorization for placing a youth in detention, community detention, or shelter care for a child who may be in need of supervision or delinquent.
- **§3-8A-16.1. Blood lead level testing:** Authorizes a court to order a child to undergo blood lead level testing after a petition has been filed, but before an adjudication.
- **§3-8A-17. Study and Examination of child, etc:** Describes when the court may direct DJS or another qualified agency to make a study concerning the child, the child's family, the child's environment, and other matters relating to the disposition of the case.
- **§3-8A-17.1 - §3-8A-17.12. Juvenile Competency:** Procedural requirements regarding juvenile competency; including evaluations, reports, hearings, services, court findings, and dismissal.
- **§3-8A-18. Adjudication:** Requires the court to hold an adjudicatory hearing; requires the allegations in the petition be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the youth has committed a delinquent act.
- **§3-8A-19. Disposition; costs:** Requires the court to hold a separate disposition hearing after an adjudicatory hearing unless the court has dismissed/waived the petition/citation; allows disposition to be held on the day of the adjudicatory hearing; and describes permitted dispositions.
- **§3-8A-19.1 - §3-8A-19.5. Peace Order Request:** Describes procedures for an intake officer to file a Peace Order Request, peace order hearings, forms of relief the order may include, service of a peace order; modification or recessions, and violations of an order.
- **§3-8A-20. Right to counsel:** Specifies when a youth is entitled to the assistance of counsel.
- **§3-8A-20.1. Treatment service plan:** Defines "treatment service plan" and describes the procedures for development and implementation of a treatment service plan.
- **§3-8A-22. Limitations on place of commitment:** Specifies the limitations on where the court may detain, commit, or transfer a youth.
- **§3-8A-23. Effect of proceedings under subtitle:** Describes the effect of an adjudication and disposition of a child.
- **§3-8A-24. Effective period of order of commitment; renewal of order:** Specifies the length of time for an order of commitment, procedures for renewal of an order, and when the order is effective.
- **§3-8A-25. Progress reports:** Specifies requirements for visitation by a juvenile counselor of a child who is committed to an individual or to a public or private agency or institution. Authorizes the court to require the custodian to file periodic reports.
- **§3-8A-26. Order controlling conduct of a person before the court:** Authorizes the court to make an appropriate order controlling conduct under certain circumstances.
- **§3-8A-27. Confidentiality of records:** Describes confidentiality requirements, procedures, and exceptions for police and court records pertaining to or concerning a child.
- **§3-8A-28. Judgment of restitution:** Authorizes the court to enter a judgment of restitution against the parent of a child, the child, or both.
- **§3-8A-29. Parents liable for support after commitment:** Authorizes the court to order either parent/both parents to pay support of the child.
- **§3-8A-30. Contributing to certain conditions of a child:** Describes the offense of contributing to certain conditions of a child and provides for a penalty.
- **§3-8A-32. Appointment of attorney or advocate to represent child's interest:** Authorizes a court to appoint an attorney to represent a child's interest under certain conditions.
- **§3-8A-33. Citation for certain violations:** Authorizes a law enforcement officer to issue a citation to a child if the officer has probable cause to believe that the child is violating certain alcoholic beverage, tobacco, salvia divinorum, or marijuana possession violations.
- **§3-8A-34. Rights of victim or witness of delinquent act:** Refers to the rights of victims and witnesses of delinquent acts.

Appendix M

Alternatives to Detention and Shelter Care by Region

Region I - Baltimore City	Location
Baltimore City Community Detention	Baltimore City
Baltimore City Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore City
Baltimore City PACT Center	Baltimore City
Detention Reduction Advocacy Program (DRAP)	Baltimore City
DJS Day/Evening Reporting Center	Baltimore City
TuTTie's Place Short Term (Group Home)*	Baltimore City
Youth Enterprise Services - Liberty House Shel Care	Baltimore City
Region II - Central	
Baltimore County Community Detention	Baltimore Co.
Baltimore County Electronic Monitoring	Baltimore Co.
Carroll County Community Detention	Carroll
Carroll County Electronic Monitoring	Carroll
Harford County Community Detention	Harford
Harford County Electronic Monitoring	Harford
Howard County Community Detention	Howard
Howard County Electronic Monitoring	Howard
MAGIC- Unity Home for Girls (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
The Board of Child Care - Sh. Term High Inten. GH	Baltimore Co.
Region III - Western	
Allegany County Community Detention	Allegany
Allegany County Electronic Monitoring	Allegany
Dallas and Mary Bunch Shelter Care	Allegany
Frederick County Community Detention	Frederick
Frederick County Electronic Monitoring	Frederick
Garrett County Community Detention	Garrett
Garrett County Electronic Monitoring	Garrett
John and Nancy Castle - Shelter	Washington
Joseph and Debra McCarney -Shelter	Washington
Pressley Ridge -Treatment Foster Care (Shelter)	Allegany
The Maryland Salem Children's Trust Shelter, Inc	Garrett
Washington County Community Detention	Washington
Washington County Electronic Monitoring	Washington

*This facility,which is a group home, is used for short-term or shelter placements as needed and based on bed availability.

Region IV - Eastern Shore	Location
Caroline County Community Detention	Caroline
Caroline County Electronic Monitoring	Caroline
Cecil County Community Detention	Cecil
Cecil County Electronic Monitoring	Cecil
Dorchester County Community Detention	Dorchester
Dorchester County Electronic Monitoring	Dorchester
Hot Boards Shelter (Seasonal)	Worcester
Kent County Community Detention	Kent
Kent County Electronic Monitoring	Kent
Queen Anne's County Community Detention	Qn Anne's
Queen Anne's County Electronic Monitoring	Qn Anne's
Somerset County Community Detention	Somerset
Somerset County Electronic Monitoring	Somerset
Talbot County Community Detention	Talbot
Talbot County Electronic Monitoring	Talbot
Wicomico County Community Detention	Wicomico
Wicomico County Electronic Monitoring	Wicomico
Worcester County Community Detention	Worcester
Worcester County Electronic Monitoring	Worcester
Region V - Southern	
Anne Arundel County Community Detention	An. Arundel
Anne Arundel County Electronic Monitoring	An. Arundel
Calvert County Community Detention	Calvert
Calvert County Electronic Monitoring	Calvert
Charles County Community Detention	Charles
Charles County Electronic Monitoring	Charles
St. Mary's County Community Detention	St. Mary's
St. Mary's County Electronic Monitoring	St. Mary's
Region VI - Metro	
Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc (Harriet Tubman Shelter)	Montgomery
Montgomery County Community Detention	Montgomery
Montgomery County Electronic Monitoring	Montgomery
Montgomery County Evening Reporting Center	Montgomery
Prince George's County Community Detention	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Electronic Monitoring	Pr. George's
Prince George's County Evening Reporting Center	Pr. George's

Appendix M (cont.)

Alternatives to Detention by Region/County*

Region/County	CD[1]			EM[1]			D/ERC			DRAP			PACT			Shelter		
	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS
R-I- Balt. City	**440**	**23.7**	**19.5**	**948**	**77.6**	**29.1**	**228**	**17.9**	**27.5**	**82**	**15.3**	**57.6**	**72**	**21.1**	**65.4**	**432**	**16.5**	**15.0**
Baltimore City	440	23.7	19.5	948	77.6	29.1	228	17.9	27.5	82	15.3	57.6	72	21.1	65.4	432	16.5	15.0
R-II- Central	**75**	**5.2**	**24.7**	**489**	**44.2**	**33.1**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**51**	**2.0**	**20.7**
Baltimore Co.	60	4.5	26.3	317	28.4	32.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	24	0.6	8.9
Carroll	2	0.1	19.1	39	3.5	32.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	14	1.1	54.1
Harford	11	0.5	17.4	97	9.3	35.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	7	0.1	2.3
Howard	2	0.1	15.9	36	3.1	30.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	6	0.1	8.8
R-III- Western	**11**	**0.3**	**10.9**	**253**	**21.2**	**30.1**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**53**	**3.5**	**21.1**
Allegany	1	0.0	6.9	34	3.4	35.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	11	0.9	29.1
Frederick	5	0.2	18.1	70	6.0	31.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	22	1.1	13.6
Garrett	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	60.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.2	19.4
Washington	5	0.1	4.5	149	11.8	28.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	17	1.3	23.7
R-IV- Eastern	**29**	**2.0**	**22.8**	**238**	**23.2**	**38.6**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**27**	**1.2**	**16.6**
Caroline	2	0.1	21.0	6	0.7	43.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Cecil	0	0.0	0.0	40	4.6	42.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.0	0.3
Dorchester	7	0.6	35.0	19	1.5	30.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.1	17.0
Kent	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.5	35.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	1	0.1	29.7	5	0.9	48.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Somerset	1	0.0	1.6	8	0.8	37.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.2	21.2
Talbot	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.3	24.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	17.9
Wicomico	16	0.9	18.7	115	10.3	41.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	14	0.8	23.0
Worcester	2	0.3	0.0	35	3.5	26.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.0	0.4
R-V- Southern	**46**	**4.2**	**33.1**	**464**	**43.7**	**31.4**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**30**	**1.0**	**11.8**
Anne Arundel	33	3.1	34.4	184	15.5	30.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	13	0.3	8.8
Calvert	2	0.2	40.0	48	5.6	33.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.0	0.2
Charles	4	0.4	33.2	136	11.7	28.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	11	0.6	18.6
St. Mary's	7	0.5	23.9	96	10.9	36.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.1	10.2
R-VI- Metro	**53**	**5.3**	**37.3**	**563**	**70.0**	**44.7**	**91**	**11.7**	**45.6**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**50**	**3.0**	**22.3**
Montgomery	41	3.7	33.0	86	7.9	35.7	12	1.7	50.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	26	1.5	20.7
Prince George's	12	1.6	52.6	477	62.1	46.2	79	10.0	44.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	24	1.5	24.1
Out-of-State	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**29**	**4.5**	**96.5**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**67**	**0.2**	**1.0**
Statewide	***654***	***40.6***	***22.4***	***2,984***	***284.3***	***34.5***	***319***	***29.6***	***32.5***	***82***	***15.3***	***57.6***	***72***	***21.1***	***65.4***	***710***	***27.3***	***14.9***

*Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

[1]The tables on the ATD pages report CD/EM combined so if a youth is transferred from CD to EM or vice versa, the youth is counted as one admission. Appendix M separates out CD from EM, so the sum of this total will be slightly higher than the totals presented on the ATD pages.

Appendix N

Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Strategies and Contact Information



Effective DMC reduction strategies prevent entry and further involvement of youth of color in the juvenile justice system and eliminate disparate treatment of these youth in system decision-making. Efforts that are most successful also include strategies that increase cultural competence and responsiveness to specific needs of system-involved youth and families of color. These strategies include the following:

- School and Community-Based Diversion
- Detention Risk Screening
- Alternatives to Secure Detention
- Community Alternatives to Placement
- Cultural and Linguistic Competence
- Community Engagement
- Family Involvement
- Graduated Sanctions

Jurisdiction	Implementing Agency	Address	Contact Information
Anne Arundel County	Anne Arundel County Partnership for Children, Youth and Families	1 Harry S. Truman Parkway, Suite 103 Annapolis, MD 21401	Phone: 410.222.7423
Baltimore City	The Family League of Baltimore City, Inc.	2305 N. Charles Street, Suite 200 Baltimore, MD 21218	Phone: 410.662.5500
Baltimore County	Circuit Court for Baltimore County	401 Bosley Avenue, Room 405 Towson, MD 21204	Phone: 410.887.6908
Montgomery County	Montgomery County Collaboration Council for Children, Youth and Families, Inc.	12320 Parklawn Drive Rockville, MD 20852	Phone: 301.610.0147 ext. 217
Prince George's County	Department of Family Services	6420 Allentown Road Camp Springs, MD 20748	Phone: 301.265.8401
Wicomico County	Wicomico County Board of Education	101 Long Avenue Salisbury, MD 21802	Phone: 410.677.4536

Appendix O

Relative Rate Index (RRI)

The Relative Rate Index (RRI) is a measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring how ethnic minorities compare with white youth at various contact points (arrest, court referral, etc.) The RRI was developed by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. For more detailed information, see:
http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/dmcdb/asp/whatis.asp

Definitions for Key Contact Points

The definitions below describe each key contact point included in the tables that follow along with a brief explanation of each point. Understanding each contact point and how it is defined in the calculations will provide the basis for proper interpretation of the rates. Though not a 'contact point', the general youth population is included because it is a key data point for calculating the rate of contact.

Contact Point	Definition
Population	Population of youth ages 11 - 17 as of July 2014. Data from MD Dept. of Planning. *Note: DJS uses 11-17 as a population denominator due to the low number of cases under 11.*
Arrests	Arrests of juveniles under age 18 by law enforcement. Annual data extrapolated from Jul-Dec 2014 MD State Police arrests. Rate is based on youth in the general population.
Referrals	Juvenile complaints referred to DJS intake, from police or other sources, including delinquent and non-delinquent offenses, as recorded in ASSIST. Rate is per arrest.
Diversion	Complaints diverted by DJS Intake, (resolved or informally adjusted to pre-court supervision), without formal petition. Rate is per referral.
Detention	Cases resulting in detention both pre-disposition or pending placement, but does not include youth ejected to detention from a committed placement. Rate is per referral.
Petitioned	Complaints resulting in juvenile court petitions. Rate is per referral.
Delinquent	Complaints resulting in delinquent findings. Rate is per petition.
Probation	Probation dispositions. Rate is per delinquent finding.
Confinement	Youth placed in Secure Committed program: Victor Cullen, Carter Center or secure private out-of-state programs. Rate is per delinquent finding.
Transferred	Juvenile petitions waived up to adult court. Does not include charges under original adult jurisdiction. Rate is per petition.

RRI Table for All Minority Youth

The data presented here shows the RRI for minority youth compared to white youth. An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disparity at that decision point. A higher value means more disparity (higher minority over-representation), and a lesser value means under-representation. The RRI for each decision point is based on the number of youth of each race/ethnicity represented at that point compared with the number at the previous decision point.

Rates of Contact for All Youth

This table shows the number and rate of representation for all youth, regardless of color, at each key juvenile justice decision point. The rates are based on the general population for this table, rather than based on the previous decision point.

Relative Rate Index (RRI)

RRIs for All Minorities compared with White Youth, at Key Contact Points[1], FY 2015

Region/ County	Juvenile Arrests Adjusted	Referrals to DJS Intake Adjusted	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
R-I-Balt. City									
Baltimore City	*7.26*	*0.69*	*0.84*	*0.88*	*1.11*	*1.17*	*1.63*	**	**
R-II-Central									
Baltimore Co.	*3.10*	*1.16*	0.97	0.97	0.99	*0.87*	1.07	**	**
Carroll	*2.52*	*0.90*	0.82	*2.09*	*1.42*	1.23	1.13	**	**
Harford	*3.57*	0.98	1.02	0.82	1.02	0.96	1.15	**	**
Howard	*1.87*	*1.13*	*0.55*	*2.59*	*1.92*	*1.41*	0.96	**	**
R-III-Western									
Allegany	*2.37*	1.04	0.94	*2.37*	0.87	0.93	**	**	**
Frederick	*3.37*	*1.23*	0.96	1.27	1.05	0.89	0.82	**	**
Garrett	1.16	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Washington	*4.91*	*1.37*	*0.73*	*1.56*	*1.37*	0.94	1.03	**	**
R-IV-Eastern									
Caroline	*1.93*	1.09	0.85	**	1.43	**	**	**	**
Cecil	*2.33*	1.08	0.81	1.36	1.19	0.89	**	**	**
Dorchester	*1.94*	*1.16*	*0.82*	*1.77*	*1.51*	**	**	**	**
Kent	*5.75*	1.12	0.95	**	0.92	**	**	**	**
Queen Anne's	*1.58*	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Somerset	*1.66*	0.93	*0.77*	**	**	**	**	**	**
Talbot	*2.39*	*1.92*	0.79	**	1.56	**	**	**	**
Wicomico	*5.46*	1.01	*0.87*	*1.67*	*1.30*	1.02	**	**	**
Worcester	*3.07*	*0.59*	*0.77*	1.23	*1.71*	0.85	*1.65*	**	**
R-V-Southern									
Anne Arundel	*3.00*	*1.14*	*0.91*	*1.42*	*1.16*	*1.13*	0.89	**	**
Calvert	*1.36*	*1.14*	1.06	1.35	0.84	**	**	**	**
Charles	*2.34*	*0.90*	0.91	0.98	1.06	*1.34*	0.91	**	**
St. Mary's	*5.25*	*0.78*	0.94	*1.37*	1.01	1.08	1.14	**	**
R-VI-Metro									
Montgomery	*2.13*	*1.09*	*0.79*	*2.82*	*1.32*	*1.28*	1.16	**	*0.18*
Prince George's	*1.99*	*0.97*	*0.79*	*4.99*	*1.49*	**	**	**	**
State Total	*2.48*	1.00	*0.73*	*2.30*	*1.41*	*0.97*	*0.90*	*2.81*	*0.49*

[1] At all decision points, minority youth include: African American, Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaskan Native, and Other/Unknown youth. Hispanic youth are categorized as White at the two decision points where arrest data are considered (i.e., Arrest and Referral) because the FBI's Uniform Crime Report does not distinguish Hispanic origin. For all other decision points, Hispanic youth are included in the count of minorities, as Hispanic origin is captured in the DJS ASSIST system.

- Statistically significant results are shaded; Results that are not statistically significant are shown in regular font
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis are shown as **; An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportional contact. A rate higher than 1.0 indicates that minority youth are disproportionately over-represented at that decision point, and a rate below 1.0 shows they are under-represented.

Appendix O (cont.)

Rate of Contacts Per 10,000 Youth at Key Contact Points, Compared with General Population, FY 2015*

Region/ County	Population (ages 11-17)	Arrest	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involved Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
R-I-Balt. City	**48,825**	**690.6**	**694.3**	**134.8**	**293.5**	**433.8**	**210.8**	**106.7**	**6.1**	**0.0**
Baltimore City	48,825	690.6	694.3	134.8	293.5	433.8	210.8	106.7	6.1	0.0
R-II-Central	**141,989**	**494.7**	**414.0**	**186.4**	**39.5**	**208.3**	**105.2**	**78.9**	**1.0**	**2.3**
Baltimore Co.	70,673	668.7	508.4	202.5	50.5	289.1	140.4	112.2	1.4	1.6
Carroll	16,671	241.1	279.5	167.4	29.4	102.0	68.4	50.4	0.0	3.0
Harford	23,630	344.5	359.3	188.7	42.7	143.0	74.5	51.6	0.4	3.0
Howard	31,015	348.9	313.1	158.3	17.4	130.9	68.4	39.0	1.0	3.2
R-III-Western	**45,151**	**573.2**	**528.0**	**229.7**	**70.4**	**222.8**	**139.3**	**127.4**	**1.1**	**3.1**
Allegany	5,856	816.2	910.1	490.1	59.8	257.8	140.0	129.8	0.0	0.0
Frederick	23,837	372.5	294.5	117.5	34.0	137.6	86.8	63.8	0.8	1.3
Garrett	2,439	836.6	734.0	615.1	36.9	118.9	98.4	53.3	0.0	0.0
Washington	13,019	781.9	745.0	245.8	148.2	382.5	242.7	256.5	2.3	8.4
R-IV-Eastern	**40,146**	**730.8**	**789.9**	**533.1**	**89.7**	**215.2**	**101.4**	**56.0**	**2.7**	**7.7**
Caroline	3,008	831.0	950.7	718.0	39.9	152.9	109.7	66.5	0.0	0.0
Cecil	9,736	530.0	421.1	217.7	55.5	154.1	91.4	37.0	2.1	7.2
Dorchester	2,501	1,359.5	1,691.3	1,143.6	108.0	411.8	199.9	116.0	0.0	0.0
Kent	1,588	478.7	585.8	409.4	44.1	170.1	63.0	50.4	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	4,465	295.6	304.6	208.3	17.9	76.1	24.6	26.9	0.0	2.2
Somerset	2,099	619.2	685.9	490.6	104.8	181.0	38.1	28.6	0.0	14.3
Talbot	2,777	439.4	435.8	327.7	39.6	104.4	79.2	36.0	0.0	0.0
Wicomico	10,218	876.9	937.5	620.5	180.1	280.9	109.6	49.9	7.8	8.8
Worcester	3,753	1,257.8	1,598.9	1,172.5	93.3	399.7	191.9	141.2	2.7	29.3
R-V-Southern	**84,382**	**475.5**	**534.0**	**337.2**	**53.7**	**139.8**	**100.0**	**65.9**	**1.8**	**0.2**
Anne Arundel	48,238	457.3	570.1	364.9	42.1	139.7	97.0	55.1	0.8	0.0
Calvert	9,467	348.6	413.0	286.3	48.6	97.2	53.9	58.1	2.1	0.0
Charles	15,935	608.7	538.4	337.0	74.0	154.4	129.3	89.1	3.1	1.3
St. Mary's	10,742	471.0	472.0	257.9	80.1	156.4	110.8	86.6	3.7	0.0
R-VI-Metro	**170,456**	**213.0**	**241.5**	**127.8**	**52.9**	**96.8**	**50.5**	**25.1**	**3.2**	**1.6**
Montgomery	90,801	195.6	253.6	136.1	21.3	96.6	40.0	19.9	0.8	3.1
Prince George's	79,655	232.8	227.6	118.3	89.0	97.0	62.4	31.0	6.0	0.0
State Total	***530,949***	***443.7***	***441.6***	***216.7***	***75.8***	***184.1***	***99.1***	***64.5***	***2.4***	***2.0***

*Rates are calculated for each decision point based on general population data.

• This table calculates the rate of DJS contact for all youth at each stage, compared with the general population (ages 11-17). For example, for every 10,000 youth in Baltimore City, there are 293.5 youth detained, and in Prince George's County it is 89.0 per 10,000 youth.

Appendix P

Census Information, 2014* Population Estimates by Race (Age 11-17)[1]

Region / County	White[2]	Black[2]	American Indian / Alaska[2]	Asian[2]	Hawaiian / OPI[2]	Two or More[2]	Hispanic	Total
R-1 - Balt. City	***8,481***	***35,436***	***137***	***1,038***	***11***	***1,334***	***2,389***	***48,825***
Baltimore City	8,481	35,436	137	1,038	11	1,334	2,389	48,825
R-II - Central	***81,949***	***34,554***	***330***	***10,025***	***54***	***6,255***	***8,821***	***141,989***
Baltimore Co	35,439	23,644	203	4,110	23	2,782	4,472	70,673
Carroll	14,567	613	22	303	3	511	653	16,671
Harford	16,642	3,765	46	722	15	1,057	1,384	23,630
Howard	15,302	6,532	59	4,890	14	1,906	2,312	31,015
R-III - Western	***33,422***	***4,609***	***81***	***1,405***	***23***	***2,142***	***3,470***	***45,151***
Allegany	4,945	450	7	69	4	269	113	5,856
Frederick	16,466	2,535	51	1,075	10	1,136	2,565	23,837
Garrett	2,289	83	1	15	0	26	25	2,439
Washington	9,721	1,542	22	246	10	711	768	13,019
R-IV - Eastern	***27,792***	***7,486***	***77***	***703***	***14***	***1,547***	***2,525***	***40,146***
Caroline	2,167	455	8	23	1	104	251	3,008
Cecil	7,837	762	17	112	6	399	604	9,736
Dorchester	1,423	823	6	26	2	90	131	2,501
Kent	1,221	202	2	15	0	62	85	1,588
Queen Anne's	3,769	273	8	64	1	156	195	4,465
Somerset	824	1,068	3	18	0	82	105	2,099
Talbot	1,970	407	4	68	2	82	244	2,777
Wicomico	5,930	2,807	19	308	1	434	720	10,218
Worcester	2,651	689	11	70	2	139	191	3,753
R-V - Southern	***51,122***	***19,881***	***239***	***2,458***	***71***	***4,396***	***6,215***	***84,382***
Anne Arundel	30,860	9,017	107	1,572	52	2,376	4,253	48,238
Calvert	7,149	1,187	32	129	3	502	466	9,467
Charles	5,480	8,049	68	505	10	948	875	15,935
St Mary's	7,633	1,627	32	252	6	571	621	10,742
R-VI - Metro	***45,619***	***68,172***	***315***	***15,266***	***52***	***6,515***	***34,518***	***170,456***
Montgomery	37,124	17,714	146	12,132	26	4,245	19,414	90,801
Prince George's	8,495	50,458	168	3,134	26	2,270	15,104	79,655
Statewide	***248,386***	***170,139***	***1,178***	***30,895***	***225***	***22,189***	***57,937***	***530,949***

* Data Sources: Maryland Department of Planning, Population Estimation for State of Maryland, files used: (1) July 1, 2014 Population by Age (single year), Race, Sex and Hispanic Origin for State of Maryland; (2) July 1, 2014 Population by Age, Sex, Race and Hispanic/Latino Origin for Maryland's Jurisdictions. Available on-line: http://planning.maryland.gov/msdc/Pop_estimate/estimate_10to13/CensPopEst10_13.shtml (accessed on July 2015).
[1] Methodology for calculation of census estimates is the same as utilized since the FY 2013 Data Resource Guide; [2] Excludes Hispanic and Latino
Note: values are rounded to represent whole numbers, therefore totals may not sum exactly.

Appendix Q

Number and Ratio of Youth, Cases, and Placements by County* FY 2015

County / Region	Complaints			Pre-D Detention Placements			Placements to Detention Pending Placement			Committed Placements		
	Cases	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth
R-I (Balt. City)	**3,390**	**2,138**	**1.6**	**1,357**	**766**	**1.8**	**205**	**153**	**1.3**	**236**	**181**	**1.3**
Baltimore City	3,390	2,138	1.6	1,357	766	1.8	205	153	1.3	236	181	1.3
R-II (Central)	**5,879**	**3,945**	**1.5**	**503**	**346**	**1.5**	**153**	**119**	**1.3**	**158**	**129**	**1.2**
Baltimore Co.	3,593	2,287	1.6	329	227	1.4	80	64	1.3	71	59	1.2
Carroll	466	344	1.4	41	28	1.5	26	19	1.4	35	29	1.2
Harford	849	610	1.4	87	61	1.4	28	23	1.2	27	23	1.2
Howard	971	704	1.4	46	30	1.5	19	13	1.5	25	18	1.4
R-III (Western)	**2,384**	**1,291**	**1.8**	**291**	**177**	**1.6**	**78**	**65**	**1.2**	**116**	**96**	**1.2**
Allegany	533	302	1.8	33	25	1.3	5	5	1.0	19	16	1.2
Frederick	702	396	1.8	66	49	1.3	34	24	1.4	48	39	1.2
Garrett	179	139	1.3	8	5	1.6	3	3	1.0	7	6	1.2
Washington	970	454	2.1	184	98	1.9	36	33	1.1	42	35	1.2
R-IV (Eastern)	**3,171**	**2,230**	**1.4**	**318**	**220**	**1.4**	**97**	**80**	**1.2**	**100**	**91**	**1.1**
Caroline	286	193	1.5	11	8	1.4	4	4	1.0	5	5	1.0
Cecil	410	288	1.4	47	35	1.3	12	12	1.0	12	11	1.1
Dorchester	423	278	1.5	25	15	1.7	11	8	1.4	11	10	1.1
Kent	93	60	1.6	5	4	1.3	3	2	1.5	2	2	1.0
Queen Anne's	136	98	1.4	9	6	1.5	1	1	1.0	2	2	1.0
Somerset	144	105	1.4	22	14	1.6	4	4	1.0	7	7	1.0
Talbot	121	85	1.4	8	6	1.3	4	3	1.3	5	5	1.0
Wicomico	958	616	1.6	158	104	1.5	51	40	1.3	49	42	1.2
Worcester	600	507	1.2	33	28	1.2	7	6	1.2	7	7	1.0
R-V (Southern)	**4,506**	**3,194**	**1.4**	**393**	**287**	**1.4**	**149**	**130**	**1.1**	**217**	**186**	**1.2**
Anne Arundel	2,750	1,935	1.4	171	127	1.3	83	71	1.2	105	94	1.1
Calvert	391	282	1.4	39	30	1.3	12	11	1.1	14	12	1.2
Charles	858	619	1.4	107	76	1.4	27	27	1.0	56	50	1.1
St. Mary's	507	358	1.4	76	54	1.4	27	21	1.3	42	30	1.4
R-VI (Metro)	**4,116**	**2,831**	**1.5**	**798**	**546**	**1.5**	**258**	**225**	**1.1**	**316**	**270**	**1.2**
Montgomery	2,303	1,432	1.6	177	129	1.4	61	53	1.2	80	70	1.1
Prince George's	1,813	1,399	1.3	621	417	1.5	197	172	1.1	236	200	1.2
Statewide	***23,446***	***15,629***	***1.5***	***3,713***	***2,393***	***1.6***	***955***	***786***	***1.2***	***1,156***	***965***	***1.2***

* Complaint data is based on county of jurisdiction. Detention, Pending Placement, and Committed Placements data is based on county of residence.

Appendix R
Classification of Offenses*

Crimes of Violence			
	DRAI Category^		DRAI Category^
Arson 1st Degree	3	Kidnapping	1
Assault 1st Degree	2	Manslaughter - Voluntary	3
Attempted Murder	1	Murder 1st Degree	1
Attempted Rape or Sex Offense	1	Murder 2nd Degree	1
Burglary 1st Degree	3	Prostitution - Abduct, Persuade, Entice for Prostitution or Certain Sex Crimes+	1
Burglary 2nd Degree	4	Rape 1st Degree	1
Burglary 3rd Degree	4	Rape 2nd Degree	1
Burglary With Explosives	3	Robbery	3
Carjacking - Armed	1	Robbery with Deadly Weapon	2
Carjacking - Unarmed	2	Sex Offense 1st Degree	1
Child Abduction of Individual Under 16	1	Sex Offense 2nd Degree	1
Child Abuse 1st Degree	1	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, 1st Offense	2
In State Abduction of Child Under 12	2	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, Subsequent	1

Person-to-Person Offenses			
Felony	DRAI Category^	Felony	DRAI Category^
Assault on Police Officer	4	Manslaughter - Negligent Homicide by Automobile	4
Burglary - Home Invasion+	2	Manslaughter by Automobile	3
CDS - Weapons Use	2	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc. Subsequent Offense++	3
Child Abuse 2nd Degree	3	Out-Of-State Family Abduction	5
Child Pornography	3	Poisoning	1
Destructive Devices	2	Prostitution- Human Trafficking	1
Escape - 1st Degree	3	Removing Firearm from Possession of Law Enforcement Officer	3
Gang Offense - Commission of Crime	2	Sex Abuse by Household Member	1
Gang Offense - Manage, Fund Gang	2	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (no force or threat)	4
Hate Crime Involving a Separate Felony Crime	3	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (w/force or threat)	3
Hate Crime Involving Death of a Victim	2	Sex Offense - Use of Personal Identifying Information of an Individual to Invite another to Commit Sexual Crime+	3
Incest	3	Sodomy; Unnatural or Perverted Sexual Practice	3
Manslaughter - Involuntary	3	Use of Machine Gun for a crime	2

^ DRAI category is used to score the severity of offenses for detention decisions. Category 1 is the most serious. + New as of 10/1/2014; ++ New as of 3/1/2015

* DJS regularly updates ASSIST offense categories to match statute and sentencing guideline severity categories. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

Appendix R (cont.)
Classification of Offenses*

Person-to-Person Offenses			
Misdemeanor	**DRAI Category^**	**Misdemeanor**	**DRAI Category^**
Assault 2nd Degree / Battery	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry 1st Offense (incl. on school prop.)	5
Committing a Crime of Violence in Presence of Minor+	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry, Subsequent (incl. on school prop.)	2
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Concealed Dangerous Weapon - Wear or Carry++	5	Handgun Violation - Wear, Carry with Intent to Injure, Kill	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Openly with Intent to Injure - Dangerous Weapon, Mace/ Chemical Device, Wear or Carry++	5	Harassment	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Certain Ordinance++	5	Harassment - Revenge Porn+	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Pepper Spray[2]	5	Hate Crime Other	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor with Intent To Injure[2]	4	In State Family Abduction	5
Deadly Weapon on Public School Property	4	Indecent Exposure	4
Disorderly Intoxication	5	Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle/ Vessel	4
Disturbing the Peace	5	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering, Common Law	4
Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	5	Prostitution – Receive money for	3
Driving under Influence CDS	5	Prostitution – Solicitation	5
Driving under Influence Drugs and/or Alcohol	5	Prostitution, Abduction Persuade Entice[1]	2
Driving While Impaired	5	Obstructing Justice - Tamper with or Fabricate Physical Evidence+	4
Driving While Intoxicated	5	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc.++	4
Driving While Using Text Messaging Device, etc. that Causes Accident Resulting Death, Serious Bodily Injury+	5	Reckless Endangerment	4
Electronic Mail Abuse	5	Resisting Arrest	4
Escape 2nd Degree - Remove Monitoring Device	4	Retaliating Against a Victim or Witness	4
Escape 2nd Degree - Secure Facility	4	Sex Offense 4th Degree	5
Failure to Appear - Connection Felony	4	Stalking	4
Failure to Appear – Connection Misdemeanor	5	Threat of Mass Violence+	4
Failure to Appear - Citation	5	Use of Machine Gun for Aggressive Purposes	3
Gang Offense	5	Visual Surveillance, Unlawful	5
Gang Offense - School	4		

^ DRAI category is used to score the severity of offenses for detention decisions. Category 1 is the most serious.
+ New as of 10/1/2014; ++ New as of 3/1/2015
[1] Inactive as of 10/1/2014; [2] Inactive as of 3/1/2015
* DJS regularly updates ASSIST offense categories to match statute and sentencing guideline severity categories. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

Classification of Offenses*

Property Offenses			
Felony	**DRAI Category^**	**Misdemeanor**	**DRAI Category^**
Arson 2nd Degree	4	Arson Misdemeanor	5
Breaking and Entering a Research Facility	5	Arson - Threat	4
Cloned Wireless Phone - Possession with Intent to Distribute, Distribution, Manufacturing or Sell	4	Attempted Arson	5
Cruelty to Animals - Felony Racehorse	5	Breaking and Entering Motor Vehicles	5
False Statement - Fake Bomb	4	Burglary 4th Degree	5
False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb	4	Code Grabbing Device	5
Forgery, Counterfeit &/or Uttering-Felony	4	Contraband - Possess, Possess with Intent to Deliver, Receive Telecommunications Device, Accessories+	5
Fraud-Felony (Medicaid)	4	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	5
Malicious Burning - Felony	5	Cruelty to Animals - Surgery on Dogs; Unauthorized Surgical Devocalization+	5
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	False Alarm	4
Railroad Obstructing	4	False Report	5
Theft Felony Over $100,000	3	Forgery - Prescription	5
Theft Felony Under $100,000	4	Forgery, Counterfeit &/or Uttering - Misd	5
Trademark Counterfeiting-Felony	4	Fraud	5
Verbal Threat - Extortion	4	Gambling	5
Written Threat	4	Harassment - Use of Interactive Computer Service to Disclose Personal Identifying Information to Annoy, Threaten, Harass+	5
		Malicious Burning - Misdemeanor	5
		Malicious Destruction	5
		Possession of Dangerous or Wild Animals+	5
		Telephone Misuse	5
		Theft Misdemeanor	5
		Trademark Counterfeiting-Misdemeanor	5
		Transfer Recorded Sound/Images w/o Consent	5
		Trespassing	5
		Unauthorized Removal of Property	5

^ DRAI category is used to score the severity of offenses for detention decisions. Category 1 is the most serious.
+ New as of 10/1/2014

* DJS regularly updates ASSIST offense categories to match statute and sentencing guideline severity categories. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

Appendix R (cont.)
Classification of Offenses*

Drug Offenses			
Felony	**DRAI Category^**	**Misdemeanor**	**DRAI Category^**
CDS - Import Certain Amount into State	3	CDS - False Prescription	5
CDS - Using Minors for Manufacture or Distribution	3	CDS - Possession Marijuana[1]	5
CDS (Cocaine, Heroin, PCP, LSD, Oxy., Meth.)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	3	CDS - Possession Marijuana > 10 Grams +	5
CDS (Marijuana) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	CDS - Possession Other	5
CDS (Other) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Distribution of Inhalants	5
CDS - Distribution (Fake CDS)	4	Drug Paraphernalia	5
CDS (Marij/Amphet/Valium/Ecstasy < 750 grams/Bup./Countrft)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	4	Non-CDS, Possession	5
Receipt of Proceeds From CDS Offenses	4	Use of Inhalants	5
Unspecified Offenses			
Felony	**DRAI Category^**	**Misdemeanor**	**DRAI Category^**
Conspiracy to Commit Any (Felony) Offense	3	Conspiracy to Commit Any (Misdemeanor) Offense	5
Unspecified Felony	3	Traffic Violation Incarcerable	5
		Unspecified Misdemeanor	5
Other Offenses			
Citation Offenses	**DRAI Category^**	**Ordinance Offenses**	**DRAI Category^**
Alcohol Possession on School Premises	5	BB Gun/Pellet Gun	5
Alcoholic Beverage Violation	5	Discharging Firearms	5
CDS - Possession Marijuana < 10 Grams+	5	Fireworks Violation	5
Lottery Restrictions (Tables Games or Video Lottery Terminals, Facility) Less than 21 Years+	5	Loitering	5
Pager at School	5	Tampering	5
Tobacco Violation	5	Violation of Local Ordinance	5
Traffic Violation Non-Incarcerable	5	Violation of Natural Resources Article	5
Child in Need of Supervision (CINS)	**DRAI Category^**	**Violation of Probation**	**DRAI Category^**
Runaway	5	Violation of Felony Probation	3
Truant	5	Violation of Misdemeanor Probation	5
Ungovernable	5		

^ DRAI category is used to score the severity of offenses for detention decisions. Category 1 is the most serious.
+ New as of 10/1/2014
[1] Inactive as of 10/1/2014

* DJS regularly updates ASSIST offense categories to match statute and sentencing guideline severity categories. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland Legislative session.

Appendix S

Youth Detained Pending Adult Criminal Charges

Youth charged with the following offenses and are eligible to request transfer of jurisdiction may be placed in juvenile detention pending the determination of jurisdiction in adult court. (See Md. Code. Ann., Cts. and Jud. Proc. § 3-8A-03)

- Age 14 years old and up will be automatically charged as an adult for:
  - First Degree Murder (ineligible for transfer)
  - First Degree Rape
  - First Degree Sex Offense
  - Any Attempts or Conspiracies to commit the listed offenses
- Age 16 years old and up will be automatically charged as an adult for:
  - Serious/Violent Offenses:
    - Abduction, Kidnapping
    - Second Degree Murder (and Attempt thereof)
    - Manslaughter (except Involuntary Manslaughter)
    - Second Degree Rape (and Attempt thereof)
    - Armed Robbery (and Attempt thereof)
    - First Degree Assault
    - Second Degree Sex Offense (by force, or the threat of force, without consent)(and Attempt thereof)
    - Third Degree Sex Offense (If force, a weapon, or threat of force is used, without consent)
    - Carjacking or Armed Carjacking
  - Firearm Offenses:
    - Wear, Carry, Transport Handgun
    - Use of a Handgun or Antique Firearm in Commission of a Crime
    - Use of a Machine Gun in a Crime of Violence
    - Use of a Machine Gun for Aggressive Purpose
    - Possession of Unregistered Short-barreled Shotgun or Short-barreled Rifle
    - Restrictions on Possession of a Regulated Firearm
    - Restrictions on Sale, Rental, or Transfer of Regulated Firearms
    - Sale, Transfer, or Disposal of Stolen Regulated Firearm Prohibited
  - Miscellaneous Offenses:
    - Any felony IF prior adult felony conviction
    - Non-incarcerable Traffic and Boating Offenses

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services
FY 2015 Statistical Information

Mission of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

Intake & Community Supervision Information

Complaint Source, FY 2013-2015

Complaint Source	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Adult Court Transfers	0.7%	0.9%	0.9%
Citizen	3.1%	2.9%	3.0%
Police	86.8%	86.1%	86.8%
School Referrals*	4.1%	4.5%	4.0%
Violation of Probation**	5.3%	5.6%	5.3%
Total Complaints	*27,550*	*25,132*	*23,446*

* Only includes referrals directly from School Police and School Resource Officers.
** Includes only technical violations

Intake Complaint Decision Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	60.5%	62.4%	63.2%
White	32.9%	31.3%	29.7%
Hispanic/Other	6.6%	6.4%	7.1%
Sex			
Male	73.0%	72.4%	72.5%
Female	27.0%	27.6%	27.5%
Age			
11 and under	3.4%	3.5%	3.7%
12	3.6%	3.9%	4.5%
13	7.3%	7.6%	7.9%
14	13.1%	12.9%	13.5%
15	19.1%	19.2%	19.2%
16	22.5%	22.5%	22.7%
17	28.1%	26.8%	25.5%
18-20	3.1%	3.5%	3.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	*27,550*	*25,132*	*23,446*

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

Case Forwarding Decisions and Court Dispositions, FY 2013-2015

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Authorized Formal Petition	51.8%	53.4%	50.9%
Informaled	17.6%	17.2%	16.6%
Resolved/No Jurisdiction	30.6%	29.4%	32.5%
*Total Complaints**	*27,550*	*25,132*	*23,446*
Court Disposition of Formaled Cases			
Committed to DJS	8.1%	7.8%	7.8%
Continued/Stet	9.0%	10.2%	14.2%
Dismissed/Closed	31.6%	29.9%	27.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult	0.6%	0.7%	0.9%
Nolle Pros.	5.0%	4.8%	4.0%
Other**	11.3%	10.6%	8.0%
Pending Disposition[1]	0.3%	1.9%	5.3%
Petition Denied by SAO	4.0%	3.9%	4.5%
Probation	23.4%	23.5%	23.6%
Transfer between Jurisdictions	2.2%	2.2%	1.2%
Writ Pending[1]	4.5%	4.4%	2.8%
Total Court Disposition Complaints	*14,259*	*13,415*	*11,941*

* Includes cases missing decisions
** Includes interstate courtesy, continuance without DJS supervision, commitment rescinded-aftercare supervision, services not ordered, and unsupervised probation
[1] Pending at the time of final data collection for this *Guide*

Offense Category, FY 2015

Offense Category of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases[1]	Pre-Disp. Detention Placements[1]	New Probation Cases[2]	New Commitment[2]
Crime of Violence*	**11.5%**	**28.7%**	**9.2%**	**22.4%**
Felony	**8.5%**	**17.4%**	**11.6%**	**13.3%**
- Person-to-Person	1.0%	1.9%	2.0%	3.0%
- Property	4.6%	9.8%	3.5%	4.2%
- Drugs	2.5%	5.3%	3.0%	2.5%
- Unspecified	0.4%	0.4%	3.1%	3.6%
Misdemeanor	**70.3%**	**50.6%**	**77.5%**	**63.7%**
- Person-to-Person	38.1%	28.0%	39.8%	30.8%
- Property	25.4%	17.5%	25.0%	22.3%
- Drugs	4.9%	4.3%	6.5%	6.6%
- Unspecified	1.9%	0.9%	6.2%	4.1%
Ordinance Offenses	**0.8%**	**0.3%**	**0.4%**	**0.0%**
Citations	**6.7%**	**1.3%**	**1.3%**	**0.5%**
CINS	**2.2%**	**1.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**
Missing	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Admissions/Dispositions	*23,446*	*3,713*++	*2,010*	*637*

* See Appendix K for a description of Crimes of Violence
[1] Alleged offenses
[2] Adjudicated offenses
++ Includes 53 out-of-state placements

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

Workload Information, FY 2015

	Monthly Average Cases		
	Community	Committed	Total
Investigation	642.1	N/A	642.1
Pre-Court	966.1	N/A	966.1
Probation	2,429.4	N/A	2,429.4
VPI*	685.9	214.2	900.2
Aftercare	685.2	491.5	1,176.7

* Counts for Aftercare & Probation do not include VPI youth

Complaints and Placements by County/Region, FY 2015

County / Region	Intake Complaints	Pre-D Detention Placements	Post-D Pending Placements	Post-Ejection Placements	Committed Placements
R-I-Balt. City	**3,390**	**1,357**	**205**	**46**	**236**
Baltimore City	3,390	1,357	205	46	236
R-II-Central	**5,879**	**503**	**153**	**29**	**158**
Baltimore Co.	3,593	329	80	14	71
Carroll	466	41	26	3	35
Harford	849	87	28	5	27
Howard	971	46	19	7	25
R-III-Western	**2,384**	**291**	**78**	**11**	**116**
Allegany	533	33	5	0	19
Frederick	702	66	34	5	48
Garrett	179	8	3	0	7
Washington	970	184	36	6	42
R-IV-Eastern	**3,171**	**318**	**97**	**17**	**100**
Caroline	286	11	4	0	5
Cecil	410	47	12	1	12
Dorchester	423	25	11	2	11
Kent	93	5	3	0	2
Queen Anne's	136	9	1	0	2
Somerset	144	22	4	0	7
Talbot	121	8	4	0	5
Wicomico	958	158	51	13	49
Worcester	600	33	7	1	7
R-V-Southern	**4,506**	**393**	**149**	**31**	**217**
Anne Arundel	2,750	171	83	17	105
Calvert	391	39	12	2	14
Charles	858	107	27	4	56
St. Mary's	507	76	27	8	42
R-VI-Metro	**4,116**	**798**	**258**	**51**	**316**
Montgomery	2,303	177	61	15	80
Prince George's	1,813	621	197	36	236
Statewide	***23,446***	***3,713***	***955***	***186***	***1,156***

* Includes youth from out-of-state

Secure Detention Information

The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services owns and operates seven detention facilities across the state. Each facility serves a population of juveniles awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses juveniles who are Post-Disposition awaiting placement in a committed program (pending).

Placement Demographics*, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	78.9%	78.8%	79.3%
White	16.1%	16.1%	15.6%
Hispanic/Other	5.1%	5.0%	5.1%
Sex			
Male	85.9%	84.6%	83.2%
Female	14.1%	15.4%	16.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%
12	1.1%	0.8%	1.7%
13	3.9%	3.7%	4.6%
14	9.8%	10.2%	10.0%
15	18.5%	19.6%	18.8%
16	23.0%	23.8%	25.1%
17	27.5%	25.7%	25.6%
18-20	15.5%	15.5%	13.6%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	*6,135*	*4,788*	*4,076*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection

Placements, DRAI, Releases, and ALOS, FY 2013-2015*

		Admissions With DRAI	Admissions No DRAI	Releases	ALOS
FY 2015	Pre-D	83.4%	16.6%	3,735	16.3
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	758	22.2
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	197	37.1
FY 2014	Pre-D	77.8%	22.2%	4,421	15.8
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	949	23.4
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	262	36.8
FY 2013	Pre-D	72.2%	27.8%	5,487	14.1
	Post-D	N/A	N/A	1,041	29.1
	Ejection	N/A	N/A	325	40.9

* Totals may not match other tables as a youth can be counted in both Pre-D and Post-D

Capacity, Admissions, ADP, and Utilization, FY 2015

Facility	Capacity	Admissions*	ADP	Utilization
Alfred D. Noyes	57	406	26.2	46.0%
Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Ctr	120	1,285	52.3	43.6%
Charles H. Hickey Jr. School	72	671	39.4	54.7%
Cheltenham Youth Facility	115	992	65.1	56.6%
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr	24	349	17.2	71.7%
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr	42	508	22.2	52.9%
Western MD Children's Center	24	289	15.1	62.9%
Statewide Total	*454*	*4,076***	*237.6*	*52.3%*

* Includes Pre-D, Post-D, and Ejection
** Total represents placements not admissions

Committed Placement Information

A range of out-of-home program options (or placements) have been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a Foster Home, Group Home, or Independent Living Program. Placements in non-community settings include Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA), Residential Treatment Centers (RTC), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement facilities. DJS operates seven facilities in Maryland and contracts with others both in-state and out-of-state.

Committed Placements by Demographics, FY 2013-2015

Demographics	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.6%	70.6%	70.3%
White	25.4%	24.3%	23.3%
Hispanic/Other	6.0%	5.0%	6.4%
Sex			
Male	84.4%	83.4%	83.0%
Female	15.6%	16.6%	17.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
12	0.6%	0.7%	1.2%
13	3.0%	3.1%	3.4%
14	8.4%	9.6%	6.9%
15	18.0%	19.9%	16.9%
16	24.5%	26.8%	27.9%
17	31.1%	26.6%	29.3%
18-20	14.0%	13.2%	14.0%
Error/Missing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	*1,779*	*1,495*	*1,156*

Committed Placement Locations+ for FY 2013-2015, ADP and ALOS, FY 2015

	Placements			FY2015	
	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	119	118	72	75.6	312.9
Group Home	456	397	307	179.7	211.5
Indep. Living	44	35	15	13.3	243.1
ICFA	241	163	116	16.2	61.4
RTC	302	286	237	126.5	194.8
State Operated	630	572	422	157.6	148.9
Staff Secure	*495*	*438*	*324*	*110.5*	*140.6*
Hardware Sec.	*135*	*134*	*98*	*47.1*	*172.4*
Silver Oak	67	82	94	60.8	256.2
Out-of-State	128	108	90	81.4	291.3
RTC	*14*	*23*	*10*	*10.8*	*260.6*
Staff Secure	*69*	*50*	*50*	*43.7*	*285.0*
Hardware Sec.	*45*	*35*	*30*	*26.9*	*316.7*
*Total**	*1,779*	*1,495*	*1,156*	*711.1***	*229.2*

+ Totals presented in the table include each type of facility reported in that broad category (For example: "Total Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as Traditional Foster Care).
* Statewide total placement counts excludes transfers within and between program/program types, therefore may not add up to the total program type placement counts provided in the table.
** Data in this table include some probation and pre-disposition cases placed in hospital or diagnostic residential placements ordered by juvenile court.

Financial Information

FY 2015 Operating Expenditures*

Total: $286.5 Million



* Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

State Operated Facility Per Diems and Average Annual Costs, FY 2015

	Per Diem Cost	Avg. Annual Cost
Baltimore City	$635	$231,688
Carter	$814	$297,255
Cheltenham	$709	$258,888
Green Ridge	$441	$161,137
Hickey	$1,049	$382,857
L. Eastern Shore	$719	$262,309
Noyes	$731	$266,825
Schaefer	$512	$186,964
State-Wide Youth Centers*	$643	$242,345
Victor Cullen	$797	$291,018
Waxter	$795	$290,018
Western Maryland	$748	$273,057

* Does not include Green Ridge Youth Center which is budgeted separately as a regionalized Youth Center.

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services
Division of Support Services
One Center Plaza
120 West Fayette Street
Baltimore, MD 21201
www.djs.maryland.gov

Allegany County Barrelville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptown Cumberland Danville Dawson Detmold Eckhart Mines Ellerslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian Moscow Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Gap Vale Summit Westernport Woodland Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrills Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Tyler Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis **Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennsville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ilchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill Cheverly Chillum Clinton College Park College Park Woods Colmar Manor Coral Hills Cottage City Croom Daniels Park District Heights Eagle Harbor East Riverdale Edmonston Fairmount Heights Forest Heights Forestville Fort Washington Friendly Glassmanor Glenarden Glenn Dale Goddard Greenbelt Hardesty Hillcrest Heights Hollywood Hyattsville Kaywood Gardens Kettering Lake Arbor Landover Landover Hills Landover Park Langley Park Lanham Largo Laurel Marlboro Meadows Marlow Heights Marlton Mitchellville Morningside Mount Rainier New Carrollton New Hampshire Gardens North Brentwood Oxon Hill Peppermill Village Queenstown Riverdale Park Rosaryville Seabrook Seat Pleasant South Laurel Springbrook Terrace Springdale Springhill Lake Suitland Temple Hills University Park Upper Marlboro Walker Mill West Laurel Westphalia Woodlawn Woodmore **Queen Anne's County** Barclay Centreville Chester Church Hill Grasonville Kent Narrows Kingstown Queen Anne Queenstown Stevensville Sudlersville **Somerset County** Chance Crisfield Dames Quarter Deal Island Eden Fairmount Frenchtown Mount Vernon Princess Anne Rumbley Smith Island West Pocomoke **St. Mary's County** California Charlotte Hall Golden Beach Leonardtown Lexington Park Mechanicsville Piney Point Saint George Island Tall Timbers **Talbot County** Cordova Easton Oxford Saint Aubins Heights Saint Michaels Tilghman Island Trappe **Washington County** Antietam Bagtown Bakersville Beaver Creek Big Pool Big Spring Blue Hill Boonsboro Breathedsville Brownsville Cascade Cavetown Cearfoss Charlton Chewsville Clear Spring Conococheague Dargan Downsville Eakles Mills Edgemont Ernstville Fairplay Fairview Fountain Head Funkstown Gapland Garretts Mill Greensburg Hagerstown Halfway Hancock Highfield Indian Springs Jugtown Keedysville Leitersburg Long Meadow Mapleville Maugansville Mercersville Mount Aetna Mount Briar Mount Lena Myersdale Orchard Hills Paramount Pecktonville Pinesburg Pondsville Reid Ringgold Robinwood Rohrersville Rohrersville Station Saint James San Mar Sandy Hook Sharpsburg Smithsburg Tilghmanton Trego Williamsport Wilson Yarrowsburg **Wicomico County** Allen Bivalve Delmar Fruitland Hebron Jesterville Lincoln Heights Mardela Springs Nanticoke Nanticoke Acres Parsonsburg Pittsville Powellville Quantico Salisbury Sharptown Spring Grove Tyaskin Waterview Whitehaven Willards **Worcester County** Berlin Bishopville Girdletree Newark North Ocean City Ocean City Ocean Pines Pocomoke City Snow Hill Stockton West Ocean City Whaleyville **Allegany County** Barrelville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptown Cumberland Danville Dawson Detmold Eckhart Mines Ellerslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian Moscow Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Gap Vale Summit Westernport Woodland Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrills Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Tyler Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis **Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Rossville Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennsville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Linganore Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ilchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill